

Appendix B: Planning Process

Appendix B includes the following planning process documents:

1. Notes for public comment period
2. Invitee list
3. Meeting letters and emails
4. Meeting agendas/presentations
5. Internet postings of meeting dates and notices
6. Meeting sign in sheets
7. STAPLEE worksheets

Lawrence County HMP Draft

Cunningham, Thomas E

Thu 5/5/2022 4:49 PM

To: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>;Tana Bradshaw <Tana.Bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov>;Brad DeLay <bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com>;Bob Senninger <bobsenninger@gmail.com>;Tim Selvey <selvey@mowisp.net>;David Botts <davidallenbotts@gmail.com>;Tammy Reibe <lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov>;auroracityclerk@auroramogov <auroracityclerk@auroramogov>;Robert Ward <rward@auroramogov>;Kris Bowling <emd@marionvillemo.com>;Katy Qualls <millercityclerk@gmail.com>;Jason Haymes <mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Max Springer <mspringer@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Melissa Aduddle <maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Terry Moore <tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;David Hubert <david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org>;Julie Johnson <piercecity@yahoo.com>;Joseph Heck <verona@suddenlinkmail.com>;Jeremy Allen <veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com>;freistattvlg@mo-net.com <freistattvlg@mo-net.com>

Cc: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>

 1 attachments (12 MB)

~2022 Lawrence County HMP Draft.pdf;

Good afternoon everyone

The first draft of the Lawrence County HMP is now available. I've attached a copy to this email. It can also be found on our website at [Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft \(smcog.org\)](https://www.smcog.org/Lawrence-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Draft). Please review the draft and send me any comments, questions, or concerns. This is just the first draft, so expect some changes to be made.

It would also be helpful if you can share this on your social media pages so residents can provide feedback. You can upload a copy of the draft or simply link to our website.

As a quick reminder, please remember to get your STAPLEE and Action sheets turned in to me by **Friday, May 20th**. Contact me if you have any questions. You can also bring them with you to the meeting next week and I can assist you there.

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

110 Park Central Square | Springfield, MO 65806

Office: 417-836-6900 | Direct: 417-836-5281

Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft

The initial draft of the 2022 Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for public review and comment. Please submit comments to Thomas Cunningham at TCunningham@missouristate.edu by the end of the day on Tuesday, May 31, 2022.

Please note: this is a draft version of the document. Expect multiple changes and updates to be made



~2022 Lawrence County HMPpdf

Download PDF • 12.74MB



Tags:

Lawrence County



Hazard Mitigation • SMCOG Spotlight



Jurisdiction	Title	First Name	Last name	PO Box	Street Address	City	Zip	Phone	Email
Lawrence County	Emergency Management Director	Grant	Selvey		1 Courthouse Suite 101	Mt Vernon	65712	417-461-1077	lawemd@hotmail.com
Lawrence County	Floodplain Administrator	Julie	Stolting		20500 Highway W	Verona	65769	417-291-2238	julie.stolting@redcross.org
Lawrence County	Health Department Educator	Tana	Bradshaw					417-466-2201	tana.bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov
Lawrence County	Sheriff	Brad	DeLay					417-466-2131, ext 2332	bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com
Lawrence County	Commissioner	Bob	Senninger					417-236-5104	bobsenninger@gmail.com
Lawrence County	Commissioner	Tim	Selvey					417-860-1088	selvey@mowisp.net
Lawrence County	Commissioner	David	Botts					417-827-8246	davidallenbotts@gmail.com
Lawrence County	County Clerk	Tammy	Reibe					417-380-6945	lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov
Lawrence County	LEPC Chair	Terry	Anderson						tanderson@balchemcorp.com
City of Aurora	City Manager	Jon	Holmes	PO Box 30	2 W. Pleasant Street	Aurora	65605	417-678-5121	jholmes@auroramo.gov
City of Aurora	Public Works Director								
City of Aurora	Chief of Police	Richard	Witthuhn		106 S Elliot	Aurora	65605	417-678-5025	
City of Aurora	Mayor	Jason	Lewis						jlewis@auroramo.gov
City of Aurora	City Clerk			PO Box 30	2 W. Pleasant Street	Aurora	65605	417-678-5121	auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov
City of Aurora	Building Inspector								
City of Aurora	Community Development Director	Carrie	Howlett						chowlett@auroramo.gov
City of Aurora	Fire Chief	Robert	Ward					417-229-2344	rward@auroramo.gov
City of Marionville	Emergency Management Director/Fire Chief	Kris	Bowling	PO Box 410	107 S Central	Marionville	65705	417-229-3066	emd@marionvillemo.com
City of Marionville	City Clerk	Debbie	Bateman		107 S Central	Marionville	65705	417-258-2466	clerk@marionvillemo.com
City of Marionville	Mayor	Dale	Blankenship						
City of Miller	Mayor	John	Adamson	PO Box 188	105 S Washam	Miller	65707		
City of Miller	City Clerk	Katy	Quals	PO Box 188	105 S Washam	Miller	65707	417-452-3371	millercityclerk@gmail.com
City of Miller	Public Works Director	James	Collier						
City of Miller	Fire Chief	James	Smith						millermofc@yahoo.com
City of Mt. Vernon	Mayor	Jason	Haymes					417-466-2122	mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org
City of Mt. Vernon	City Administrator	Joe	Kelley						jkelley@mtvernon-cityhall.org
City of Mt. Vernon	City Clerk	Melissa	Aduddle	PO Box 70	109 N Hickory	Mt Vernon	65712	417-466-2122	maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org
City of Mt. Vernon	Building Officer/Zoning Administrator	Terry	Moore					417-466-2122	tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org
City of Mt. Vernon	Director of Planning	Dustin	Davis						ddavis@mtvernon-cityhall.org
City of Mt. Vernon	Police Chief	David	Hubert					417-466-4752	david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org
City of Pierce City	City Clerk	Julie	Johnson		One Frisco Place	Pierce City	65723	417-476-2323	piercecitiy@yahoo.com
City of Pierce City	EMD	Mick	Hagebusch						piercecitiyemd@gmail.com
City of Pierce City	Mayor	Ed	Golubski						techniserv.ed@gmail.com
City of Stotts City					201 N Center Street	Stotts City	65756		
City of Stotts City	City attorney	Darlene	Parrigon						dpattorneyatlaw@yahoo.com
City of Verona	Mayor/Floodplain Administrator	Joseph	Heck	PO Box 158	144 N 3rd Street	Verona	65769	417-498-6725	verona@suddenlinkmail.com
City of Verona	Police Chief	Jeremy	Allen					417-229-1693	veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com
City of Verona	City Clerk	Laura	Hazelwood					417-498-6725	veronaclerk@veronamo.org
Village of Freistatt	clerk	Sarah	Robinett		105 E 3rd Street	Freistatt	65654	417-235-9952	freistattvlg@mo-net.com
Village of Halltown				PO Box 433		Halltown	65664		
Village of Hoberg					14429 Doss Street	Mount Vernon	65712		
Aurora R-VIII School District	Superintendent	Billy	Redus		201 S Madison Ave	Aurora	65605	417-678-3373	bredus@aurorar8.org
Aurora R-VIII School District	AD/Safety Coordinator	Chris	Kennedy						kckennedy@aurorar8.org
Marionville R-IX School District	Superintendent	Chris	Gold		200 W Odell	Marionville	65705	417-258-7755	cgold@marionville.us
Miller R-II School District	Superintendent	Dustin	Storm		110 W6th Street Suite 1	Miller	65707	417-452-3515	dstorm@millerschools.org
Mt. Vernon R-V School District	Superintendent	Scott	Cook		801 S Landrum	Mount Vernon	65712	417-466-7573	cookscott@mtvernon.k12.mo.us
Mt. Vernon R-V School District	Superintendent's Secretary	Gale	Robertson		801 S Landrum	Mount Vernon	65712	417-466-7573	robertsong@mtvernon.k12.mo.us
Pierce City R-VI School District	Superintendent	Kelli	Alumbaugh		300 N Myrtle Street	Pierce City	65723	417-476-2555	kalumbaugh@pcschools.net
Pierce City R-VI School District	School Resource Officer	Jarrod	O'Hara						johara@pcschools.net
Pierce City R-VI School District	Superintendent's Secretary	Jennifer	Finley		300 N Myrtle Street	Pierce City	65723	417-476-2555	jfinley@pcschools.net
Verona R-VII School District	Superintendent	Tony	Simmons	PO Box 7	101 E Ella Street	Verona	65769	833-402-9580	tsimmons@veronar7.net
Verona R-VII School District	Principal	Naomi	Austin						naustin@veronar7.net
Aurora Special Road District	Assistant Foreman	John	Thornton						auroraspecialrd@hotmail.com
Auora Rural Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Aaron	Siegrist					417-440-0479	arfd_chief@yahoo.com
Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District	Branch Supervisor	Cindy	Rinker		206 W Water Street	Mount Vernon	65712	417-466-2921	cindyr@brlibrary.org
Buck Prairie Special Road District	Commissioner	Clint	Reed	PO Box 433	23399 Lawrence 2207	Marionville	65705	417-755-6810	clintontareed@yahoo.com
Buck Prairie Special Road District	Commissioner	Larry	Murray					417-258-2922	
Buck Prairie Special Road District	Commissioner	Stacy	Custer					417-838-7444	farmerstacycuster@gmail.com
Buck Prairie Special Road District	Commissioner	David	Jacobs					417-839-7186	
Buck Prairie Special Road District	Commissioner	Ronnie	Utke					417-839-3638	

Common I Road District		Danny	Wofford						dannylw74.dw.comm@gmail.com
Common II Road District		Nick	Harper						nharper82@yahoo.com
Common II Road District		Karla	Cryer						kcryer50@gmail.com
Greene Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Kimman	Kingsley				417-452-2395		
Greene Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Jack	West	8390 Lawrence 2059	Miller	65707	417-366-1820		
Greene Benefit Special Road District	Treasurer	Donna	Beck				417-860-3205		donna@dbeckbooks.com
Greene Benefit Special Road District	Worker	Tim	Yount						timyount@gmail.com
Greene Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Chad	Hill						
Miller Benefit Special Road District	Foreman	Gary	Adams				417-452-2300		
Miller Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	David	Hunt				417-452-2494		drhunt70@gmail.com
Miller Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Willy	Hendrix				417-452-2544		wilyh@stainlesssteelltd.com
Miller Benefit Special Road District		Tammy	Adams						hhmealworms@gmail.com
Miller Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	John	Hunt				417-452-3440		
Mt Pleasant Special Road District	commissioner	michale	flummerfelt						michael@vaughncompany.net
Mt Pleasant Special Road District	Commissioner	Dennis	Stellwagen						dennisstellwagen@gmail.com
Mt Pleasant Special Road District	Commissioner	Roger	Moennig						moennigfarms@gmail.com
Mt Pleasant Special Road District	Commissioner	Don	Kleiboeker						dekleiboeker@windstream.net
Mt. Vernon Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	James	Dunn	902 S Hickory	Mount Vernon	65712	417-466-2817		
Mt. Vernon Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	David	Smothers	902 S Hickory	Mount Vernon	65712	417-461-4508		
Mt. Vernon Benefit Special Road District	Treasurer	Patti	McBride				417-466-4444		
Mt Vernon Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Brad	DeLay				417-466-2131, ext 2332		bdelay@lawrencecosherriff.com
Red Oak Benefit Special Road District		Jim	Demster				417-343-2256		
Red Oak Benefit Special Road District	commissioner	Jay	Steele						jays66@hotmail.com
Red Oak Benefit Special Road District		Steve	Wood						swood4@hotmail.com
Verona Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Jeff	Kaal				417-234-4866		
Verona Benefit Special Road District	Treasurer	Les	Erwin				417-229-2664		vbsrd352@gmail.com
Verona Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Bob	Sharpe				417-461-6802		bob.sharpe@hydro.com
Vineyard Benefit Special Road District	Commissioner	Mitch	Boggs						boggsformissouri@gmail.com
Lawrence County Emergency Services 911	Executive Director	Bonnie	Witt-Schulte	PO Box 629	1533 Missouri Drive	Mount Vernon	65712	417-489-0589	bwittschulte@lawrencecountymo911.gov



December 8, 2022

RE: 2022 Lawrence County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Lawrence County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Lawrence County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Thursday, January 13, 2022, at 11:30 AM.

