## **Appendix B: Planning Process**

Planning Process documentation included in Appendix B includes:

- 1. Notice for public comment period
- 2. Affidavit of publication for comment period
- 3. Invitee list
- 4. Meeting notice press releases
- 5. Meeting letters and emails
- 6. Meeting agendas
- 7. Internet postings of meeting dates and notices
- 8. Meeting sign-in sheets
- 9. STAPLEE worksheets

#### **Cunningham, Thomas E**

From:	Cunningham, Thomas E
Sent:	Friday, November 6, 2020 4:33 PM
То:	Cunningham, Thomas E
Subject:	Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan draft available to view
Attachments:	2020 Webter County Multi-jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan DRAFT.pdf; Blank
	Timesheet.pdf

#### Hello Webster County MPC Members

A draft copy of the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available to view on the SMCOG website <u>here</u>. I also went ahead and attached a copy of the plan to this email. Please review the document and send me any comments/suggestions you have by **the end of the day on Friday**, **November 27**<sup>th</sup>. The plan is quite lengthy, so I would recommend doing a control+f search and specifically looking for your jurisdiction. Also please make sure I have the correct representatives listed for your jurisdictions on page 1 and 13. It should be noted that this is a draft copy of the plan. All of your information may not be included at this time. Expect multiple changes/updates to be made for the final copy.

You may also include the time spent reviewing the draft as part of your in-kind donation. We are still a few hours short of the goal, so please be sure to record your time and return the sheet to me ASAP. I have attached a blank timesheet for you to use. Please make sure to record your time and send to me if you have not already done so.

Also, if you have any other materials that need to be returned to us, please do so as soon as possible. This would include any Capabilities Assessment Questionnaires, STAPLEE sheets, Action Sheets, or Adoption Resolutions. It is **VERY IMPORTANT** that you complete and return these to us as they are required documents needed to participate in the plan. If we do not receive all of your documents, you **will not be** included in the final plan. I am still missing multiple items from multiple jurisdictions, so please make sure you are turning everything in to me. If you are confused as to whether or not you still need to send me something, feel free to call/email and I will walk you through what is required.

If you have any other questions or concerns regarding the plan, please do not hesitate to reach out to me – I would be happy to help in any way possible. I am out of the office quite a bit now, so feel free to email or call me on my cell phone at 417-234-6528. Thank you all so much for your participation and I look forward to hearing back from you!

#### Thomas Cunningham Associate Planner Southwest Missouri Council of Governments 110 Park Central Square | Springfield, MO 65806 Office: 417-836-6900 | Direct: 417-836-5281

Webster County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan

The preliminary draft of the Webster County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for review and comment at www.smcog.org until Monday, November 30<sup>th</sup>. The public is encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Webster County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a copy of the plan or make comments on the plan, please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments, at <u>tcunningham@missouristate.edu</u>.

# Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan - Draft

The initial draft of the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for public review and comment. Please submit comments to Thomas Cunningham at <u>tcunningham@missouristate.edu</u> by the end o the day on Friday November 27.

Please not: this is a vey early draft version of the document. Expect multiple changes and updates to be made.



2020 Webter County Multi-jurisdictio....

Download • 5.39MB

Tags:

SMCOG NHMP Webster County

f 🎔 in

 $\heartsuit$ 

Shifte OF MISSOURI       My Commission Expires Mar 23, 2024       Karen D. Keaton, Notary         My commission #12414377       Karen D. Keaton, Notary         Filed and Recorded this       day of       , 20         (Publication Fee, \$ 5.08)       5.08	before me this <u>// day of <u>NOV.</u>, 2020.</u>	been published regularly and consecutively for a period of three years and has a list of bona fide subscribers voluntarily engaged as such who have paid or agreed to pay a stated price for a subscription for a definite period of time, and that such newspaper has complied with the provisions of Section 493.050 Revised Statutes of Missouri, 2000. The affixed notice appeared in said newspaper on the following consecutive weeks: From <u>//-//</u>	State of Missouri, County of Webster,ss: I, Jamey Honeycutt being duly sworn according to law, state that I represent the publisher of The Marshfield Mail, a weekly newspaper of general circulation in the County of Webster, where located; which has been admitted to the Post Office as periodical matter in the City of Marshfield, the city of publication; which newspaper has	AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION	
	If you would like to view a copy of the plan or make comments on the plan, please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associ- ate Planner, Southwest Missou- ri Council of Governments, at t c u n n i n g h a m @missouristate.edu.	The preliminary draft of the Webster County Multijurisdic- tional Hazard Mitigation Plan now available for review and comment at www.smcog.org until Monday. November 30th. The public is encouraged to comment on the plan and values of residents are being addressed by Webster County in mitigating the potential dam- ages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.	Webster County		

