

Appendix B: Planning Process

Appendix B includes the following planning process documents:

1. Notes for public comment period
2. Affidavit of publication for comment period
3. Invitee list
4. Meeting notice press releases
5. Meeting letters and emails
6. Meeting presentations
7. Internet postings of meeting dates and notices
8. STAPLEE worksheets

To: Compton, David <David.Compton@coxhealth.com>; lepc@mo-net.com; Dana Kammerlohr <dkammerlohr@cityofcassville.com>; Steve Walensky <swalensky@cityofcassville.com>; David Brock <dbrock@cityofcassville.com>; rhonda scott <rscott@exeter.k12.mo.us>; Bonnie Witt-Schulte <911emamonettlawco@cityofmonett.com>; Sarah Kissinger <deputyclerk@seligmanmo.com>; Josh Kinnaman <cityofwheatonmo@gmail.com>; Josh Kinnaman <wheatonmo@gmail.com>; Eric White <ewhite@cassville.k12.mo.us>; Dusty Reid <dreid@cassville.k12.mo.us>; Angela Seymour <angelaseymour@crowder.edu>; Dr. Ernest Raney <eraney@exeter.k12.mo.us>; Steve Garner <stgarner@monettschools.org>; Mindi Gates <mgates@purdyk12.com>; John Rakestraw <jrakestraw@shellknob78.com>; Tosha Tilford <ttilford@swr5.net>; Trish Wilson <twilson@wheatonschools.org>; Valerie Wilson <vwilson@barrylawrenceambulance.com>; Rusty Rickard <rrickard@centralcrossingfpd.org>; Vaughan, William Shane <William.Vaughan@Mercy.Net>
Cc: Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>
Subject: 2021 Barry County HMP Draft

Good afternoon everyone

A draft copy of the 2021 Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available to view on the SMOG website [here](#). I have also attached a copy of the plan to this email. Please review the document and send me any comments/suggestions/etc you have by the **end of the day on Wednesday, July 21st**. The plan is due to SEMA on Friday, July 23rd, so the sooner you send me your comments, the sooner I can add them to the plan. It is quite lengthy, so I would recommend doing a control+f search and specifically looking for your jurisdiction. Also, please make sure I have included the correct representatives for your jurisdictions on page 2 - I don't want to leave out anyone that helped.

It should be noted that this is the first draft version of the plan, so expect some changes to be made. You might notice that some tables are incomplete. They will be completed in the final version, I just wanted to get this out for review and comment as soon as possible.

As a reminder, I recently sent out adoption resolutions for all participating jurisdictions. Please let me know if you will be unable to have it passed by your city council/board of aldermen/board of education/etc before the plan is due to SEMA on July 23rd. This won't be a big deal, but I will need to know ahead of time so I can make a note of it.

And finally, I will be sending out action sheets next week for you to complete based on your mitigation actions. Be on the lookout for that email.

As always, if you have any questions please don't hesitate to contact me. Thanks and have a great weekend!

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

110 Park Central Square | Springfield, MO 65806

Office: 417-836-6900 | Direct: 417-836-5281

COXHEALTH CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information protected by law. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

This message originated outside Missouri State University. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or replying.

"The preliminary draft of the Barry County Multijurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for review and comment at www.smcog.org until Wednesday, July 21st, 2021. The public is encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Barry County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.

If you would like to view a copy of the plan or make comments, please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments, at TCunningham@MissouriState.edu."

2021 Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft

The initial draft of the Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for public review and comment. Please submit comments to Thomas Cunningham at TCunningham@missouristate.edu by the end of the day on Wednesday, July 21st.

Please note: this is a draft version of the document. Expect multiple changes and updates to be made



2021 Barry County HMP Draft 6-18... .pdf

Download PDF • 10.40MB



Tags:

Barry County NHMP SMCOG



SMCOG Spotlight





Advertiser

"Where it pays to Advertise"

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

(Space above for recording information.)

STATE OF MISSOURI }
COUNTY OF BARRY } ss.

Missouri State ran a 3 col. x 4 inch ad on 6/30/21 in the Barry County Advertiser. Total charges were \$72.00.

I, Renee Bear

being duly sworn according to law, state that I am an agent of the Barry County Advertiser, a weekly newspaper of general circulation in the County of Barry, State of Missouri, which newspaper has been admitted to the Post Office as a third class matter in the City of Cassville, Missouri, which newspaper has been published regularly and consecutively for a period of three years and has a mail through the U.S. Postal system circulation of in excess of 13,200 copies each week. The affixed notice appeared in said newspaper on the following consecutive issues.