Included is a questionnaire that must be filled out by a representative from your jurisdiction. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more.

An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

Once completed, please be sure to return your questionnaire by the specified date. It can be emailed to TCunningham@MissouriState.edu or turned in to Thomas Cunningham in-person at the kickoff meeting.

Please see the back of this letter for additional information.



Kickoff Meeting:
Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse, Second Floor
1 East Courthouse Square
Mount Vernon, MO
Thursday, January 13, 2022
11:30 AM

Topics to be discussed at the kickoff meeting will include greater detail on the update process, necessary participants and their responsibilities, elements of the natural hazard mitigation plan and update items, and a timeline for completion, approval, and adoption of the plan update.

Additional plan update meetings will be held at 11:30 AM at the Lawrence County Courthouse on the following dates:

February 10, 2022
March 10, 2022
April 14, 2022
May 12, 2022

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process.

If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-5281 or at TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cuningham
Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

2022 Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-off Meeting

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Mon 12/13/2021 11:01 AM

To: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>; Julie Stolting <julie.stolting@redcross.org>; Tana Bradshaw <Tana.Bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov>; Brad DeLay <bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com>; Bob Senninger <bobsenninger@gmail.com>; Tim Selvey <selvey@mowisp.net>; David Botts <davidallenbotts@gmail.com>; Tammy Reibe <lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov>; auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov <auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov>; Robert Ward <rward@auroramo.gov>; Kris Bowling <emd@marionvillemo.com>; Katy Qualls <millercityclerk@gmail.com>; Jason Haymes <mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Max Springer <mspringer@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Melissa Aduddle <maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Terry Moore <tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; David Hubert <david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org>; Julie Johnson <piercecicity@yahoo.com>; Joseph Heck <verona@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jeremy Allen <veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com>

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Lawrence County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Lawrence County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting at the following time/location.

**Lawrence County Courthouse, Second Floor
1 East Courthouse Square
Mount Vernon, MO 65712
Thursday, January 13, 2022
11:30 AM**

You should have already received a packet in the mail that includes a questionnaire. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more.

Please try to complete the questionnaire and return to me by Thursday, January 6th. If you need more time or have any questions about it, don't hesitate to reach out to me. An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.



Edit Cancel Reply all Busy ...

Lawrence County HMP Update - Meeting 2

Thu 2/10/2022 11:30 AM - 1:00 PM

Lawrence County Courthouse, 1 Courthouse Square, Mount Vernon, MO 65712

Calendar

Remind me: 15 minutes before

Good afternoon everyone

Our next meeting for the Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will be Thursday, February 10, at 11:30 AM. The meeting will be held at the Lawrence County Courthouse in Mount Vernon. We'll start the meeting with a quick recap of the first meeting highlighting what a hazard mitigation plan is, why it's important, participation requirements, etc., then we'll move on to the risk assessment portion of the plan. I hope to see everyone there!

Also, if you haven't already done so, please complete your questionnaire and send it to me as soon as possible. If you are having trouble or need help completing, please don't hesitate to reach out to me. I would be more than happy to work through it with you.



Meeting Insights

Here's information you might find relevant to this event. You'll only see content you have access to.

Files

Organizer

CE Cunningham, Thomas E

Yes: 9

BW Bonnie Witt-Schulte
Optional

S Sheriff Brad A. DeLay
Optional

D dstorm@millerschools.org
Optional

TA Terry Anderson
Optional

CH Carrie Howlett
Optional

RS Robert Sharpe
Optional

B bredus@aurorar8.org
Optional

VD Verona Road District
Optional

RJ Ray, Jason
Optional

Maybe: 1

RW Robert Ward
Optional

No: 1

SJ Stolting, Julie
Optional

Didn't respond: 2

Lawrence County HMP Update - Meeting 3

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Tue 3/1/2022 11:22 AM

To: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>; Julie Stolting <julie.stolting@redcross.org>; Tana Bradshaw <Tana.Bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov>; Brad DeLay <bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com>; Bob Senninger <bobsenninger@gmail.com>; Tim Selvey <selvey@mowisp.net>; David Botts <davidallenbotts@gmail.com>; Tammy Reibe <lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov>; auroracityclerk@auroramogov <auroracityclerk@auroramogov>; Robert Ward <rward@auroramogov>; Kris Bowling <emd@marionvillemo.com>; Katy Qualls <millercityclerk@gmail.com>; Jason Haymes <mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Max Springer <mspringer@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Melissa Aduddle <maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Terry Moore <tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; David Hubert <david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org>; Julie Johnson <piercecity@yahoo.com>; Joseph Heck <verona@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jeremy Allen <veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com>

Good morning everyone

Our next meeting for the Lawrence County HMP Update will be Thursday, March 10, at 11:30 AM. The meeting will be held at the Lawrence County Courthouse in Mt Vernon. We'll start the meeting with a quick recap of everything we've covered so far, then we'll move on to the mitigation actions section of the plan. I hope to see everyone there.

As a reminder, if you haven't already done so, please send me your completed questionnaire and timesheets. I've only received a few timesheets so far, so I know there are still a lot that need to be turned in. You can also bring a physical copy with you to the meeting if you'd like.

As always, if you have any questions please don't hesitate to reach out to me. Thanks!

Lawrence County HMP Update - Meeting 4

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Mon 4/4/2022 11:13 AM

To: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>; Tana Bradshaw <Tana.Bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov>; Brad DeLay <bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com>; Bob Senninger <bobsenninger@gmail.com>; Tim Selvey <selvey@mowisp.net>; David Botts <davidallenbotts@gmail.com>; Tammy Reibe <lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov>; auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov <auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov>; Robert Ward <rward@auroramo.gov>; Kris Bowling <emd@marionvillemo.com>; Katy Qualls <millercityclerk@gmail.com>; Jason Haymes <mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Max Springer <mspringer@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Melissa Aduddle <maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; Terry Moore <tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org>; David Hubert <david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org>; Julie Johnson <piercecity@yahoo.com>; Joseph Heck <verona@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jeremy Allen <veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com>; freistattvlg@mo-net.com <freistattvlg@mo-net.com>

Our next meeting for the Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update is scheduled for Thursday, April 14, at 11:30 am. I hope to see everyone there!

Lawrence County HMP Update - Meeting 5

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Mon 5/2/2022 9:46 AM

To: Grant Selvey <lawemd@hotmail.com>;Tana Bradshaw <Tana.Bradshaw@lpha.mo.gov>;Brad DeLay <bdelay@lawrencecosheriff.com>;Bob Senninger <bobsenninger@gmail.com>;Tim Selvey <selvey@mowisp.net>;David Botts <davidallenbotts@gmail.com>;Tammy Reibe <lawrence.county@sos.mo.gov>;auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov <auroracityclerk@auroramo.gov>;Robert Ward <rward@auroramo.gov>;Kris Bowling <emd@marionvillemo.com>;Katy Qualls <millercityclerk@gmail.com>;Jason Haymes <mayor@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Max Springer <mspringer@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Melissa Aduddle <maduddle@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;Terry Moore <tmoore@mtvernon-cityhall.org>;David Hubert <david.hubert@mtvernonmopd.org>;Julie Johnson <piercecity@yahoo.com>;Joseph Heck <verona@suddenlinkmail.com>;Jeremy Allen <veronapd@suddenlinkmail.com>;freistattvlg@mo-net.com <freistattvlg@mo-net.com>

Good afternoon everyone

Our fifth and final meeting for the Lawrence County HMP Update is scheduled for Thursday, May 12.

You should have all received your STAPLEE and action sheets from me last week. **Please have those completed and returned to me by Friday, May 20th.**

If you have any questions or need assistance with them, please contact me now. You can also bring them with you to the meeting and I'd be happy to help you with them then.

Thanks and I hope to see you there!

Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-Off Meeting

January 13, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
- The Planning Process
- Participation Requirements
- Future Meeting Dates



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Mitigation – taking action NOW to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to human life and property from hazard events.
 - Examples – FEMA tornado shelters, low water crossing improvements, policies limiting construction in flood plain areas, river bank improvements
- Mitigation Planning – process for communities to...
 - Identify hazards to which they are at risk
 - Assess the potential impact
 - Develop goals, objectives, and actions to reduce impacts; and
 - Prioritize and implement mitigation actions

Low Water Crossing Replacement

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



Flood Buyouts

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Costs rising from natural disasters
 - Increased development – more exposure
 - Increased cost to the federal government
 - Increased frequency of natural disasters:
 - 1980s – 286
 - 1990s – 737
 - 2000s – 1,265
 - 2010-Present – 1,140

What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Reduction in loss of lives
- Reduction in property damage
- Reduction in lost essential services
- Reduction in loss of critical facilities and infrastructure
- Reduction in economic disruption
- Planning for an emergency saves lives, time, and money!



The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000

- Required by law for local governments to develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to receive funding for mitigation projects.
 - Funds available – 75/25
 - **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)** - Assists in implementing long-term hazard mitigation planning and projects following a presidential major disaster declaration.
 - **Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)** – provides funds annually for hazard mitigation planning and projects.
 - **Building Resilient Infrastructure & Communities (BRIC)** – Support for states, local communities, tribes, and territories as they undertake hazard mitigation projects, reducing the risks they face from disasters and natural hazards.
 - **Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)** – provides funds for planning and projects to reduce or eliminate risk of flood damage to buildings that are insured annually under the National Flood Insurance Program.
- Mitigation plans must be updated every five years to be eligible for mitigation funding

The Planning Process



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → **Completed**
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → **YOU ARE THE TEAM!**
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → **Today**
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → **Questionnaire**
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → **Meeting #2**
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → **Meetings #3 and #4**
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → **Meeting #5 and on your own**
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → **That's YOU!**
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → **That's YOU!**



Planning Committee

- As part of the Mitigation Planning Committee, you will:
 - Help develop the plan
 - Identify mitigation goals
 - Identify mitigation actions
 - Review the draft plan



Outreach Strategy

- Public Meetings
- Survey
 - Where do you live?
 - What school district?
 - Have you been impacted by a disaster?
 - Opinion on the likelihood of a disaster
 - Concern of disaster impact
 - Types of mitigation projects they support

Risk Assessment

Natural Hazards

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Flooding
- Land Subsidence (Sinkholes)
- Severe Thunderstorms
- Severe Winter Weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire

Hazard Profile

- Hazard Description
- Geographic Location
- Severity/Magnitude/Extent
- Previous Occurrences
- Probability of Future Occurrences

Vulnerability Assessment

- Vulnerability Overview
- Potential Losses to Existing Development
- Impact of Previous and Future Development
- Hazard Summary by Jurisdiction

Problem Statement



Develop Mitigation Strategies

- Reference completed questionnaires
- Risk Assessment
- Current needs of community
- Identify strengths and weaknesses



Review and Prioritize Mitigation Actions

- STAPLEE score
 - Social
 - Technical
 - Administrative
 - Political
 - Legal
 - Economic Environmental
- Prioritizes and determines feasibility



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
	Definitely YES = 3 2 Probably NO = 1 NO = 0	Maybe YES = Definitely
S: Is it S ocially Acceptable		
T: Is it T echnically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the A dministrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it P olitically acceptable?		
L: Is there L egal authority to implement?		
E: Is it E conomically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural E nvironment?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Adoption of Hazard Mitigation Plan

- Each participating jurisdiction is required to adopt hazard plan
 - Signed by a certified official
- Mail, email, or fax will be acceptable



Barry County, Missouri
Resolution # 91516

ADOPTING THE BARRY COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN (UPDATED 2016)

Whereas, The Barry County Commission recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, the U.S. Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 ("Disaster Mitigation Act") emphasizing the need for pre-disaster mitigation of potential hazards;

Whereas, the Disaster Mitigation Act made available hazard mitigation grants to state and local governments; and

Whereas, an adopted Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, Barry County fully participated in the hazard mitigation planning process to prepare this Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency and the Federal Emergency Management Agency Region VII officials will review the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan," and approved it as to form and content; and

Whereas, the Barry County Commission desires to comply with the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act and to augment its emergency planning efforts by formally adopting the Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption by the governing body of Barry County demonstrates the jurisdictions' commitment to fulfilling the mitigation goals outlined in this Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption of this legitimizes the plan and authorizes responsible agencies to carry out responsibilities under the plan;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Barry County Commission has adopted the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.