\$

Last Name	First Name	Company	Job Title	Business Address	City	Zip Code
Smith	Josh	Boy Scouts of America Camp Arrowhead	Ranger	4202 State Hwy DD	Marshfield	65706
Carnahan	Kathy	City of Fordland	City Clerk	P.O. Box 59	Fordland	65652
Sanders	Duane	City of Fordland Police	Police Chief	P.O. Box 59	Fordland	65652
Rost	Sam	City of Marshfield	Deputy Administrator	798 S. Marshall	Marshfield	65706
Fletcher	Rick	City of Marshfield	Code Enforcement Officer			
Nevills	Richard	City of Marshfield	Utilities Superintendent			
Cheek	Carmen	City of Niangua	City Clerk	P.O. Box 81	Niangua	65713
Sitton	Mitch	City of Niangua	EMA	P.O. Box 81	Niangua	65713
Sutton	Larry	City of Rogersville	City Administrator	211 E. Center Street	Rogersville	65742
Laughlin	Paul	City of Rogersville	Police Chief	211 E. Center Street	Rogersville	65742
Crump	Bob	City of Seymour	Emergency Management Director	P.O. Box 247	Seymour	65746
Houk	Leslie	City of Seymour	City Clerk		· · ·	
Gintz	Hillary	City of Seymour	City Administrator	PO Box 247	Seymour	65746
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Conway Fire District			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Savage	Fred	Cox EMS	Manager East Region	547 W Hubble Dr	Marshfield	65706
Parrott	Sammy	Elkland Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	1865 Highway EE	Elkland	65644
	·	Fair Grove Fire				
Ford	Chris	Fordland R-III School District	Superintendent of Schools	1230 School St	Fordland	65652
Clarkson	Tim	Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District	Assistant Chief	3427 S. State Highway 125	Rogersville	65742
Stirts	Richard	Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District	FIre Chief	3427 S. State Highway 125	Rogersville	65742
McCarthy	Lisa	Marshfield Fire Protection District				
Fannen	Doug	Marshfield Police	Chief of Police	798 S. Marshall	Marshfield	65706
Henry	Mike	Marshfield R-I School District	Assistant Superintendent of Schools	170 State Hwy DD	Marshfield	65706
Steward	David	Marshfield R-I School District	Superintendent of Schools	170 State Hwy DD	Marshfield	65706
Barber	Jeff	Missouri University Extension Office	Housing and Environ Des Spec.	800 S. Marshall St.	Marshfield	65706
Terry	Megan	National Weather Service	Hydrologist	5805 West Highway EE	Springfield	65802
Cramer	Doug	National Weather Service	Senior Meterologist	5805 West Highway EE	Springfield	65802
Runnels	Steve	National Weather Service	WCM	5805 West Highway EE	Springfield	65802
Ricks,	Shawn	Niangua Fire District	Fire Chief	P.O. Box 81	Niangua	65713
McMahan	Gina	Niangua R-II School District	Secretary	301 Rumsey Street	Niangua	65713
Bransfield	TJ	Niangua R-II School District	Superintendent of Schools	301 Rumsey Street	Niangua	65713
Reece	Debi	OACAC	Supervisor	211 N. Clay Street, Suite D	Marshfield	65706
O'Neal	Jason	Rogersville R-VIII School District	Assistant Superintendent of Schools	100 E. Front Street	Rogersville	65742
Randles	Shawn	Rogersville R-VIII School District	Superintendent of Schools	100 E. Front Street	Rogersville	65742
Crump	Shawn	Seymour Fire Department	Fire Chief	PO Box 247	Seymour	65746
Denney	Bruce	Seymour R-II School District	Superintendent		Seymour	65746
Boring	Tony	Seymour Special Road District	Superintendant		Seymour	65746
Dawon	Peter	Show-Me Power	Director, Safety and Environemental	Se 18572 State Hwy 38	Marshfield	65706
Johnson	Kelley	Southern Webster County FPD	Fire Chief	216 W Market St	Seymour	65746
Edwards	Jason	Southern Webster County FPD	Deputy Chief	216 W. Market St	Seymour	65746
Porter	Shannon	Southwest Center for Independent Living	Chief Executive Officer	2864 S. Nettleton Ave.	Springfield	65807

Holmes	Barbara	Village of Diggins	Village Chairperson	281 S. Diggins Main St.	Diggins	65636
Bright	Shelly	Village of Diggins	City Clerk	PO Box 77	Diggins	65636
McMillan	Dan	Webster County 911	Director	565 S. Prarie Lane	Marshfield	65706
Passow	Lauralei	Webster County CERT	CERT Coordinator	533 Commercial St	Marshfield	65706
Whitehurst	Stan	Webster County Clerk	County Clerk	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Fraker	Dale	Webster County Commission	Northern Commission	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Ipock	Paul	Webster County Commission	Presiding Commissioner	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Owens	Randy	Webster County Commission	Southern Commissioner	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Taylor	Michael	Webster County Coroner	Coroner/ Marshfield Fire Chief	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
		Webster County DSS	Manager		Marshfield	65706
Simmons	Tom	Webster County Emergency Management	Emergency Management Director	433 E. Commercial St	Marshfield	65706
Mann	Richard	Webster County Emergency Management	Volunteer/COAD	434 E. Commercial St	Marshfield	65706
Amsinger	Dennis	Webster County Flood Plain Management	Surveyor/ Flood Plain Manager	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Banks	Terre	Webster County Health Dept.	Administrator	233 E Washington St	Marshfield	65706
Sturdefant	Mike	Webster County Road and Bridge	Assistant Supervisor	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Turner	Wayne	Webster County Road and Bridge	Special Projects	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
		Webster County Sheriff	Sheriff	101 S. Crittenden	Marshfield	65706
Houston	Tom	Webster Electric	Operations Manager	120 Vivian St	Marshfield	65706



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE June 2, 2020

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner

# Webster County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Webster County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update kick-off meeting will be held on July 1st, 2019 at 1 p.m. at the Webster County Emergency Operations Center, 433 E. Commercial St., Marshfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2014.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE June 2, 2020

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner

# Webster County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Webster County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update second meeting will be held on August 5th, 2019 at 1 p.m. at the Webster County Emergency Operations Center, 433 E Commercial St., Marshfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE June 2, 2020

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner

# Webster County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Meeting 3

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Webster County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update third meeting will be held on September 30, 2019 at 1 p.m. at the Webster County Emergency Operations Center, 433 E Commercial St., Marshfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE June 2, 2020

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner

# Webster County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Meeting 4

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Webster County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update fourth meeting will be held on November 4, 2019 at 1 p.m. at the Webster County Emergency Operations Center, 433 E Commercial St., Marshfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE June 2, 2020

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner

# Webster County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan – Final Meeting

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Webster County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update 5<sup>th</sup> and final meeting will be held on January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020 at 1 p.m. at the Webster County Emergency Operations Center, 433 E Commercial St., Marshfield, Mo.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is *any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event*. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.



May 15, 2019

RE: Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Webster County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Webster County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years <u>must</u> participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Monday, July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019 at 1:00 p.m.

Included is a questionnaire that must be filled out by a representative from your jurisdiction. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more.

An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

Once completed, please be sure to return your questionnaire by the specified date. It can be emailed to <u>meganclark@missouristate.edu</u>, faxed to (417) 836–4146, or turned in to Megan Clark in person at the kickoff meeting.

Please see the back of this letter for additional information.



#### <u>Kickoff Meeting:</u> Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at the kickoff meeting will include greater detail on the update process, necessary participants and their responsibilities, elements of the natural hazard mitigation plan and update items, and a timeline for completion, approval, and adoption of the plan update.