Date: 9-15-16

Certifying Official: Cheryl Warner

Keep the Plan Current & Create a Safe Community

- FEMA requires a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the mitigation plan remains an active and relevant document
- Your jurisdiction and County Emergency Management Officials
- Review plan and strategies annually

Participation Requirements



2017 Participation

- Lawrence County
- City of Aurora
- City of Marionville
- City of Miller
- City of Monett
- City of Mt. Vernon
- Village of Freistatt
- Marionville R-IV Schools
- Miller R-II Schools
- Monett R-I Schools
- Mt. Vernon R-V Schools
- Verona R-VII Schools
- Miller Benefit Special Road District
- Greene Benefit Special Road District
- Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District



Participation Requirements

- In order to officially “participate” a jurisdiction must:
 - Complete all required documents (Capabilities Assessment Questionnaire, STAPLEE Sheets, and Action Sheets)
 - A representative must be in attendance at a minimum of two meetings
 - Record in-kind time and complete timesheets
 - Adopt the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Participation of your jurisdictions gives you access to grant funds provided by FEMA



Mitigation Plan Funding

- SEMA covers 75% of the cost
- Grants require a 25% non-federal match
 - Local cash match
 - In-kind time and effort



Time Sheets

- Fill out accurately
- Keep track of hours and mileage
 - Round up
- Elected officials **MAY NOT** count their time



IN-KIND DOCUMENTATION
Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan 2016

Reporting Month:

LABOR

Date	Service Performed	Hours	Mileage (Roundtrip)
Total		0	0

Organization:
 Employee Name:
 Employee Title:
 Hourly Rate:
Total Labor Charge:
Total Mileage Charge:

Comments: _____

Employee Signature

Supervisor Signature

Future Meetings

Second Thursday of the month, January – May, 11:30 AM,
Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

- Meeting 2: February 10
- Meeting 3: March 10
- Meeting 4: April 14
- Meeting 5: May 12



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

February 10, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Risk assessment
- Mitigation strategies preview
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Complete Capabilities Survey Questionnaire
- Complete Mitigation Actions and STAPLEE Sheets
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Risk Assessment

- Measures potential loss of life, personal injury, economic injury, and property damage resulting from natural hazard events by assessing the vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure to natural hazards
- Evaluates the degree to which injuries and damages may occur
- Provides the foundation for the rest of the mitigation planning process



Vulnerability

- Which hazards is your jurisdiction most at risk?
- What facilities and/or areas are most at risk to those hazards?
- What existing mechanism are in place to help mitigate negative consequences?

Hazard Identification and Vulnerability

- Code of Federal Regulations Title 44 Emergency Management and Assistance Part §201.6
 - (c) Plan content. The plan shall include the following:
 - (i) A description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction
 - The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events
 - (ii) A description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section; This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community

Lawrence County Disaster Declarations 2000-Present (17)



Disaster #	Description	Declaration Date	Incident Period	IA or PA
4552	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	7/9/2020	5/3/2020 – 5/4/2020	n/a
4490	COVID-19 pandemic	3/26/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	IA and PA
3482	Missouri COVID-19	3/13/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	PA
4317	Storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	06/02/2017	4/28/2017 – 5/11/2017	PA
4250	Storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	01/21/2016	12/23/2018 – 1/9/2016	IA and PA
3317	Severe winter storm	2/3/2011	1/31/2011 – 2/5/2011	PA
1980	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	5/9/2011	4/19/2011 – 5/6/2011	PA
3303	Severe winter storm	1/30/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1847	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	6/19/2009	5/8/2009 – 5/16/2009	IA
1749	Severe storms and flooding	3/19/2008	3/17/2008 – 5/9/2008	PA
1676	Severe winter storms and flooding	1/15/2007	1/12/2007 – 1/22/2007	PA
3281	Severe winter storms	12/12/2007	12/8/2007 – 12/15/2007	PA
1728	Severe storms and flooding	9/21/2007	8/19/2007 – 8/21/2007	PA
1631	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	3/16/2006	3/8/2006 – 3/13/2006	IA and PA
3232	Hurricane Katrina evacuation	9/10/2005	8/29/2008 – 10/1/2005	PA
1463	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2003	5/4/2003 – 5/30/2003	IA
1412	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2002	4/24/2002 – 6/10/2002	IA and PA

Hazards Identified

- Flooding
- Dam Failure
- Earthquake
- Land Subsidence/Sinkholes
- Drought
- Extreme Temperature
- Severe Thunderstorm/High Winds/Lightning/Hail
- Severe Winter Weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire

Lawrence County Special Flood Hazard Area

Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood



- Road
- Public School
- Hospital
- Fire Station
- Police Station
- Place
- Floodplain
- Lawrence County

Prepared 8/19/2021 by



Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Flood**

- 39 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 1 events totaling \$150,000 in property damage
 - 5% chance occurring in any given year

- **Flash Flood**

- 76 occurrences of flash flood from 2001-2020
- 7 damaging events totaling \$5.911 million in property damage
 - 35% chance occurring in any given year

Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Flash Flood events (2001-2020)**

Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damages	Crop Damages
2001	2	0	0	\$4,000	\$0
2002	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2003	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2004	10	0	0	\$0	\$0
2005	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2006	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
2007	10	0	0	\$2,507,000	\$0
2008	4	1	0	\$600,000	\$0
2009	3	0	0	\$40,000	\$0
2010	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2011	3	0	0	\$250,000	\$0
2012	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2013	9	0	0	\$0	\$0
2014	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	8	1	0	\$1,700,000	\$0
2016	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2017	5	0	0	\$810,000	\$0
2018	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2019	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2020	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	73	2	0	\$5,911,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Riverine Flood events (2001-2020)**

Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damages	Crop Damages
2001	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2002	3	0	0	\$150,000	\$0
2003	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2004	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2005	3	1	0	\$0	\$0
2006	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2007	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2008	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2009	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2010	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
2011	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2012	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2013	5	1	0	\$0	\$0
2014	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	6	0	0	\$0	\$0
2016	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2017	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2018	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
2019	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2020	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	39	2	0	\$150,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Dam Failure

MDNR Dam Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Class I	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains ten (10) or more permanent dwellings or any public building. Inspection of these dams must occur every two years
Class II	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains one to nine permanent dwelling, or one (1) or more campgrounds with permanent water, sewer and electrical services or one (1) or more industrial buildings. Inspection of these dams must occur once every three years.
Class III	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation does not contain any of the structures identified for Class I or Class II dams. Inspection of these dams must occur once every five years


National Inventory of Dams Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Low Hazard	Failure results in only minimal property damage.
Significant Hazard	Failure could possibly result in the loss of life and appreciable property damage.
High Hazard	If the dam were to fail, lives would be lost and extensive property damage could result.



There is not a direct correlation between the State Hazard classification and the NID classifications. However, most dams that are in the State's Classes I and II are considered NID High Hazard Dams.

Identified Hazard: Dam Failure


- 7 NID dams in Lawrence County
 - All are Low Hazard
 - Failure results in only minimal property damage
- According to the 2018 State HMP, there are 0 accounts of previous dam failure
- Potential impact of other dams, but advanced warning would result in low impact.
- Any local known risks of dam failure?



• Dam


Prepared 1/19/2022 by

Identified Hazard: Earthquake




Identified Hazard: Earthquake

- Modified Mercalli Scale Based on a 7.6 Magnitude Earthquake along the New Madrid Fault
- Located in Zone VI
 - Felt by everyone, trouble walking, furniture can be overturned, pictures fall off walls and objects off shelves; damage slight
- No previous records of earthquakes in Lawrence County
- Any experience feeling earthquakes?



Identified Hazard: Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes

- 72 known sinkholes and 1,198 underground mines
 - 2018 State Plan
- Common in Missouri, rarely significant
- Any other known sinkholes?




- Sinkhole
- Mine
- ▭ Lawrence County

Identified Hazard: Drought

- **Meteorological Drought:** Regionally based; in the United States, indicated by less than 2.5 mm of rainfall in 48 hours, which is the first indication of drought
- **Agricultural Drought:** Soil moisture cannot meet the demands of a crop; after a meteorological drought but before a hydrological drought
- **Hydrological Drought:** Reduction in surface and subsurface water supplies; measured through stream flow and lake, reservoir, and ground water levels
- **Socioeconomic Drought:** Water shortages affect people, either in terms of water supply or economic impacts (i.e. loss of crops so price increases)

Drought: Previous Occurrences


- 17 events from 2001-2020 (NCEI data)
 - 2 events resulting in damages:
 - \$8.64 million losses in Crop Damages
- From 2011 – 2020, there were 156 insurance claims made totaling over \$16 million



Map released: Thurs. February 3, 2022

Data valid: February 1, 2022 at 7 a.m. EST

Intensity



Authors


United States and Puerto Rico Author(s):
Curtis Riganti, National Drought Mitigation Center

Pacific Islands and Virgin Islands Author(s):
Richard Tinker, NOAA/NWS/NCEP/CPC

Source: <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/>

Identified Hazard: Extreme Temperatures

- 5 events from 2001-2020
 - \$30k in property damages
 - In July of 2011, extreme heat in excess of 100 degrees for several days and the failure of a water supply pump combined to cause the death of 32 cattle near Verona




Likelihood of Heat Disorders with Prolonged Exposure or Strenuous Activity

■ Caution
 ■ Extreme Caution
 ■ Danger
 ■ Extreme Danger

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

High Winds


- Straight Line Wind, Microburst: Can exceed 100 mph



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Lightning


- Resulting in fires and power outages



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Hail

- Hail can reach the size of grapefruit



How do I measure hail?

* Measure at its widest point
* Estimate size to common objects

NO MARBLES!

Description	Hail Size (in.)
Pea	¼
Penny	¾
Quarter	1
Half-Dollar	1 ¼
Ping Pong Ball	1 ½
Golfball	1 ¾
Tennis Ball	2 ½
Baseball	2 ¾
Softball	4
Grapefruit	4 ½

A collage of images at the bottom of the table shows various hail sizes. From left to right: a hand holding a pea-sized hailstone, a hand holding a penny-sized hailstone, a hand holding a quarter-sized hailstone, a hand holding a half-dollar sized hailstone, a hand holding a ping pong ball sized hailstone, a hand holding a golfball sized hailstone, a hand holding a tennis ball sized hailstone, a hand holding a baseball sized hailstone, a hand holding a softball sized hailstone, and a hand holding a grapefruit sized hailstone. Below these are images of a yellow pea, a silver quarter coin, a copper penny, and a white golfball.