Additional plan update meetings will be held at 1:00 p.m. at the Webster County EOC on the following dates:

August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2019 September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2019 November 4<sup>th</sup>, 2019 January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2020

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process.

If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at <u>meganclark@missouristate.edu</u>.

Miger Clut

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



June 2, 2020

RE: Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Webster County Commission to assist with the required fiveyear update of the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 2nd meeting for the update of the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> Meeting: Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, August 5th, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include a risk assessment of hazards throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Additional plan update meetings will be held September 30, November 4, and January 13, 2020.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Sincerely,

Miger Club

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

901 South National Ave • Springfield, MO 65897 • 417-836-6900 • www.smcog.org An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Institution



June 2, 2020

RE: Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Webster County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 3rd meeting for the update of the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

#### <u>3rd Meeting:</u> Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E Commercial St. Marshfield, MO Monday, September 30th, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation strategies to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Additional plan update meetings will be held November 26 and January 13.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Meger Clut

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



June 2, 2020

RE: Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Webster County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 4th meeting for the update of the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

#### <u>4th Meeting:</u> Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, November 4, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation actions implementation in order to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

The final plan update meeting will be held January 13, 2020 at 1 p.m.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Meger Club

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Serving Barry, Christian, Dade, Dallas, Greene, Lawrence, Polk, Stone, Taney, & Webster Counties December 20<sup>th</sup>, 2019

RE: Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments has entered into an agreement with the State Emergency Management Agency and the Webster County Commission to assist with the required five-year update of the Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A FEMA approved local hazard mitigation plan must be in place in order for local units of government to remain eligible for hazard mitigation grant programs.

Community and stakeholder involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan is critical to the planning process and necessary to ensure that it meets the needs of all communities and districts included in the plan.

You and/or a representative from your jurisdiction or organization are invited to attend the 5<sup>th</sup> and final meeting for the update of the Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan:

#### <u>Final Meeting:</u> Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, January 13, 2020 1:00 p.m.

Topics to be discussed at this meeting include mitigation actions implementation in order to address hazards that were identified throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process.

Again, this is the final plan update meeting.

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process. If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the final meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-6901 or at meganclark@missouristate.edu.

Neger Clutz

Megan Clark, AICP Senior Planner The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



## Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2020

### **Notice of Open Meeting**

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the kick-off meeting on the Dade County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, July 1.

### Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Webster County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Webster County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

#### Items to be discussed:

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
  - Disaster Mitigation Act, Grants, Mitigation Plan funding
- The Planning Process
  - Organization, Risk Assessment, Develop and Review Mitigation Strategies, Adoption Resolutions
- Participation Requirements
  - Capabilities Survey, Meeting attendance, Time Sheets
- Future Meeting Dates



### Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2019

### **Notice of Open Meeting**

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting on the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, August 5.

### Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Dade County EOC 433 E Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, August 5, 2018 1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Webster County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Webster County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

#### Items to be discussed:

- The Planning Process
- County risk assessment of natural hazards
- Future Meeting Dates



### Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2020

### **Notice of Open Meeting**

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting on the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, September 30.

### Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, September 30, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Webster County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Webster County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

#### Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation strategies to address identified hazards
- Planning process recap
- Future Meeting Dates



### Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2019

### **Notice of Open Meeting**

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 4<sup>th</sup> meeting on the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, November 4, 2019.

### Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E Commercial St. Marshfield, MO Monday, November 4, 2019 1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Webster County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Webster County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

#### Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation action implementation
- Planning process recap
- Future Meeting Dates



### Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update 2018

### **Notice of Open Meeting**

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments will hold the 5<sup>th</sup> and final meeting on the Webster County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update on Monday, January 13.

### Webster County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting Webster County EOC 433 E Commercial St Marshfield, MO Monday, January 13, 2020 1:00 p.m.

Jurisdictional representatives and the public are invited to learn how local governments in Webster County are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events.

All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting and provide input on this Plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The Webster County Commission, the governing body of each participating City, School District, Road, Fire, and Ambulance District must formally adopt the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

#### Items to be discussed:

- Mitigation action implementation
- Planning process recap

Monday, July 1, 1 p.m. Webster County Emergency Management 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO

Meeting #1 Presentation

Tags:

Webster County SMCOG

f 🍠 in

Hazard Mitigation • Meeting



Monday, August 5, 1 p.m. Webster County Emergency Management 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO

Presentation

Tags:

Webster County SMCOG

f 🍠 in

Hazard Mitigation • Meeting

 $\heartsuit$ 

Monday, September 30, 1 p.m. Webster County Emergency Management 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO

Tags:

Webster County SMCOG

f 🍠 in

Hazard Mitigation • Meeting



Monday, November 4, 1 p.m. Webster County Emergency Management 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO

Tags:

Webster County SMCOG

f 🍠 in

Hazard Mitigation • Meeting

 $\heartsuit$ 

Monday, January 12, 2020, 1 p.m. Webster County Emergency Management 433 E. Commercial St Marshfield, MO


Tags:

Webster County SMCOG

f 🎔 in

Hazard Mitigation • Meeting

 $\heartsuit$ 



Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD) – US Department of Transportation:

Funds for the FY 2019 BUILD Transportation grants program are to be awarded on a competitive basis for surface transportation infrastructure projects that will have a significant local or regional impact. The FY 2019 Appropriations Act specifies that BUILD Transportation grants may not be less than \$5 million and not greater than \$25 million, except that for projects

n Plan Update	Email	Webster Couts Health Unit & Signal webstorohealth. com			Lartine a marsh field mari	whether a whether want is a		)	city 078 & century telinet			moickel@usebokr.counterine.an]	arcstine @ chameparer.com
ty Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Webster County EOC July 1st, 2019 at 1:00 p.m. Sign in (Please Print)	Jurisdiction/Organization	Webster County Health Uni	Wilster Co. C.0AD	Webster Peurtzy		Webster Cruty Au Deat	CITY OF FORDLAND	City of Ferdland	city of Fordland	W ebster Cornity	Webstread	Iclebstor Country	F Sho-me Hower
Webster County Multi-Ju Mitigation ] W July Si	Name Title	Brent Les Emergence Planner	Gerald Nehl Chair	Dale Fraker North. Comm.	Karen Oraigo editor, marsh. mai	Warna tume Special Prove ho man	THAMAS PELTON OPERATOR	taul Farnsworth mayor Pro-tem	environt Kathy Larnahon City Clerk	Haul york commission	10m Juin WEBSTLE EMA	Missey Pickel Winder Wight Minney	capi postine Emicomental accounted
		<u>[]</u>	<u>s</u>			.3		A MAN H	A X Young	<u></u>			0

	Sign in (Please Print)	
JIN S	Junischetion/Organization	Email
Service Indralision / uctorshand	Alstrend Whather Suc.	mean terry & power april
C O M.	WEDSTER CO.	
ventual de	City of Mersh Beld	Kmc39@ anail. (m)
	2 had marker ()	man and what a man
	outhern "	FICED 3550 a mail
	psed and	Ferchhadaoure deat D Penkurtel and
	ty of Seymour	Seymour Eme @ Seymoury raissoury dry
	Webster Co.	ADSEDDA DOL. COM
-	its of MerskFreld	ibensone nuchtielden men
	Fordlad Scharls	chostado Fullal chal and
		(nylogiczani)
COUNTY NOTHER AND ENHORMAN POLICIAN Mebseu Super Laun	VENtiela VENtiela DE VEDE Code Co. Survyor Co. Survyor	3-8 4 3 3

Xhoue timesheet O doesn't count

			•		
Γ	W	ebster County Multi-Juri	sdictional Hazard Mitigation	Plan Update	
		Mitigation P	lanning Committee Meeting		
			bster County EOC		
			ıst 5, 2019 1:00 p.m.		
		8	n-in (Please Print)		
-		NIG			
	Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email	
$\vdash$	Wayne huner	Webster Co, Road Next		wheneve webster canty mo	
1	haghe hones		)	gou gou	
		(			
-	Tom SEMMONS	WIRECTOR	WEBSTER CO EM	TSIMMONS @ WEBSTER COUNT	mo.Go
(	Berold NehL	Dir	C.OAD	gruchL R conturylink.	Noc1
	Missy Pickel -	Payroll Specialist	Webster Country	mpictule webster countyme.g	<b>PA</b>
			Villace of Diagins		
	Barbara Holme	s Chairman	Village of Diggins		
	and Ratho	Barronmontal Crasd.	she-me Power	crostine C shomepower	con
$\vdash$	Capi postine	Environmental Cocod. City of MarshfieldEM		•	
	Lico MCCarllen	Marshfeld Fire/FPD <	Admin Asst	1kmc39@gmail.com	
$\vdash$	Lisk n Carting	New Strew Fill 1			
	Sam Kost	Deputy City Administrator	City of Marshheld	samrost @marshfield mo.gov	-
F		Ouport Cirp Providence			
4	THOMAS FELTON	OPERATOR	CITY OF FORDWAND		-
			and of Fulling	Linge portugital net	
	Kothy Carnahan	City Clerk	City of Fordland	city 078 e century tel. net	4
			City of Fordland	•	
ŀ	Paul Farnsworth	Alderman			-
Γ	. <i>û</i>		Marshtick R-I schools	barra convariation and	
	Organ bower	Diseder of Man	Masshrick K-I schouls	Didor Den indrade of	1
	l			bryan. gower @ miays, us	

1

.

Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Webster County EOC August 5, 2019 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print) Title Jurisdiction/Organization Name Email tjbransfieldeyahoo.com Niangua Superintendent Niangua L-55charsts T.J. Branstiele Seymour Special  $\mathcal{D}_{t}$ BORING KOMENVISON Ionii City Emerg Manus RUMD Chy Bensin bensone most hele

· · ·				
	Webster County Multi-Juris Mitigation Pla Web Septemb Sign	Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Webster County EOC September 30, 2019 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print)	Plan Update	
Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email	
- Lisa Mellarthy	Adnin 4st /FF/EMTB	MarshAreld	1 kmc 39 8 gmail com	
Tom SIMMAKS	ER CC	WEBSER CO	73IMMONS QUEBSTER 20 WITYMO. Com	and a
Scenducer	ENERCENCY FLANER	WEBSTER CO. HEACH UN Y	sallenew ebsterc-heftin com	) ) )
-Barb Holmes		an Village of Diggins	diggins Chighspeed. com	
- Capi Restin	actine Environmental coordinator	she-me Power flectic cooperative	crestine C chanepara com	
- 1-2. Aranifield	& Whanguak June	Rhangua	Thran Field Cumpon	
- L. Dume Sander		Fordland	Por Alga Agolice dept p contucy tel net	h net
- Bob CRUMP	City & Seymour EMO	Seymout	Sermauremo la Seymour Missouri, bro	
Mrchael Taylor	Fire Chref-Maderful	OB-M/ CHM	Meter Breacher Dave Da	ž
Gerald NIN-	4 Tala			
John Benson	City Relministrater	City of MarshFrelop	Bensan CMas Freehrough	Ş
Missel No	Printell Specialist	l'rebeler Country	moichelle webster countyness	
Poule Fluker Whyne Turner	Comunisationer Speetal Projects Mgr.	webster County	d'Frakere webster countyries gur witurnere webstreentyres. Just	<b>S</b> 184
2		) )		-

-----------

Name Ferrers OPETZATOZ Thomas Ferrers OPETZATOZ Kathy Lamebar Lity Plerk Timety Clarkson Asch Chief Pony Boring Foremon RAND JOUR Foremon	le l	Mutugation rianning committee Meeting Webster County EOC September 30, 2019 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print) Le Jurisdiction/Organization Email Sign-in (Please Print) Fordlard C1+4 C1+40 Recentury fel. Kordlard C1+4 C1+40 Recentury fel. Agen. Received Fin Ard With 25 ADM Fentury fel. WebsTer Co, PIPOLS With Fel.	Email Email CityOre ocentury tel net tolarkson Infre. and SSRDar Century tel. Net pipert & WEBSTER countymo. Gov