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/High Winds/Lightning/Hail

- **Thunderstorm Wind**

- 129 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 46 damaging events
 - Total property damage: \$3.843 million
 - Worst event in 2009. \$3 million in property damages
 - 100% chance of occurrence in any given year

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **High Winds**

- 3 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 15% chance of occurrence in any given year

- **Lightning**

- 0 reported occurrences from 2001-2020
 - Reports are usually only made if the event was significant

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Hail**

- 165 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 12 damaging event in causing \$6,300,000 property damages
 - 100% chance of occurrence in a given year
 - By far the most significant hail event occurred in 2003 in Aurora. A supercell thunderstorm tracked over the community of Aurora launching hail to the size of baseballs over the central and northern sections of the city. Numerous structures in the downtown area lost windows and sustained minor damage from the large hail. Two car lots were affected along with significant roof damage to homes.

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Thunderstorm Winds					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Lawrence County	17	0	0	\$3,054,000	\$0
Aurora	23	0	0	\$121,500	\$0
Freistatt	7	0	0	\$175,000	\$0
Halltown	9	0	0	\$4,500	\$0
Hoberg	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
Marionville	10	0	0	\$149,000	\$0
Miller	10	0	0	\$78,000	\$0
Monett	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Mt. Vernon	20	0	0	\$218,000	\$0
Pierce City	23	0	0	\$27,000	\$0
Stotts City	5	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
Verona	4	0	0	\$11,000	\$0
Total	129	0	0	\$3,843,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

High Winds					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Lawrence County	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
Aurora	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Freistatt	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Halltown	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Hoberg	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Marionville	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Miller	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Monett	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Mt. Vernon	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Pierce City	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Stotts City	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Verona	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	3	0	0	\$0	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Lightning					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Lawrence County	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Aurora	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Freistatt	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Halltown	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Hoberg	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Marionville	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Miller	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Monett	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Mt. Vernon	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Pierce City	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Stotts City	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Verona	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	3	0	0	\$0	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Hail					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Lawrence County	24	0	0	\$50,000	\$0
Aurora	32	0	0	\$6,035,000	\$0
Freistatt	7	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
Halltown	13	0	0	\$0	\$0
Hoberg	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Marionville	7	0	0	\$0	\$0
Miller	10	0	0	\$0	\$0
Monett	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Mt. Vernon	30	0	0	\$55,000	\$0
Pierce City	22	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
Stotts City	13	0	0	\$50,000	\$0
Verona	7	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	165	0	0	\$6,300,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Winter Weather


- A Winter Storm is a winter weather event containing a mixture of snow, cold, wind, sleet and freezing rain; It can cause driving to be dangerous and can cause power outages.
 - **Heavy Snow:** Large amount of just snowing falling over a period of time; Large amounts of snow can cause travel to become dangerous and the sheer weight of the snow can cause roofs and structures to collapse.
 - **Ice Storm-Freezing Rain:** Freezing rain falls onto a surface with a temperature below freezing; heavy accumulations of ice can bring down trees, electric power lines and poles, telephone lines and communications towers.
 - **Blizzard:** Winds of 35 miles per hour or more with snow and blowing snow reducing visibility to less than ¼ mile for at least three hours.
 - **Severe Cold:** A period of extremely low temperatures or wind chill temperatures reaching or exceeding locally/regionally defined warning criteria, on a widespread or localized basis.

Identified Hazard: Severe Winter Weather

Previous events 2001-2020

- Blizzard: 1 occurrence
 - \$15,000 in property damage
 - Extreme Cold/Wind Chill: 1 occurrence
 - Heavy Snow: 1 occurrence
 - Ice Storm: 7 occurrences
 - \$5,050,000 in damages
 - Sleet: No occurrences
 - Winter Storm: 13 occurrences
 - Winter Weather: 3 occurrences
- 26 Total events; 14 years with events
 - 70% probability of event in any given year

Identified Hazard: Tornado





Identified Hazard: Tornado

Fujita and Enhanced Fujita Tornado Damage Scale					
FUJITA SCALE			OPERATIONAL EF SCALE		Typical Damage
F Number	Fastest 1/4-mile (mph)	3 Second Gust (mph)	EF Number	3 Second Gust (mph)	
0	40-72	45-78	0	65-85	Light damage - Some damage to chimneys; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over; sign boards damaged.
1	73-112	79-117	1	86-110	Moderate damage - Peels surface off roofs; mobile homes pushed off foundations or overturned; moving autos blown off roads.
2	113-157	118-161	2	111-135	Considerable damage - Roofs torn off frame houses; mobile homes demolished; boxcars overturned; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.
3	158-207	162-209	3	136-165	Severe damage - Roofs and some walls torn off well-constructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in forest uprooted; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown.
4	208-260	210-261	4	166-200	Devastating damage - Well-constructed houses leveled; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance; cars thrown and large missiles generated.
5	261-318	262-317	5	Over 200	Incredible damage - Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters (109 yds.); trees debarked; incredible phenomena will occur.



Identified Hazard: Tornado



Identified Hazard: Tornado

- 18 occurrences in Lawrence County from 2001-2020
- 17 events resulted in \$36,130,000 in property damage, \$250,000 in crop damage, 52 injuries, and 9 deaths
- 90% chance of a tornado in any given year
 - 85% chance of it being a damaging event
- Highest tornado ranked at F3/EF3

Scale	Occurrences/%	Damages	Injuries/Fatalities
F0/EF0	5/ 28%	\$605,000	0/0
F1/EF1	9/ 50%	\$2,475,000	1/1
F2/EF2	2/11%	\$800,000	17/2
F3/EF3	2/11%	\$27,800,000	34/6
F4/EF4	0/0	\$0	0/0
F5/EF5	0/0	\$0	0/0
Total		\$36,380,000	52/9


Identified Hazard: Tornado

Tornadoes in Lawrence County 2001-2020

Date	Beginning Location	Ending Location	Length (Miles)	Width (Yards)	F/EF Rating	Death	Injury	Property Damage	Crop Damage
11/23/2001	Aurora	Aurora	2	200	F1	0	0	\$750,000	\$250,000
12/17/2002	Mt. Vernon	Chesapeake	4	100	F2	2	17	\$500,000	\$0
05/04/2003	Pierce City	Marionville	25	880	F3	5	33	\$27,500,000	\$0
11/12/2005	Mt. Vernon	Mt. Vernon	5	75	F1	0	1	\$750,000	\$0
03/12/2006	Verona	Marionville	10	200	F3	1	1	\$5,000,000	\$0
10/17/2007	Verona	Chesapeake	10.11	150	EF0	0	0	\$500,000	\$0
01/07/2008	Aurora	Mc Kinley	6.39	50	EF0	0	0	\$75,000	\$0
03/31/2008	Albatross	Albatross	0.45	40	EF0	0	0	\$20,000	\$0
05/22/2011	Pierce City	Friestatt	7.07	800	EF2	0	0	\$300,000	\$0
10/13/2014	Spencer	Spencer	0.07	50	EF0	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
05/17/2015	Friestatt	Opal	4.53	100	EF1	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
05/19/2017	Stotts City	Mt. Vernon Arpt	4.23	300	EF1	0	0	\$50,000	\$0
05/19/2017	Miller	Miller	1	100	EF0	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
01/21/2018	Opal	Mt. Vernon	1.25	75	EF1	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
08/19/2018	Bonham	Marionville	6	100	EF1	0	0	\$75,000	\$0
12/01/2018	Aurora	Aurora	0.49	50	EF1	1	0	\$250,000	\$0
04/30/2019	Albatross	Miller	3.75	100	EF1	0	0	\$150,000	\$0
04/30/2019	Round Grove	Round Grove	0.35	50	EF1	0	0	\$0	\$0
						9	52	\$36,130,000	\$250,000

Identified Hazard: Wildfire

- Areas that abut wildland vegetation and that intermingle with wildland are most at risk for wildfire



Wildfire Hazard Potential	3: Moderate
	4: High
Classification	6: Non-burnable
1: Very Low	7: Water
2: Low	Lawrence County

Prepared 8/19/2021 by




Identified Hazard: Wildfire

- NCEI reported 2 wildfires from 2001-2020
 - These incidents caused \$150,000 in damages
- MDC reports 509 wildfires from 2012-2021
 - Some events reported by multiple agencies
 - 9 buildings destroyed, 28 damaged, and 219 threatened
 - 8,103 total acres burned due to wildfires


Identified Hazard: Wildfire

Year	Number of Wildfires	Buildings Destroyed	Buildings Damaged	Buildings Threatened	Acres Burned
2012	78	0	3	57	4,942.00
2013	35	0	0	2	304.00
2014	77	0	0	23	433.00
2015	78	2	1	7	333.00
2016	84	0	2	31	733.00
2017	56	7	22	83	709.00
2018	48	0	0	5	371.17
2019	13	0	0	6	96.97
2020	24	0	0	5	149.58
2021	16	0	0	0	31.30
Totals	509	9	28	219	8,103.20

Critical and Essential Facilities



- Road
- Public School
- Hospital
- Fire Station
- Police Station
- Place
- Floodplain
- Lawrence County



- Bridge Condition**
- Fair
 - Good
 - Poor
 - Lawrence County

Vulnerability

- Which hazards is your jurisdiction most at risk?
- What facilities and/or areas are most at risk to those hazards?
- What existing mechanism are in place to help mitigate negative consequences?

Mitigation Strategies

- Review old strategies from previous Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Determine current status and relevance
- Modify, keep, remove previous strategies
- Develop new strategies

Future Meetings

Second Thursday of the month, January – May, 11:30 AM,
Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

- Meeting 3: March 10
- Meeting 4: April 14
- Meeting 5: May 12



Draft Plan Due to SEMA: June 10, 2022

Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

March 10, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Mitigation goals and actions
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Today and Meeting #4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting #5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Presidential Disaster Declarations 2000-Present (17)

Disaster #	Description	Declaration Date	Incident Period	IA or PA
4552	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	7/9/2020	5/3/2020 – 5/4/2020	n/a
4490	COVID-19 pandemic	3/26/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	IA and PA
3482	Missouri COVID-19	3/13/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	PA
4317	Storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	06/02/2017	4/28/2017 – 5/11/2017	PA
4250	Storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	01/21/2016	12/23/2018 – 1/9/2016	IA and PA
3317	Severe winter storm	2/3/2011	1/31/2011 – 2/5/2011	PA
1980	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	5/9/2011	4/19/2011 – 5/6/2011	PA
3303	Severe winter storm	1/30/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1847	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	6/19/2009	5/8/2009 – 5/16/2009	IA
1749	Severe storms and flooding	3/19/2008	3/17/2008 – 5/9/2008	PA
1676	Severe winter storms and flooding	1/15/2007	1/12/2007 – 1/22/2007	PA
3281	Severe winter storms	12/12/2007	12/8/2007 – 12/15/2007	PA
1728	Severe storms and flooding	9/21/2007	8/19/2007 – 8/21/2007	PA
1631	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	3/16/2006	3/8/2006 – 3/13/2006	IA and PA
3232	Hurricane Katrina evacuation	9/10/2005	8/29/2008 – 10/1/2005	PA
1463	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2003	5/4/2003 – 5/30/2003	IA
1412	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2002	4/24/2002 – 6/10/2002	IA and PA

Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Goals are general guidelines that explain what you want to achieve- long-term, broad, policy-type statements.
- Mitigation Actions are specific actions that help achieve goals.
 - Losses from hazards can be reduced if communities take action before the next disaster
 - Long term and cumulative benefits
 - Some may be low-cost, readily adopted
 - Some may be dependent on available funding or best implemented following a disaster

Mitigation: Goals

- Goal 1) Protect the lives and livelihoods of all citizens.
- Goal 2) Reduce the potential impact of natural disasters to property, infrastructure, and the local economy.
- Goal 3) Ensure continued operation of government, emergency functions, and critical infrastructure in a disaster.