ı Plan Update	Email	Ilemesq @ g Mart . com	i bensim a moush Felchure. good.	telerkson @ Leftre org	becrump@ centurotel. wet	Ford and police dept & Centurytel 1	ROWENS Q WEBSTER COUNTY MO, SOU			
ty Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Webster County EOC November 4, 2019 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print)	Jurisdiction/Organization	CLUI of Marchilheld	City of MarshFred	Logan-Rogersulle Fire Act. Dist	City of Seymone	City of Focdland	webster Co.			
Webster County Multi-Juri Mitigation P We Noven Sig	Title	EMA ASSU	City Administrater	Asst. Chief	City of Seymour EMA	Chief of Police	RANdy Owen Commissioner			
	Name	125a McCorthuy	John Jehn	Tim Clarkson	Beb CRIVMP	L. Duare Sandrecs	RANdy DWG			

net

n Plan Update	Email	TSIMMONS QUEBSTERLOUNSYME	Whence webster and no. 2 or	crestine & chome power.com	PIPECK @ WEBSTER COUNT TY MU. GOU	diggins@ totalhighspeed.con					
Webster County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting Webster County EOC November 4, 2019 1:00 p.m. Sign-in (Please Print)	Jurisdiction/Organization	WEBSTER County	h Webshe Court,	she-me fower	Wakster County	Village of Diggins		•			
<sup>7</sup> ebster County Multi-Juris Mitigation Pla Web Novem Sigr	Title	DERECTOR EMP	webetter Co. R.D. Special Majer Webette Count	Carli Pertine Environmental Cectatinater	Presiding Commissioner						
8	Name	Tom Semmars	Wayne Twiner	Cari restine	PAUL IDOCK	Barbara Holmes					-

7 mo Ca

W	ebster County Multi-Juri	sdictional Hazard Mitigation I	Plan Update	
	Mitigation P	lanning Committee Meeting		
		bster County EOC		
		ry 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2020 1:00 p.m.		
	Sig	n-in (Please Print)		
Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email	
Tim Clarkson	Assist Chief	Logan-Rogersully Fire Prot Dist	Harkson Olifire org	
Bob CRUMP	City of Seymour EMO	Seymour	becrump@centurytel, wot -	
Wayne Turner	special Projects Webster Co.	Webster County	wharmer owebs he count mo. sou	
Capi Rostine	Environmental coordinator	sho-me pouer	crostine e chomepower. con	
Tony Bosing	FORMAN	Seymour Special Rd	SSRD ( contury to - net -	·
Brzan bower	Diredor of Main,	Marshtield Schools	by an gow of Mjays. US	
Mike Henry	Ast. Syperntadet	Marshfield R-I School	mike henry @ mjags . us	
Jay Munhollon	U .	Fordland R-III School	jaymunhollon afadand schods. a	
4 Prane Sandos	Chief of Police	Fordland	Fordland folice dept @ century Telin	
I Bransfield	Viangua k-5 Supt.	Niangua	+ pransfield c yalut. cum	7
Barb Holmes	Chairmany Board EMERGENEY	Diggins	oliggins & total highspeed. com	*
Scott ALLEN	EMERGENEY PLANNER	NEBSTER CO HEALTH	SALLENC WEBSTERCOHEALT	TH .C

	Mitigation P We Januar	isdictional Hazard Mitigation I lanning Committee Meeting bster County EOC ry 13 <sup>th</sup> , 2020 1:00 p.m. m-in (Please Print)	-	
Name Nichael Jaylor	Title Firechia=	Jurisdiction/Organization MarshField Fire Deft. /District	May or MushFild MO. OOU	
<b>~</b>	MFT/HEIMA Adimic Acat City Aclouhistrata	1kmc39@gneil.com < City of MushFreld	Lensaconeshtill	- ve.ser
				-

STAPLEE Worksheet				
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County			
	Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:				
Name of Action or Project:	Highway A			
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Project			
STAI	PLEE Criteria			
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	2			
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	ıt?	1		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	3		
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2		
Could it be implemented quickly?		1		
	STAPLEE SCORE	21		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10		
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7		
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	17		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	38		

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet				
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County			
	Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:				
Name of Action or Project:	U.S. 60 Profile Adjustment			
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Project			
STAI	PLEE Criteria			
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	nt?	1		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2		
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2		
Could it be implemented quickly?		1		
	STAPLEE SCORE	18		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9		
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8		
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	34		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)			

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet				
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County			
	Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:				
Name of Action or Project:	Highway Z			
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Project			
STA	PLEE Criteria			
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	ıt?	1		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	3		
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2		
Could it be implemented quickly?		1		
	STAPLEE SCORE	20		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9		
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6		
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	15		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	35		

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet				
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County			
	Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:				
Name of Action or Project:	BSNF Railroad Overpass			
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Project			
STA	PLEE Criteria			
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	nt?	2		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3		
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2		
Could it be implemented quickly?		1		
	STAPLEE SCORE	21		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9		
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8		
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	16		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	37		

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet				
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County			
	Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:				
Name of Action or Project:	Built Environment GIS Database			
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach			
STAI	PLEE Criteria			
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	1		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	nt?	1		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3		
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2		
Could it be implemented quickly?		2		
	STAPLEE SCORE	18		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7		
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5		
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30		

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Underground Threats GIS Database	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
	Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	27

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by		

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Community Fairs and Expositions	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
	Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	28

High Priority (30+ points)Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)Low Priority (<25 points)	High Priority (30+ points)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Low Priority (<25 points)
--	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Social Media and Public Information	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eval</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Public Education for Citizen Preparedness	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		31
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	

Night PriorityNiedium PriorityLow Priority(30+ points)(25 - 29 points)(<25 points)	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
	(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley, D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radios	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Webster County		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Low Water Crossing Markings	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	33

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Public Education for Local Emergency Warning	Resources
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		29
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	29

High Priority (30+ points)Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)Low Priority (<25 points)	<b>u</b>					
--	----------	--	--	--	--	--

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

417-268-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction	
Mitigation Category:	Structural and Infrastructure Improvements	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

x     (30+ points)       (25 - 29 points)	High Priority(30+ points)			Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---------------------------	--	--	------------------------------

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	29

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	28

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Private Safe Room Construction Outreach	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	29

High Priority (30+ points)	X Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		
(Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	High Hazard Dam Emergency Action Plan	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	28

High Priority (30+ points)	X Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		
(Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whithurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Low Water Crossing Improvements	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Improvements	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		
(Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Area Property Projects	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	23

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		
(Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		27
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	diction: Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Debris Disposal Plan	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		27
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Snow and Debris Clearing	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra <b>Environment</b> ?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	34

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Road Standards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
	Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-468-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Webster County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation Funding Opportunities	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

High Priority (30+ points)	X Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		
(Name, Title, Phone Number)	Stanley D. Whitehurst, County Clerk	417-268-2223

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	Community preparedness		
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach		
STAI	PLEE Criteria		
	Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3	
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	1	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1	
Could it be implemented quickly?		2	
STAPLEE SCORE		21	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36	

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Siren Coverage		
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services		
STAI	PLEE Criteria		
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1	
Could it be implemented quickly?		1	
STAPLEE SCORE		20	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35	

High Priority (30+ points)Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)Low Priority (<25 points)
--

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	Local weather applications		
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach		
STA	PLEE Criteria		
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0	
Could it be implemented quickly?		3	
STAPLEE SCORE		23	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39	

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas		
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services		
	PLEE Criteria uation Rating	Score	
Definitely YES Probably NO =	= 3 Maybe YES = 2		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1	
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0	
Could it be implemented quickly?		2	
STAPLEE SCORE		21	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		36	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)			

YHigh Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Residential safe room construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		33
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by		

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training		
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach		
STAI	PLEE Criteria		
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0	
Could it be implemented quickly?		2	
STAPLEE SCORE		20	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33	

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	911 addressing for structures	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STA	STAPLEE Criteria	
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Diggins	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Tree Ordinance	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

High Priority(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Village of Diggins		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:		
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation Funding Opportunities	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	35

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barbara Holmes, Board Chairman

417-767-2395

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	Large Generator	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
	PLEE Criteria luation Rating = 3 Maybe YES = 2	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	<u>a an tha an an</u>	.3
T: is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		<u></u> 3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
	STAPLEE SCORE	20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in iives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	36
TTT High Priority	F Medium Priority	Low Priority

 High Priority (30+ points)
 Image: Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)
 Image: Completed by Mathy Carnahan
 Image: Completed by (<25 points)</th>

 Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)
 Image: Completed by Mathy Carnahan
 Image: Completed by (<25 points)</td>

 (Name, Title, Phone Number)
 Image: Completed by Mathy Carnahan
 Image: Completed by (<25 points)</td>

 (Name, Title, Phone Number)
 Image: Completed by Mathy Carnahan
 Image: Completed by (<25 points)</td>

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Siren	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	The second s	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	nistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	I or positive impact on the natural	/
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
	STAPLEE SCORE	16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
		15
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	31
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Kathy Carnahan	City Clerk
	Kathy Carnahan 117-767-2829	Ext 1.

	STAPLEE Worksheet/	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forcland	100 - 100 - 1910 - 100 -
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert'a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nam number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	future tracking purposes. ne, followed by the goal
Name of Action or Project:	By Pass Pun	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
에 가지, 2017년 2017년 1월 2017년 2018년 2018년 1월 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	PLEE Criteria uation Rating = 3 Maybe YES = 2	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	nistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	2
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	14
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	35
High Priority (30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Kathy Carnahan	City Cherk
	117-767-	- PE 39 + 1
	Ex	+. l

ويجري وسوحا بالما التحج بحجارات الالاراب والا

-----. an e e

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	lame of Jurisdiction: City of Fordland	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	36

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Adud Marshell	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	3.3.1	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		02
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		j.
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	nt?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	I or positive impact on the natural	
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	and the second
Could it be implemented quickly?		****
	STAPLEE SCORE	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	Ø B
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	$+\sqrt{\rho}$
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	- 3Z
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

High Priority Low Priority Low Priority	
(<25 points) (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)	
Completed by	

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Octa & Marsh Lield	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	3.2.1	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	de systemente en telepteralise electrony de substant substant de substant de la transference de la sub-la transf	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		an de la companya de
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		James
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		Zierra
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	t?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		June
	STAPLEE SCORE	8
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
—	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

Modum Unrity High Driverty
High Priority Medium Priority Low Priority
High Priority Medium Priority Low Priority
(30+ points) (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)
(30+ points) (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Catego Br. Marshiledad	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	3,1,1	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
n de la construcción de la constru Nexa espectación de la construcción		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		7
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	otected?	$\bigcirc$
Could it be implemented quickly?		id g
· · · ·	STAPLEE SCORE	19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

	Medium Priority Low Priority
High Priority	
X_(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points) (<25 points)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	1 Athan Marshald	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	2.2.3	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		ally southern
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?		La composition de la c
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	44

	Medium Priority Low Priority	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority         Low Priority           (25 - 29 points)         (<25 points)	

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Aitu Da Maushkip Rd		
Action or Project			
Action/Project Number:	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal	
Name of Action or Project:	2.2.1		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency		
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable			
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		Queen	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2	
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	) June	
Could it be implemented quickly?		in the second	
	STAPLEE SCORE	20-	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	A second s	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	16	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	9.B	

High Priority	Medium Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points) (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Ista & Mawkkeld	7
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	2.1.1	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3.
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		n de la competencia de la comp
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		j.
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	<u></u>
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	a company and
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		16-11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	1
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	34

	dium Priority Low Priority
	dium Priority Low Priority
High Priority Me	
(30+ points) (25	5 - 29 points) (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City & Mainheld	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.3.5	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		June
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
	STAPLEE SCORE	1.1.1.2.0
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	ter date

High Priority Low Priority Low Priority
(30+ points) (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)
High Priority (30+ points) (25 - 29 points) Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Ceter of Marshella	9
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.3.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	3.
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	it?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$\langle \rangle$
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	201
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in	Assign from 5-10 points based on the	
lives saved? Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	likelihood that lives will be saved. Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	$\mathcal{D}$
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	40

High Priority (30+ points) (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority
	(<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Atantiand	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.2.4	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		<u>2</u>
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		* + FA regimma
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implemen	ıt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	A constraint of the second s
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$\mathcal{O}$
Could it be implemented quickly?		$\bigcirc$
	STAPLEE SCORE	14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	31