Mitigation: Actions

- Updating/Developing Mitigation Actions
 - Previous Actions- status updates are required for all actions from previous plan – FEMA WILL NOT APPROVE WITHOUT
- Current Status
- New Actions – add new actions as appropriate



Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Actions must be SMART

SMART



Mitigation Action Examples



Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program, and Flood Mitigation Assistance Program

February 27, 2015



Federal Emergency Management Agency
Department of Homeland Security
500 C Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20472



Mitigation Ideas

A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards

January 2013



Mitigation Action Examples: *Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance, 2015 (page 33)*



Table 3: Eligible Activities by Program

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Flooding
 - **Remove existing structures from flood hazard areas** - Communities may remove structures from flood-prone areas to minimize future flood losses by acquiring and demolishing or relocating structures from voluntary property owners and preserving lands subject to repetitive flooding.
 - **Improve stormwater drainage system capacity** - Installing, re-routing, or increasing the capacity of a storm drainage system; increasing dimensions of drainage culverts in flood-prone areas; increasing capacity of stormwater detention and retention basins
 - **Protect critical facilities** - Requiring that all critical facilities including emergency operations centers (EOC), police stations, and fire department facilities be located outside of flood-prone areas; installing/upgrading stormwater pumping stations; installing back-up generators for pumping and lift stations in sanitary sewer systems along with other measures (e.g., alarms, meters, remote controls, and switchgear upgrades).
- Dam failure
 - N/A
- Earthquakes
 - **Protect critical facilities** - Conducting seismic retrofitting for critical public facilities most at risk to earthquakes; requiring bracing of generators, elevators, and other vital equipment in hospitals; reviewing construction plans for all bridges to determine their susceptibility to collapse and retrofitting problem bridges.

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Land subsidence/sinkholes
 - **Manage development in high-risk areas** – prohibiting and/or restricting developing in areas with soils that is considered poor or unsuitable for development
 - **Remove existing structures from subsidence hazard areas** – to prevent property loss, acquire and demolish or relocate buildings and infrastructure in high-risk areas
- Drought
 - **Retrofit water supply systems** – design water delivery systems to accommodate drought events; developing new or upgrading existing water delivery systems to eliminate breaks and leaks
 - **Purchase crop insurance** – preserve economic stability by encouraging agricultural interests to obtain crop insurance to cover potential losses due to drought
 - **Educate farmers on soil and water conservation practices** - Encourage farmers to implement soil and water conservation practices that foster soil health and improve soil quality to help increase resiliency and mitigate the impacts of droughts.
- Extreme temperatures
 - **Assist vulnerable populations** - Measures should be taken to ensure vulnerable populations are adequately protected from the impacts of extreme temperatures
 - **Educate property owners about freezing pipes** - Extreme cold may cause water pipes to freeze and burst, which can cause flooding inside a building.

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Severe thunderstorms
 - **Protect buildings from hail damage** - Structural bracing, shutters, laminated glass in window panes, and hail-resistant roof coverings or flashing in building design to minimize damage; installing hail resistant roofing and siding.
 - **Protect critical facilities and equipment from lightning damage** – install lightning protection devices such as lightning rods and grounding; install and maintain surge protection on critical electronic equipment
- Severe winter weather
 - **Protect power lines** – establish standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around lines; bury overhead powerlines; install redundancies and loopfeeds
 - **Reduce impacts to roadways** – plan for and maintain adequate road and debris clearing capabilities
 - **Conduct winter weather risk awareness activities** – inform the public about severe winter weather impacts; include safety strategies for severe weather in diver education classes and materials

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Tornado
 - ***Saferoom construction*** – require construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes; encourage the construction of safe rooms in residential homes
 - ***Require wind resistant building techniques*** – require or encourage wind engineering measures and construction techniques that may include structural bracing, straps and clips, anchor bolts, interlocking roof shingles, etc
- Wildfire
 - ***Require or encourage fire-resistant construction techniques*** – encourage the use of non-combustible materials for new construction in wildfire hazard areas; use fire-resistant roofing and building materials in remodels, upgrades, or new construction
 - ***Retrofit at-risk structures with ignition-resistant materials*** – protect propane tanks or other external fuel sources

Approved FEMA HMA Grants in Lawrence County

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Sub-Grantee	Date Approved	Project Total
PDMC-PJ-07-MO-2003-007	Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Pierce City	04/26/2004	\$133,000.00
PDMC-PJ-07-MO-2005-002	Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Pierce City R-VI School District	09/08/2005	\$1,081,130.40
DR-1980-0054-R	Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Monett	02/18/2016	\$2,713,441.00
PDMC-PJ-07-MO-2017-001	Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Miller R-II School District	12/18/2018	\$1,027,500.00
DR-4451-0004-R	Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Monett	08/27/2021	\$1,445,500.00
Total				\$6,400,571.40



Approved FEMA HMA Grants in Barry County (For Comparison)

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Sub-Grantee	Date Approved	Project Total
DR-1980-0035-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Monett	2015-01-21	\$833,349.00
DR-1980-0040-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	MONETT SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	2014-12-09	\$2,549,772.00
DR-4250-0010-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	PURDY SCHOOL DISTRICT 2	2017-04-04	\$1,579,000.00
DR-1980-0064-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	CASSVILLE IV SCHOOL DISTRICT	2015-01-21	\$1,383,942.00
DR-1749-0004-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	MONETT SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	2013-02-11	\$914,578.00
DR-1847-0005-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	CASSVILLE IV SCHOOL DISTRICT	2012-09-13	\$1,552,250.00
DR-4317-0042-R	200.1: Acquisition of Private Real Property (Structures and Land) - Riverine	Monett	2020-05-19	\$659,741.00
DR-1403-0010-F	600.1: Warning Systems (as a Component of a Planned, Adopted, and Exercised Risk Reduction Plan)	KAMO ELECTRIC	2007-02-27	\$57,576.00

FEMA Public Assistance Grants in Lawrence County

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Project Size	Applicant	Project Total
1676	Debris Removal	Large	Mt Vernon	\$179,287.43
1676	Public Buildings and Facilities	Small	Marionville	\$1,000.00
1676	Public Buildings and Facilities	Small	Pierce City	\$3,251.93
1728	Road Washout	Small	Green Benefit Special Road District	\$28,761.44
1728	Gravel Washout/Culvert Replacement	Small	Green Benefit Special Road District	\$19,932.40
1728	Culvert Repair	Small	Vineyard Benefit Special Road District	\$5,755.00
1749	Public Utilities Repair	Small	Mt Vernon	\$26,991.66
4250	109af80 C- Gravel Roadway Repair	Small	Red Oak Benefit Special Road District	\$16,209.95
4250	109AF74F - WWTP And Lift Station	Small	Marionville	\$27,556.33
4317	St01960 - Undermined Bridge	Small	Lawrence County	\$100,139.10

STAPLEE Sheets



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Action Sheets



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Future Meetings

Second Thursday of the month, January – May, 11:30 AM,
Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

- Meeting 4: April 14
- Meeting 5: May 12



Draft Plan Due to SEMA: June 10, 2022

Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

Tcunningham@MissouriState.edu

For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org



Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #4

April 14, 2022

Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Mitigation actions
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Local Plan Participation (as of 4-13-22)

Jurisdiction	2017 Plan Participant?	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents					
		mtg 1	mtg 2	mtg 3	mtg 4	mtg 5	Capabilities Survey	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	New Actions	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Lawrence County	y	x	x	x			x	III		x		
City of Aurora	y	x	x	x			x	II				
City of Marionville	y			x				I		x		
City of Miller	y		x	x			x	I				
City of Mt. Vernon	y	x	x									
City of Pierce City	y			x								
City of Stotts City	n											
City of Verona	y			x			x	II		x		
Village of Freistatt	y	x	x	x			x	I				
Village of Halltown	n											
Village of Hoberg	n											

9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Meeting 3 and 4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting #5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation Actions Prioritization

STAPLEE Worksheet:

Social

Technical

Administrative

Political

Legal

Economical

Environmental



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)		

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Problems being Mitigated: What is the issue?
 - Estimated Cost: Do your best based on your jurisdiction; a range of \$ is fine
 - Benefits: What do you anticipate the benefits of this action?
 - Responsible & Supporting Organization/Department: What position or department will be in charge of implementing the action? Police, Fire, Administration, etc.
 - Timeline for Completion: Can be a range of time and up to five years, ongoing



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Potential Fund Sources:
 - Local Funds
 - Special (streets, utility, etc.) funds
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM, FMA
 - MDC, DNR, USDA, EDA Grants
 - Private grants or donations
 - Loans or bonds



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources

- SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM (replaced with BRIC), FMA
- <https://sema.dps.mo.gov/docs/programs/LRMF/mitigation/HMA-guidance-508-2-27-2015.pdf>

Cost Share Requirements:

Programs	Mitigation Activity (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Recipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Subrecipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)
HMGP	75/25	100/0	—/— ⁽¹⁾
PDM	75/25	75/25	75/25
PDM - subrecipient is small and impoverished community	90/10	75/25	90/10
PDM - Tribal Recipient/subrecipient is small and impoverished	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - insured properties and planning grants	75/25	75/25	75/25
FMA - repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - severe repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	100/0	100/0	100/0



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Grants – <https://mdc.mo.gov/community-conservation/community-conservation-funding-opportunities>
 - *Community Conservation Grant* – promotes urban wildlife habitat improvement, encourages organizational partnerships for land stewardship, and supports the training of partner staff to manage natural landscapes
 - *Urban Conservation Cost Share* – promotes sustainable development practices and the establishment of natural resource conservation practices in municipal and developing areas.
 - *Tree Resource Improvement and Management (TRIM) Grant* - encourages communities to have a sustainable, balanced, and comprehensive community forestry program based on a current tree inventory and managed with the guidance of a community forestry professional
 - *Missouri Conversation Heritage Foundation, Stream Stewardship Trust Fund*- low water crossing replacements for qualifying streams



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- U.S. Department of Agriculture– www.usda.gov
 - *Rural Development*- community facilities, special evaluation assistance for rural communities (water and waste projects), water and waste disposal loan and grant program
 - *Emergency Watershed Protection Program*- remove debris from stream channels, culverts, bridges; correct damaged drainage facilities
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Missouri Department of Economic Development
 - *Public Works and Economic Development Facilities Assistance* – construction, non-construction, technical assistance, etc.
 - *Missouri Infrastructure Development Opportunities Commission Program* – infrastructure improvements, priority to water and sewer address public health
 - *Public Entity Loan Program* – tax-exempt bonds for public buildings, jails, infrastructure, acquisition of land, demolition of buildings
 - *Community Development Block Grant* – Disaster Recovery



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM, FMA
 - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
 - Tied to disaster declarations; Equation tied to amount of disaster assistance
 - Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Nationally Competitive
 - Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Must be NFIP
 - SRL or RL properties

HMGP Cost-Share Example



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Local Planning Mechanisms:
 - Comprehensive Plan
 - Capital Improvement Plan
 - School Infrastructure Plan
 - Emergency Operations Plan
 - Budget process
 - Report of Progress:
 - If the action is continuing, what progress, if any, has been made



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

⁽¹⁾ Miscellaneous/Other indicates that any proposed action will be evaluated on its own merit against program requirements. Eligible projects will be approved provided funding is available.

Future Meetings

Meeting 5:
May 12, 11:30 a.m.

- Final Wrap-Up
- Plan Maintenance

Plan due to SEMA by June 10



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@missouristate.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Lawrence County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5

May 12, 2022

Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation Overview
- Process Recap
- Funding and Implementation Mechanisms
- Mitigation Action Tracking
- Plan Adoption and Maintenance



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Local Plan Participation (as of 5-11-22)

Jurisdiction	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
	mtg 1	mtg 2	mtg 3	mtg 4	mtg 5	Capabilities Survey	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Lawrence County	x	x	x	x		x	IIII			
City of Aurora	x	x	x	x		x	IIII	x		
City of Marionville			x	x		x	II			
City of Miller		x	x	x		x	II			
City of Mt. Vernon	x	x		x		x	III			
City of Pierce City			x			x				
City of Stotts City										
City of Verona			x	x		x	III			
Village of Freistatt	x	x	x	x		x	III			
Village of Halltown										
Village of Hoberg										
School Districts										
Aurora R-VIII School District		x	x	x		x	IIII	x	x	x
Marionville R-IX School District			x	x		x	II			
Miller R-II School District	x	x	x	x		x	IIII		x	x
Mt. Vernon R-V School District	x	x		x		x	I			
Pierce City R-VI School District		x	x	x		x	IIII		x	x
Verona R-VII School District		x	x	x		x	II			

Local Plan Participation (as of 5-11-22)

Special Districts										
Aurora Special Road District			x	x						
Aurora Rural Fire Protection District										
Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District			x	x		x	III	x		
Buck Prairie Special Road District	x	x	x	x		x	III			
Common I (County)				x						
Common II (County)				x						
Freistatt Special Road District			x	x						
Greene Benefit Special Road District				x						
Lawrence County Emergency Services 911		x	x			x	I		x	x
Midway Benefit Special Road District										
Miller Benefit Special Road District			x	x		x	I			
Monett Special Road District			x							
Mt Pleasant Benefit Special Road District				x						
Mt. Vernon Benefit Special Road District										
Mt Vernon Fire Protection District	x	x	x	x		x	IIII	x	x	x
Pierce Benefit Special Road District			x	x						
Red Oak Benefit Special Road District			x	x			I			
Verona Benefit Special Road District	x	x	x	x		x	II	x		
Vineyard Benefit Special Road District				x						

9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Meeting 3 and 4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting 5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation Action Prioritization

STAPLEE Worksheet:

Social

Technical

Administrative

Political

Legal

Economical

Environmental



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)		

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Problems being Mitigated: What is the issue?
 - Estimated Cost: Do your best based on your jurisdiction; a range of \$ is fine
 - Benefits: What do you anticipate the benefits of this action?
 - Responsible & Supporting Organization/Department: What position or department will be in charge of implementing the action? Police, Fire, Administration, etc.
 - Timeline for Completion: Can be a range of time and up to five years, ongoing



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Potential Fund Sources:
 - Local Funds
 - Special (streets, utility, etc.) funds
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM/BRIC, FMA
 - MDC, DNR, USDA, EDA Grants
 - Private grants or donations
 - Loans or bonds



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources

- SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM (replaced with BRIC), FMA
- <https://sema.dps.mo.gov/docs/programs/LRMF/mitigation/HMA-guidance-508-2-27-2015.pdf>

Cost Share Requirements:

Programs	Mitigation Activity (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Recipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Subrecipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)
HMGP	75/25	100/0	—/— ⁽¹⁾
PDM	75/25	75/25	75/25
PDM - subrecipient is small and impoverished community	90/10	75/25	90/10
PDM - Tribal Recipient/subrecipient is small and impoverished	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - insured properties and planning grants	75/25	75/25	75/25
FMA - repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - severe repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	100/0	100/0	100/0



Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- Funding Sources
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM/BRIC, FMA
 - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
 - Tied to disaster declarations; Equation tied to amount of disaster assistance
 - Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Nationally Competitive
 - Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC)
 - Focus on infrastructure and community lifelines
 - Rollout late 2020
 - Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Must be NFIP
 - SRL or RL properties

HMGP Cost-Share Example



Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- U.S. Department of Agriculture– www.usda.gov
 - Rural Development- community facilities, special evaluation assistance for rural communities (water and waste projects), water and waste disposal loan and grant program
 - Emergency Watershed Protection Program- remove debris from stream channels, culverts, bridges; correct damaged drainage facilities
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Missouri Department of Economic Development
 - Public Works and Economic Development Facilities Assistance – construction, non-construction, technical assistance, etc.
 - Missouri Infrastructure Development Opportunities Commission Program – infrastructure improvements, priority to water and sewer address public health
 - Public Entity Loan Program – tax-exempt bonds for public buildings, jails, infrastructure, acquisition of land, demolition of buildings
 - Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery

Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Grants – <https://mdc.mo.gov>
 - Community Conservation Funding – stream restoration, prairie reconstruction, forest management, wetland enhancement
 - Tree Resource Improvement and Maintenance (TRIM) Grant – tree inventory, hazardous tree removal or pruning, tree planting, training for community forest care
 - Cost-share for wildfire-fighting equipment
 - Missouri Conversation Heritage Foundation, Stream Stewardship Trust Fund- low water crossing replacements for qualifying streams

Mitigation Actions Implementation

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

⁽¹⁾ Miscellaneous/Other indicates that any proposed action will be evaluated on its own merit against program requirements. Eligible projects will be approved provided funding is available.



Plan Adoption and Maintenance

- Each participating jurisdiction must have adopted mitigation plan
- FEMA regulations require complete update every 5 years
- FEMA requires a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the mitigation plan remains active and relevant



Plan Adoption and Maintenance

- Who, how, and when will the plan be monitored, evaluated, and updated?
 - Through Emergency Management Coordination
 - After hazard events
 - Committee members report on status of mitigation actions
 - Involve and notify public of maintenance process- press release, discussion at public meetings

Mitigation Actions- Tracking

- FEMA Mitigation Action Tracker- disabled
- Track on your own
 - Spreadsheet
 - Word document
 - Print and put in notebook
- Report annually



Draft Plan

- Need missing information ASAP for final draft
- Current draft is posted online at <https://www.smcog.org/single-post/lawrence-county-hazard-mitigation-plan-draft>
 - Please review and submit feedback

Draft Plan due to SEMA: June 10, 2022



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 2

Thursday, Feb 10

11:30 AM

Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

Tags:

Lawrence County



Hazard Mitigation • Upcoming Meeting



Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3

Thursday, Mar 10

11:30 AM

Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

Tags:

Lawrence County



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4

Thursday, April 14

11:30 AM

Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

Tags:

Lawrence County



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 5

Thursday, May 12

11:30 AM

Lawrence County Courthouse, Mt Vernon

Tags:

Lawrence County



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Thursday, January 19, 2022 - 11:30 am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
John Ozkes	PR Comm	Buck Prairie	
Sarah Robinson	city clerk	Village of Freistedt	fricstet@vlg@mo-net.com
Carrie Howlett	Community Development Director	City of Aurora	chowlett@auroramo.gov
Susan Lewis	Aurora Mayor	IL	SLewis@auroramo.gov
Marking	Road Dist	Road Dist	none
Christy Reed	ROAD DISTRICT	Buck Prairie	CHRISTY@BUCKPRAIRIE.IL.GOV
Brent White	Rd. Dist.	Buck Prairie	
CHAS GALT	SEMA - REGION D	SEMA	CHAS.GALT@SEMA.DPS.MO.GOV
Stacy Ceste	Buck Prairie	Marionville	
Les Erwin	TREASURER	VERONA ROAD DIST.	vbsrd352@gmail.com
TIM SELVETZ	COMMISSIONER	LAW CO. COMMISSION	
Bruce Deib	Sh. R.	LCSD	bdclay@lawrencecountypa.ca

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Thursday, January 19, 2022 - 11:30 am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Dustin Storm	Miller Superintendent	Miller R-II School District	dstorm@millerseeds.org
Mark Drake	Monett Superintendent	Monett R-1 School District	m Drake@monettschools.org
GRANT SOLVEY	EMERGENCY MGT DIR.	LAWRENCE COUNTY EMA	lgwend@hotmail.com
Robert Sharpe	Verona Rod Comm. LAW. CO.	Verona-Burdick Rod Comm.	bob.sharpe@hydrat.com
DAVID BOTTS	WESTERN COM.		
Scott Cook	Mt Vernon R-V Superintendent	Mt. Vernon R-V Schools	cookscott@mtvernon.k12.mo.us
David Hebert	Chief of Police	Mt. Vernon	
Joe Kelley	CITY ADMIN	Mt Vernon	jkelley@mtvernon-cityth11.org
Jon Holmes	City Manager	Aurora	jholmes@aurora.mo.gov

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 2
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse - Mt Vernon, MO
 Tuesday, February 10, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Shirley Schulte L.H. Schulte	911 Executive Dir	Lawrence County 911	bushschulte@lawrencecountymo.gov
Scott Cook	Supt.	Mt. Vernon R-V Schools	cookscott@mtvernon.k12.mo.us
Kelli Alumbaugh	Superintendent	Pine City Schools	kalumbaugh@pineschool.net
Dustin Stern	Superintendent	Miller R-II School District	dstern@mlr.herschel.org
Shoy Curtis	Buck Prairie Special Road District	Marianville Mo	NA
Matt Thomas	Road District Special	Marianville Mo	NA
Barrie Howlett	Community Development Director	Aurora	chowlett@auroramo.gov
Jison Lewis	Mayor	Aurora	JLewis@auroramo.gov
Robert M. Burger	Commissioner - Nevada	Nevada Rd. District	bob.starger@hydra.com
TERRY ANDERSON	CHAIR LAWRENCE CO. EHS COORDINATOR	BCP INTERAGENTS	tanderson@balch.com
Joe Kelley	City Admin	City of Mt Vernon	jkelley@mtvernon.com
Dustin Ohms	Director PWR	CITY OF MTVERNON	dohms@mtvernon-cityhall.org

★ send first pp to him ★

send attendance to Grant

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 2
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
 Tuesday, February 10, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Bryan Dale	Supt	B & J Day & Lawrence Aster Pt	→ LCR
James Smith	Chief	Miller City Fire	millerjmo@yahoo.com
Sarah Robinson	clerk	Village of Freistadt	freistadt@mo.net.com
Naomi Austin	Premier	Verona R-7	naastine.verona7.net
Grant Seaby	Director	Law Co EMA	lawema@hotmail.com
Chris Kennedy	AD/Safety Coord.	Aurora	ckennedy@aurora-r8.org
Billy Redel	Supt.	Aurora	bredel@aurora-r8.org

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
Tuesday, March 10, 2022 - 11:30am
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Jason Lewis	Mayor	Aurora	JLewis@Aurora.mo.gov
DAVID BOTTS	LAW. CO. COMMISSIONER	LAW. CO.	Lawrence@sos.mo.gov
TIM SELVEY	"	"	"
Bob Senninger	"	"	"
James Smith	Fire Chief	City of Miller	MillerMofc@yahoo.com
Tammy Adams	Mitigation Spec.	Miller Benefit	hmealworms@gmail.com
Gary Adams	Pol Boss	"	"
Scott Schulte	Exec Director	Lawrence County ?	"
Brad DeLay	Sta. PA Fire Chief	LCSO MVFPD	bdelay@lawrence.custer.af.com
Jarrod Ottawa	Pierce City R-41 SRO	LCSO	johava@pcschult.net
Sarah Robinett	Village Clerk	Village of Freistatt	freistattvlg@mo.net.com
GRANT SOLVOT	EMD	LAW CO	lawemd@hotmail.com

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
Tuesday, March 10, 2022 - 11:30am
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Laura Hazelwood	City Clerk	City of Verona	verona@suddenlinkmail.com
Cindy Rinker	Branch Supervisor	Barely - Law. Reg. Library	cindy.r@blrlibrary.org
Kris Bowling	EMD/Fire Chief	CITY OF Marionville	kwbowling@yahoo.com
Jon Holmes	City Manager	Aurora,	
Naomi Austin	HS Principal/Safety Director	Verona R-7	naustin@veronar7.net
Jim Demster		Red Oak	

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
Tuesday, March 10, 2022 - 11:30am
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Carrie Howlett	Community Development Director	City of Aurora	chowlett@aurorammo.gov
Dustin Storm	Superintendent	Miller R-II School District	dstorm@miller-schools.org
Chris Kennedy	AD Aurora	Aurora	ckennedy@aurora-rs.org
Chris Gold	Supt. Marionville	Marionville	cgold@marionville.us
Les Erwin	Treasurer	Verona Benefit Road District	lerwin753@gmail.com
Michael Flummerfelt	Commissioner	Monett Special Road District	michael@vaughncompany.net
John W. Norton	Ass. Foreman	Aurora Special Rd Dist	N/A
Stacy Custer	worker	Buck Prairie Special	N/A
Matthews	worker	Buck Prairie Special Dist	N/A
Jim Dethlefs	commissioner	Freistoth Special Road	No.
Justin Noene	Superintendent	City of Pierce City	N/A
Jeany Stendell	SUPER.	PIERCE BENEFIT SPECIAL	N.A.