High Priority Medium Priority Low Priority
High Priority Medium Priority Low Priority
Low Priority
L (30+ noints)
(<25 points) (<25 - 29 points) (<25 points)
(25 - 29 points) (25 - 29 points) (25 - 29 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Maysheld	andar da da na
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	<b>U</b>
Name of Action or Project:	1,1,5	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	•
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eva Definitely YES Probably NO =	에 이 있는 것 같은 것 같	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		485-3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	V65-2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	THAYES?
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		VE5-3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	VE5-2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		V65-4
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	NO-O
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	NU - O
Could it be implemented quickly?		45-2
	STAPLEE SCORE	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	* 10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	Service and the service of the servi
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	_3.2

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority Low Priority (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)	
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Lisa Milanling	

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Morshfuld	
	Action of Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nat number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.25	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Evaluation Rating         Score           Definitely YES = 3         Maybe YES = 2           Probably NO = 1         Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		4
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	ıt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	I or positive impact on the natural	
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$\bigcirc$
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
	STAPLEE SCORE	2
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	Ø
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	41

	Medium Priority	
	(25 - 29 points)	
High Priority (30+ points)		Low Priority (<25 points)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Paty Dr. Marshlield	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.3.7	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		7
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$(A_{i}) = (A_{i})^{-1} (A_{i}$
Could it be implemented quickly?		Ĵ
	STAPLEE SCORE	19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative	
a reduction of disaster damages?	reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	31
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pita of Marshheld	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	1.3.6	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
CONTRACTOR OF A LOCATION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPA DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A D	The second se	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implemen	nt?	Ċ.
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		June
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$\bigcirc$
Could it be implemented quickly?		And a management
	STAPLEE SCORE	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	je j
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	6
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	33
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(30+ points) (25 - 29 Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Marshfield	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nat number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	Ze je skolet	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implemen	ıt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2-
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or prot	tected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?		and the second s
	STAPLEE SCORE	29
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	18
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	

	Medium Priority Low Priority
High Priority (30+ points)	(25 - 29 points) Low Priority (<25 points)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pita & Narshella	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	2.2.2	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eva Definitely YES Probably NO =	A VEHICLE AND A REPORT OF A PROVE THE PARTY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPT	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		en de la companya de
	STAPLEE SCORE	29
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	S
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	

High Priority	
	Medium Priority Low Priority (25 - 29 points) (<25 points)
(30+ points)	Medium Priority     Low Priority       (25 - 29 points)     (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City & Marshuld	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	2.2.4	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ially successful?	la l
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		- Comer
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		Les.
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	June
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		and the second sec
· · ·	STAPLEE SCORE	20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	<u> </u>
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
· · ·	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	36

High Priority Medium	n Priority Low Priority
(30+ points) (25 - 29	9 points) (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Adu & Marshhild	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	<u> </u>
Name of Action or Project:	3.1.2	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	المحتير فيكر فمستحر وفيقسيان شيحسين كالمحمسين فسيت والمحاج فالمواحظ وجرافي فالوحج فليحجج فتوقعون أأوافا ومستحاجا فالمحوا حاجا	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	******
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	$\overline{O}$
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	$\Box$
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	C
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$(\mathcal{C})$
Could it be implemented quickly?		NUTTER A CONTRACT OF A CONTRAC
	STAPLEE SCORE	10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
· · · ·	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	21

High Priority		
	r Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	🗶 (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	Cate is Narshbur	ld -
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	3.2.5	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	ıt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	$\bigcirc$
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	$\mathcal{O}$
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
	STAPLEE SCORE	4
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	м ()
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	34-

High Priority Cow Priority (<25 points)
Completed by

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm siren coverage - Monitor annexation pro to upcoming and potential annexations to en coverage remains consistent with current st	nsure warning siren
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eva Definitely YES Probably NO		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	tially successful?	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3 3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		Ó
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3 .
	STAPLEE SCORE	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	408
-	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	2018.
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	39
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Tun Pett Mayok 417894-5009

Name of Invidiations	STAPLEE Worksheet City of Niangua	
Name of Jurisdiction:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction - Integrate safe room c community buildings, schools, large facilities serving the public in areas of population con	and other establishment
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eva</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3.
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	28
High Priority (30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
High Priority	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness) Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update torn and identify refuge areas that comply with F Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schoo other establishments serving the public.	EMA publication 431
Mitigation Category:		
		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3 3
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in	Assign from 5-10 points based on the	
lives saved? Will the implemented action result in	likelihood that lives will be saved. Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative	
a reduction of disaster damages?	reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Community extreme temperature refuge areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildi and make these locations available to the public in the event of extreme temperatures	
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eva</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to impleme	nt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Residential safe room construction - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans an cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small business and cost estimates for construction.	
Mitigation Category:		
		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		Я
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Underground utilities - Encourage electrical utilities to use undergr construction methods where possible to reduce disruptions of se due to natural hazard events.	
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eva</b> l Definitely YES Probably NO =		Scor
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Scol
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Pri (<25 pc

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Natural area preservation in hazard prone areas acquisition, reuse, and preservation plan tar	
Mitigation Category:		
		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	tially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
.: Is there Legal authority to impleme	nt?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Nill historic structures be saved or pro	otected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Vill the implemented action result in ives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Vill the implemented action result in reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - Encourage all elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders to participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Eva Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		7
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	1.
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

lame of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 addressing for structures - Enforce highly visible 911 addressing for residences and businesses through building and business permitting well as public education of existing ordinances.	
Mitigation Category:		
STA	PLEE Criteria	
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and poten	tially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or pro	ptected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3.
	STAPLEE SCORE	24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	44
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Water conservation - Develop an ordinance to restrict the use of pub water resources for non-essential usage, such as landscaping, wash cars, filling swimming pools, etc.	
Mitigation Category:		
	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	ially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

lame of Jurisdiction:	City of Niangua	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Monitor funding programs - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities.	
Mitigation Category:		
		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potent	tially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	otected?	
Could it be implemented quickly?		
	STAPLEE SCORE	20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:         City of Niangua		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number: 2.3		
Name of Action or Project: NFIP participation		
Mitigation Category: Prevention		
STA		
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
	38	
High Driority	Madium Briarity	Low Priority

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour		
Action or Project			
Action/Project Number: 1.1			
Name of Action or Project:	Community Preparedness:           Increase, promote, establish, and maintain participation in citizen prepare activities such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, F           Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.		
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach		
STA	PLEE Criteria		
Evaluation RatingDefinitely YES = 3Maybe YES = 2Probably NO = 1Definitely NO = 0		Score	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2	
Could it be implemented quickly?		1	
STAPLEE SCORE		20	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18	
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38	
High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority	