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
 Tuesday, April 14, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Tim Osterloh	Commissioner	Preistatst David Wood	
Merlin Brackett	Commissioner	Preistatst Rod District	
Brad Delany	Fire Chief / Sheriff	Mt Vernon Fire Protection District	bdelan@lawrence-sheriff.org
Sarah Abbott	City Clerk	Firestart	Preistatst rlq@mo-net.com
SEERY SANDHILL	BARN FERRMAN	PEACE BENEFIT ROAD	
Eve Holmes	City Manager	City of Aurora	
Larrie Houblett	Community Development Director	City of Aurora	choublett@aurora.mo.gov
Laura Hazelwood	City Clerk	City of Verona	Verona@suddenlinkmail.com
Cindy Rinker	BLRL - Branch Supervisor	BARN - Lawrence Reg Library	cindy@blrlibrary.org
Steve Wood	Red Oak Road	Red Oak Road District	swood@hottmail.com
James Smith	City of Miller Fire Chief	City of Miller	millerjmc@yahoo.com
Kris Bowling	Fire Chief / EMD	CITY of Marionville	Kubowling@yachoo.com

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
 Tuesday, April 14, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
* John W T Hornby	Asst Foreman	Aurora Special RD	Auroraspecialrd@hotmail.com
Stacy Custer	laborer	BuchPrairie Special Rd District	
Mark Henry	employ	BuchPrairie's Special Rd Dist	
John DeZkus	Commissioner	Buch Prairie Prairie	
Joe Kelly	Admin	CITY OF MtVernon	
DUSTIN DAVIS	DIRECTOR	CITY OF MT VERNON	ddavis@mtvernon-cityhall.com
Naomi Austin	PRINCIPAL	Vernon R-7	naustn@vernon7.net

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
Tuesday, April 14, 2022 - 11:30am
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Les Eavis	Treasurer	Vernon Benefit Spend R.D.	Vbsrd352@gmail.com
MATT REWUTE	Treasurer	Vernon Benefit Spend R.D.	
AUTHOR JIMMY	COMMISSIONER	VERNON BENEFIT SPEND	
Karl	Commissioner	Vernon Benefit Spend R.D.	
Nick Hays		Comm II Road District	Wharper22@yaho.com
Harold Luyser		Comm II Road District	Lluyser50@aol.com
Danny Wofford	Common 1 Super	Comm I Road Dist	Dannyhw74.dw.com-q.mil.com
Chris Cold	Supt	Marionville R-9 Schools	cgold@marionville.us
Chris Kennedy	Safety Coord	Aurora Schools	ckennedy@aurora-r8.org
Billy Reels	Asst	Aurora Schools	brders@aurora-r8.org
Scott Cook	Superintendent	Mt. Vernon Schools	cookscott@mtvernon.k12.mo.us
Dustin Storm	Superintendent	Miller R-II School District	dstorm@millerschools.org

★
★
★

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
 Tuesday, April 14, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Blair Paris	worker	MT Pleasant	
Roger Moore	commissioner	MT. Pleasant	moerom13fca1n3@gmail.com
Don Kleibacker	commissioner	Mt. Pleasant Special	dek1eibackler@windstream.net
Dennis Stollwagen	commissioner	MT, Pleasant	dennis.stollwagen@gmail.com
Joy R. Steele	commissioner	Red Oak	Jayrsrbp@hotmail.com
Deal Hunt	Comm.	Miller	dehunt70@gmail.com
Sam Dean	Comm.	Miller	
Willy Hendrix	Comm.	Miller	willyh@stankesssteelhd.com
Mitch Boggs	Comm.	Vineyard	boggsformissouri@gmail.com
Kimank, n3804	Comm.	Green	
Tim Yount	worker	Green	timyount@ymail.com
Grant Seary	EMD	Law Co	lawemd@hotmail.com

Jarrod O'Hara No/Safety Officer Pine City johara@pschools.net

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 5
 Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
 Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
 Thursday, May 12, 2022 - 11:30am
 Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Sara Robinson	City Clerk	Village of Felt	arisstark@mo-net.com
Kelli Aumbach	Superintendent	Pierce City Schools	kalumbach@pcschools.net
Chris Gdy	Supt.	Marionville R-9	cgald@marionville.us
Darlene Parrigon	EMD	Pierce City	dparrigon@pac.net
Naomi Frstn	HS Principal Verona R-7	Verona R-7	naastine@verona7.net
Barrie Howlett	Assistant City manager	City of Aurora	howlett@aurora.mo.gov
James Smith	Fire Chief	City of Miller	millerjmo@yahoo.com
Michael Flumerfelt	Moett Special Commissioner	Moett Special District Board	Flumerfelt@yahoo.com

Lawrence County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 5
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Lawrence County Courthouse – Mt Vernon, MO
Thursday, May 12, 2022 - 11:30am
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
SHAUN SEAVY	EMD	Law Co EMA	shseavy@mtvernonmo.gov
Scott Coole	Superintendent	Mt. Vernon	scottcoole@mtvernonmo.gov
Billy Redus	Superintendent	Aurora	bredus@aurora.org
TIM SEAVY	COMMISSIONER	Law Co.	tseavy@scs.mo.gov
DAVID BOTT	"	"	"
Bob Sammons	"	"	"
Nick Hyer	Road Manager	Lawrence Co. Comm #1	-
Mrs Erwin	Treasurer	Vernon Road District	erwin352@gmail.com
Katie Byrum	Road Maint	Lawrence Co. Comm #1	kbyrum@lawrencecountymo.gov
Lindy Rinker	Branch Supervisor	Barely - Law. Regional Lib	l.rinker@blrlibrary.org
Joe Kelly	City Admin	City of Mt Vernon	jkelly@mtvernon-mo.gov
Chris Kennedy	Aurora School	Society Coal.	ckennedy@auroraschools.org

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Lawrence County

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: **Resilient Construction techniques** – Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors, and other entities involved in the housing industry

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	11

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Grant Searcy, EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education – make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SEWBY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.3
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	25

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLVOY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.4
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	24

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) GRANT SERVOT EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.5
Name of Action or Project:	Roadside Tornado Warning Messages - Coordinate with Missouri Department of Transportation to incorporate tornado warning messages on the electronic roadside signs along I-44 to provide situational awareness to travelers on I-44.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Grant Sevoy EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.6
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	14

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Grant Solway EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLVOY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Lawrence County

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.8

Name of Action or Project: **Safe Refuge Area Plan** - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	21

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) GRANT SOWOT EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.9
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	19

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLWET EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

BRAW, Susan EMD 417-848-9627

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach/Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3		
Probably NO = 1		
Maybe YES = 2		
Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLVAY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.12
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Establish and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as: CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, etc
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	24

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOWBY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Lawrence County

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 2.1

Name of Action or Project: **Database Mitigation Resources** - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	19

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLUSY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach/Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLVOY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.3
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services/Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SELBY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Plan Coordination - Collect & coordinate plans from schools and medical facilities, such as emergency operations plans, floor plans, and others that may apply	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLWEY EMI 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.5
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	25

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number) GRANT SOWBY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.6
Name of Action or Project:	Construction of Fire Stations - Construct additional fire stations in communities and rural fire districts or fire protection associations to improve accessibility to areas impacted by natural or manmade barriers during hazard events.
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Grant Sevoy

EMD

417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Lawrence County

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 3.1

Name of Action or Project: Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.

Mitigation Category: Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	20

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Grant Selvey EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

GRANT SOLVOY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention/Natural Systems Protection	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

CRAWFORD SOLVEY EMD 417-848-9622

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora
------------------------------	----------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.1
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	22

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora
------------------------------	----------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.2
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3

STAPLEE SCORE	22
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	20
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	42
---	----

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora
------------------------------	----------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.3
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
--	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
---	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
---	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
--	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
---	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
---	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
---	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	2
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	19
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	14
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	33
---	----

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Warning Siren Upkeep - Maintain a sufficient number of warning sirens in all incorporated communities while also maintaining current infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Use Zoning Ordinance to Require Refuge Areas - Amend or update zoning ordinances to include requirement for best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in all new manufactured home parks.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.12	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.13	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.15	
Name of Action or Project:	Code Updates for Storm Ready Standards – Amend or update codes to include storm ready standards, such as hurricane straps	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		45

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.16	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Establish and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as: CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – Provide backup generators for all water towers & wastewater treatment facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Aurora	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carrie Howlett, Community Development
Director (417) 678-5121 Ext. 32

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville
------------------------------	---------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.1
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	2

STAPLEE SCORE

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	--	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville
------------------------------	---------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.3
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	22

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Warning Siren Upkeep - Maintain a sufficient number of warning sirens in all incorporated communities while also maintaining current infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.12	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.13	
Name of Action or Project:	Code Updates for Storm Ready Standards – Amend or update codes to include storm ready standards, such as hurricane straps	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		46

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Establish and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as: CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Construction of Fire Stations - Construct additional fire stations in communities and rural fire districts or fire protection associations to improve accessibility to areas impacted by natural or manmade barriers during hazard events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		45

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Siren Relocation – relocate one outdoor warning siren that is repeatedly hit by lightning	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Sanitary Sewer Lift Stations – add berming around the city’s main sanitary sewer lift station. It has flooded multiple times	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – Provide backup generators for all water towers & wastewater treatment facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Marionville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kris Bowling Fire Chief/EMD 417-229-5013

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
High Priority X(30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34
High Priority xx (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Warning Siren Upkeep - Maintain a sufficient number of warning sirens in all incorporated communities while also maintaining current infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority x 25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these locations available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority x (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator – purchase and install a backup generator at the City Hall and Fire Station to help aid in the continuous operation of city business during power outages	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

High Priority x 30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm Shelter – construct a storm shelter at the City Hall and Fire Station	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

High Priority X (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
2E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

High Priority X (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
High Priority X (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority X (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority X (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

James Smith fire chief 417 606 0282

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – Provide backup generators for all water towers & wastewater treatment facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Miller	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

High Priority X (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.1
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
-------------------------------------	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
--	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	0
--	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
---	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0
--	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
--	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
--	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	2
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	15
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	27
---	----

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.2
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
--	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
---	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	0
---	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
--	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0
---	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0
---	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
---	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	2
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	11
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	23
---	----

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.3
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	14

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		22

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		20

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.10
-------------------------------	------

Name of Action or Project:	Use Zoning Ordinance to Require Refuge Areas - Amend or update zoning ordinances to include requirement for best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in all new manufactured home parks.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Prevention
-----------------------------	------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	1
-------------------------------------	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1
--	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
--	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1
---	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
--	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
--	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
--	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	1
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	12
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	14
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	26
---	----

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.12	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.13	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Code Updates for Storm Ready Standards – Amend or update codes to include storm ready standards, such as hurricane straps	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.15	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Establish and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as: CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Construction of Fire Stations - Construct additional fire stations in communities and rural fire districts or fire protection associations to improve accessibility to areas impacted by natural or manmade barriers during hazard events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – Provide backup generators for all water towers & wastewater treatment facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Mt Vernon	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joe Kelley; City Administrator (417) 466-2122

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.1
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hoopwood EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.2
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		<u>19</u>
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		<u>12</u>
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		<u>31</u>

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. H. Busch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.3
Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. H. ... EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.4
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	3
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number) M. H. Busch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.9
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	19

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	4
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) M. H. Busch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.10
Name of Action or Project:	Use Zoning Ordinance to Require Refuge Areas - Amend or update zoning ordinances to include requirement for best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in all new manufactured home parks.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hoopwood EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.11
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	17

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	3 10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hoophouse ID EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.12
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hogbuser EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.13
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	15

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) M. Hagbusch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.5
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Haghouse ID EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Pierce City

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.6

Name of Action or Project: **Warning Siren Upkeep** - Maintain a sufficient number of warning sirens in all incorporated communities while also maintaining current infrastructure.