High Priority (30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Outdoor Warning Sirens: Increase the number or warning sirens in developing areas and make all warning sirens radio activated.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eval</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
	STAPLEE SCORE	21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	16
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	37

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by		

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	OACAC Programs: Encourage local community organizations to con to provide fans, air conditioners, and winter weat	
Mitigation Category:	prevention	
	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra <b>Environment</b> ?	al or positive impact on the natural	1
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	26

	High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
	(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
~			

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas:</u> Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings and make these locations available to the public in the event of extreme temperatures.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	· ·	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
	STAPLEE SCORE	20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>Residential Saferoom Construction:</u> Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small business and cost estimates for construction.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and outreach, structure and infrastruc	cture projects
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	<b>inistrative</b> capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>Underground Utilities:</u> Encourage electrical utilities to use underground construction methods where possible to reduce disruptions of service due to natural hazard events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	P: Is it Politically acceptable?	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	14
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Area Property Protection: Acquire, Elevate, and Flood-Proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	·	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	26

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>Stormwater Runoff Management:</u> Encourage the adoption of low impact storm water management policies to control runoff from developing areas outside the floodplain where ordinances have not been enacted.	
Mitigation Category:	prevention	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>NFIP Participation:</u> The NFIP community of Seymour will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), floodplain identification and mapping, including local requests for map updates.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STA	PLEE Criteria	
<b>Eval</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
	STAPLEE SCORE	18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	14
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	34
High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority

High Priority (30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by		

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	n: City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	<b>r:</b> 2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Natural Area Preservation in Hazard Prone Areas: Develop and open space acquisition, reuse, and preservation plan targeting hazard areas.	
Mitigation Category:	Natural systems protection	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	24

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	city of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training: Encourage all elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders, and responder to participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	· ·	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Seymour		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	<u>911 Addressing for Structures:</u> Enforce highly visible 911 addressing for residences and businesses through building and business permitting as well as public education of existing ordinances.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and outreach	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	· · ·	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Tree Ordinance:           Enhance strategies and coordinate with utility providers to manage encroachment of vegetation in easements and rights of way.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, emergency services	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria Evaluation Rating		Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	diction: City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	lumber: 3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Water Conservation: Develop and ordinance to restrict the use of public water resources for non- essential usage during droughts such as: Landscaping, washing cars, filling swimming pools, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Natural systems protection	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seymour	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	tion/Project Number: 3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Monitor Funding Programs: Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities.	
Mitigation Category:	prevention	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	· · ·	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

lame of Jurisdiction: City of Seymour		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5 (new)	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Water Survey: Conduct a city-wide water stormwater management study and prepare a plan for future storm water infrastructure upgrades and improvements (new action)	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAI	PLEE Criteria	
Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	-	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leslie Houk City Clerk 417-935-4401

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction: Fordland R-III School District		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard awareness program for schools - Continue to promote and expand educational programs regarding natural hazard mitigation and preparedness in school newsletters and seek to integrate information on natural hazards into school curriculum where feasible.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	35

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland R-III School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	<b>Local weather applications</b> - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices, such as; KTTS, KSPR and KY3 Severe Weather Warnings. These should be in addition to, not in replace of NOAA all-hazard radios.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
-	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	30

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland R-III School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	<b>Safe room construction</b> - Integrate safe roo community buildings, schools, large facilities serving the public in areas of population cor	s and other establishments
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects, prevention	ı
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	36

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland R-III School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	<b>Safe refuge area plan</b> - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
-	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	3
<b>A</b> : Does the jurisdiction have the <b>Administrative</b> capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

High Priority		Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Fordland R-III School District	
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	<b>Protective filming and blast proof doors</b> - R vulnerable facilities with metal doors, or pla doors and windows.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
	•	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or pro	tected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved? Will the implemented action result in	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved. Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative	5
a reduction of disaster damages?	reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	21

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:         Fordland R-III School District		
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Monitor funding programs - Continue to mo from state and federal programs for hazard	, ,
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ally successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural <b>Environment</b> ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	10
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	25

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Chris Ford – Superintendent – 417-767-2298	
	·	

	STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	MarshField R-I School Distri	,t
	Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for This can be a combination of the jurisdiction nar number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Shelter Spaces	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Project Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency	
<b>Eva</b> l Definitely YES	PLEE Criteria uation Rating = 3 Maybe YES = 2 1 Definitely NO = 0	Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		73
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	ially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	inistrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement	nt?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		)
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	al or positive impact on the natural	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
	STAPLEE SCORE	19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
	MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	39

.

i.

1 1

High Priority (30+ points)			lium Priority - 29 points)		Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Mike	Henry	Asst. Superintendet	t	(417)859-2120

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:	Iame of Jurisdiction:         Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District		
Action/Project Number:	1.1		
Name of Action or Project:	Firewise Program		
Mitigation Category:	Education and outreach		
STAI			
<b>Eval</b> Definitely YES Probably NO =	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	2		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	2		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	2		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	l or positive impact on the natural	2	
Will historic structures be saved or pro	0		
Could it be implemented quickly?		3	
	18		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7	
	14		
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	32	

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:         Marshfield Fire Protection District			
Action/Project Number:	ction/Project Number: 1.1		
Name of Action or Project:	Project: Fire Prevention Week		
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach		
STAI Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	2		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Admi	3		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3		
L: Is there Legal authority to implemer	3		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2		
E: Will the project have either a neutra Environment?	0		
Will historic structures be saved or pro-	0		
Could it be implemented quickly?		3	
	18		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7	
	14		
	32		

High Priority	Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet			
Name of Jurisdiction:			
	Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:			
Name of Action or Project:	Build Bridge Over Finley Falls		
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects		
STAI Eval Definitely YES Probably NO =	Score		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potenti	2		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Adm	2		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2	
Could it be implemented quickly?		0	
	STAPLEE SCORE	14	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13	
	TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	27	

High Priority	X Medium Priority	Low Priority
(30+ points)	(25 - 29 points)	(<25 points)
Completed by		

(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tony Bouing Foreman

417-589-0514