Mitigation Category: Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	17

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Haglund EMTD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.7
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hoopbusch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.8
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hargraves EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.14
Name of Action or Project:	Code Updates for Storm Ready Standards – Amend or update codes to include storm ready standards, such as hurricane straps
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating	Score	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	1	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE SCORE	14	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) M. Hargbuser EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. H. [Signature] EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.2
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hochblum EMD 417-205-5500

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.3
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
	Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. HANDBLUSCH EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.4
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	16

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hargrave EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. H. Busch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.2
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. H. [Signature] EMD 417-205-5500

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Pierce City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

M. Hochbusch EMD 417-205-5590

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Warning Siren Upkeep - Maintain a sufficient number of warning sirens in all incorporated communities while also maintaining current infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		6
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		16

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City
------------------------------	---------------------

Action or Project	
--------------------------	--

Action/Project Number:	1.9
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
-------------------------------------	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
--	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
--	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	0
---	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
--	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
--	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
--	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	0
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	13
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	12
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	25
---	----

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Use Zoning Ordinance to Require Refuge Areas - Amend or update zoning ordinances to include requirement for best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in all new manufactured home parks.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		8
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		18

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		22

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.12	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.13	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Code Updates for Storm Ready Standards – Amend or update codes to include storm ready standards, such as hurricane straps	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City
------------------------------	---------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	2.1
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	14

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		4
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		14

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		3
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		13

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		7
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		17

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Stotts City	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		9
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		19

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Timothy Blankenship, Mayor, 417-366-3738

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona
------------------------------	----------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.2
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Resilient construction techniques - Develop an educational campaign on construction techniques for new buildings to reduce risk of severe storm damage and distribute to mortgage institutions, home builders, realtors and other entities involved in the housing industry.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	14

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness Program - Educate homeowners and business about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room that will be open to community members during hazard events	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Verona

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.9

Name of Action or Project: **Update Verona’s Disaster Preparedness Manual** - update the manual with current contact information, detailed maps, reevaluate plans for different types of emergencies, including those that were not addressed in the manual previously, and ensure that the various emergency department heads have up to date copies. Establish a procedure by which the manual contact information is updated yearly.

Mitigation Category: Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable 3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful? 3

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action? 2

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable? 3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement? 3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial? 1

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**? 3

Will historic structures be saved or protected? 3

Could it be implemented quickly? 0

STAPLEE SCORE 21

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved? Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved. 8

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages? Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages. 5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE 13

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness) 34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number) Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation - Encourage the county and municipalities to maintain participation in the NFIP.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Verona	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Laura Hazelwood, City Clerk, 4174986725

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

 Sarah Robinett, Clerk
 Village of Freistatt
 417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		8
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

 Sarah Robinett, Clerk
 Village of Freistatt
 417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction - Encourage construction of tornado safe rooms and best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) in areas of population concentration.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction - Retrofit existing critical public buildings & infrastructures where possible to provide shelter from natural hazards	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.7
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Refuge Area Plan - Encourage that all new public buildings have a best identified available refuge area (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) or safe room, equipped with radios and emergency local communications.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Extreme Temperature Refuge Areas - Identify and designate heating and cooling refuge areas in community buildings, and make these location available to the public during extreme temperature events	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Community Involvement Projects - Community organizations should continue programs to provide fans, air conditioners & winter weatherization for those at risk (the elderly, low-income, younger, and handicapped).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Establish and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as: CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Amateur Radio, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Database Mitigation Resources - Establish and maintain a database on available mitigation resources and programs that can be shared with local governments, response and preparedness agencies, social service organizations, and emergency care providers	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	4
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	4
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.5
Name of Action or Project:	Construction of Fire Stations – construct additional fire stations in communities and rural fire districts or fire protection associations to improve accessibility to areas impacted by natural or manmade barriers during hazard events
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment – Maintain appropriate operations of wastewater treatment facilities and reduce &/or eliminate hazard risks.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – Provide backup generators for all water towers & wastewater treatment facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris & Overgrowth - Clean up debris & overgrowth from drainage channels & under bridges.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Robinett, Clerk
Village of Freistatt
417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Freistatt
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.5
Name of Action or Project:	Burn Bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

 Sarah Robinett, Clerk
 Village of Freistatt
 417-340-4550

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Aurora R-VIII School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room at the Aurora High School	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Kennedy Safety Coordinator at Aurora School District

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Aurora R-VIII School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup generators – purchase backup generators for each building in the school district	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	2
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	3
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		5
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Kennedy Safety Coordinator at Aurora
School District

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Aurora R-VIII School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas and safe rooms in communities available to the public	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Kennedy Safety Coordinator at Aurora
School District

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Marionville R-IX School District
------------------------------	----------------------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.1
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	26

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Gold, Superintendent, Marionville R-9
Schools, 417-258-7755

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Marionville R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Gold, Superintendent, Marionville R-9
Schools, 417-258-7755

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Marionville R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Gold, Superintendent, Marionville R-9
Schools, 417-258-7755

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Marionville R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Gold, Superintendent, Marionville R-9
Schools, 417-258-7755

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Marionville R-IX School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators – provide backup power generators for school facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	4
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Miller R-II School District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: **Hazard Awareness Education** - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating	Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	10

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Austin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Miller R-II School District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.2

Name of Action or Project: **Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education** - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	10

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Miller R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Miller R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room at the elementary school	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Miller R-II School District
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.1
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Miller R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		9
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Miller R-II School District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 3.1

Name of Action or Project: **Backup Power Generators** - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	9

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Dustin Storm, Superintendent, 417-452-3515

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado Safe Room Construction – construct a safe room for students, staff, and faculty to shelter in during hazard events	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication Network - Continue to provide radios for essential emergency personnel and a designated public official or community leader in all communities to improve the county-wide communication network.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Countywide Network Increase - Continue to increase countywide frequency repeater network, including new wireless units	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-2573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in the National Incident Management System (NIMS) and WebEOC training programs	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joett Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Power Generators - Promote the location of backup power generators in all new and existing city halls, schools, hospitals, nursing homes, fire departments and other critical or vulnerable facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Scott Cook Superintendent 417-466-7573

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pierce City R-Vi School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kelli Alumbaugh, Superintendent 417-476-2555

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pierce City R-Vi School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?		5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?		5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kelli Alumbaugh, Superintendent 417-476-2555

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pierce City R-Vi School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Weather Radios – purchase NOAA weather radios for school facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kelli Alumbaugh, Superintendent 417-476-2555

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Pierce City R-Vi School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup power generators – purchase backup power generators for school facilities	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kelli Alumbaugh, Superintendent 417-476-2555

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Verona R-VII School District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: **Hazard Awareness Education** - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable 3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful? 2

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action? 2

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable? 3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement? 2

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial? 3

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**? 2

Will historic structures be saved or protected? 0

Could it be implemented quickly? 2

STAPLEE SCORE 19

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved? Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved. 7

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages? Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages. 5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE 10

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness) 29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number) Naomi Austin, Principal, 417-669-8603

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Verona R-VII School District
------------------------------	------------------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.2
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Naomi Austin, Principal, 417-669-8603

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Verona R-VII School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Campus Drainage – redesign water retention ponds, add new ponds, and restructure dirt to help with water drainage system	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Verona R-VII School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm drains and water retention – maintain storm drains and water retention to off-set constructions of buildings and parking lots	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Naomi Austin, Principal, 417-425-3614

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: **Hazard Awareness Education** - Establish a process to distribute educational materials on hazards through schools, major employers, and cultural organizations including materials on safe generator use and developing personal/family hazard preparedness plans.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
--	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
---	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
---	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
--	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
---	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
---	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
---	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	2
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	19
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Gina Milburn, Director 417-235-6646

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Multilingual Hazard Awareness Education - Establish a process to distribute educational materials in other languages on hazards and actions to minimize risks for distribution to the non-English speaking population through the schools, major employers, and cultural organizations.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Gina Milburn, Director 417-235-6646

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Refuge Areas and Safe Room Location Education - Make the location of best identified available refuge areas (these do not comply with FEMA Publication 361 for safe rooms) and safe rooms in communities available to the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Gina Milburn, Director 417-235-6646

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Implementations - Promote the usage of NOAA radios and new communications technologies in all vulnerable and critical facilities, such as schools, medical facilities, nursing homes and day care facilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Gina Milburn, Director 417-235-6646

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry-Lawrence Regional Library District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – build a safe room at the new library branch being built in Monett	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Gina Milburn, Director 417-235-6646

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Lawrence County Emergency Services 911
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1
Name of Action or Project:	Backup Generator - Acquire a generator to provide power to ensure communications and 9-1-1 call taking ability for the consolidated 9-1-1 center which is expected to be operation Q2 of 2023.
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Mt Vernon Fire Protection District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Backup generator – purchase a backup generator for fire station #2	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Brad A Delay Fire Chief 417-466-2131

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Verona Benefit Special Road District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1) VBSRD.1	
Name of Action or Project:	RIGHT OF WAY MAINTENANCE "WATERWAY"	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; <u>Structure and Infrastructure Projects</u> ; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services VBSRD.1	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Ray L. ERVIN Treasurer 417-229-2664	

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	VERONA BENEFIT SPECIAL ROAD DISTRICT	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1) VBSRD-2	
Name of Action or Project:	BRIDGE AND LOW WATERWAY SURFACE RETENTION	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; <u>Structure and Infrastructure Projects</u> ; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services VBSRD-2	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?.		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Ray L. ERWIN TREASURER (417) 229-2664	

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	VERONA BENEFIT SPECIAL ROAD DISTRICT	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:	KEY ROUTE MAPPING	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; (Emergency Services) VBSRD.3	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	RAY L. ERWIN TREASURER 417 229-2664	

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	VERONA BENEFIT SPECIAL ROAD DISTRICT	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1) VBSRD.4	
Name of Action or Project:	ROAD BED IMPROVEMENT IN LOW WATER AREAS	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; <u>Structure and Infrastructure Projects</u> ; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Ray L. ERWIN TREASURER 417 229-2664	

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	VERONA BENEFIT SPECIAL ROAD DISTRICT	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1) VB5RD.5	
Name of Action or Project:	EMERGENCY COMMUNICATION PLAN	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services ES	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)	Ray L. ERWIN TREASURER H17 229-2664	