One time only

1st Insertion

2nd Insertion

3rd Insertion

4th Insertion

5th Insertion June 30, 2021

Renee Bear
Agent

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22nd day of

July 2021,

El Phif
Notary Public

My commission expires Sept. 27, 2021

ELAINE PHANEUF
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Barry County
My Commission Expires Sept. 27, 2021
Commission #17796637

Neither snow nor rain

Thoughts from The Editor



Sheila Harris

About 40 years, I worked as a temporary mail sorter for the post office in Purdy. Then, everything mailed within Purdy to be delivered to a Purdy address, stayed within Purdy. That mail was sorted directly into recipients' boxes for next-day delivery by rural carriers or even same-day pick-up for those with post-office boxes.

It seems that's not the case now in Purdy or anywhere else - a fact I was oblivious to until last week. However, getting confirmation of this fact turned out to be a lesson in perseverance, and not a simple one.

Disclaimer:

The editor of the Barry County Advertiser reserves the right to edit or withhold from publication any letter for any reason whatsoever. Letters to the Editor reflect the opinion of the author, not necessarily that of the Barry County Advertiser or its staff. Email your letters to editor@4bca.com

This pursuit started when Marty Jenkins (our publisher here at The Barry County Advertiser) was charged a late fee on the office's water and sewer bill. He mailed the payment from the Cassville Post Office to the City of Cassville **four days prior** to the city's monthly due date of the 15th.

Cassville's exorbitant \$25 late fee for water and sewer payments is bound to get anyone's attention and rightfully so. (I've checked with other cities in the county and, while most of their penalties fall within the \$5 to \$10 range, some are based on a percentage of water usage, which typically would never achieve a \$25 penalty-status.) However, I'm not here to debate the propriety of Cassville's pricey late fee.

I'm more concerned about the reason for the alleged four-day or more delivery time of a piece of mail sent to an address within the same city.

I started locally by asking the Cassville postmaster whether mail stayed within Cassville if it was intended for a Cassville recipient. He wouldn't answer, which took me by surprise. I didn't realize I was asking for classified information.

Instead, he referred me up the chain to his superiors in Kansas City. I wasn't allowed to contact them directly, though. I was instructed to leave my name and number with him and was told that his superior would call me at his convenience.

To his credit, Mark Inglett, in Strategic Communications

(a portentous title, it turns out) with the USPS, called me fairly promptly. However, he told me I'd have to send him my questions by email in a bullet-point format. I did so.

Here follows the questions I asked and the replies I received:

- **Is everything (that's) mailed in Cassville to another Cassville address sorted in a hub in Springfield now?** He confirmed that this was the case.

- **How long ago did the policy change, and what was the rationale for it?** His reply: "Many years ago, local mail was kept on site; however, as automation has continued to grow, it is much more efficient for all mail to be worked through automation to ensure timely delivery."

I was a little surprised by this answer (although strategic, it definitely *was*) as efficiency and timely delivery seem to have suffered, given the example I first mentioned.

- **What would be the time difference in delivery, now, as opposed to when mail stayed within a town?** I received no reply to this question, other than a request for me to cite a particular case he could investigate.

- **I reworded the question by asking, "What would the expectation for delivery of a (same-town) piece of mail be, that now goes through an automated hub? Next day, two days, more?"**

So far, no answer has been forthcoming. In other words, "Expect *nothing* when you drop a payment (addressed

to the same town) in the mail, and just hope for the best."

Here's my advice to Cassville residents: to avoid the possibility of a \$25 late fee, it might be a good idea to drop your Cassville water payments off in the collection box across from the police department or, better yet, hand-deliver them to the city clerk.

I feel bad about offering this advice, since I've grown fond of the stalwart tradition of the postal system throughout my lifetime. Like many other people, I look forward to getting my mail.

On a political note, it has been said that delays in postal service have been deliberately manufactured by Louis DeJoy, our current postmaster general, in an attempt to privatize the USPS for the financial gain of various corporate entities. It's also been said that the delays have been orchestrated to discourage mail-in voting during an election cycle.

Perhaps there's truth to both allegations. If so, it saddens me that one of the postal service's basic functions of "binding the nation together" (as described in its mission statement) has been cast aside in favor of partisan interests.

My fear is that the phrase "one nation" will soon be simply a bygone, unrealistic ideal - much like next-day, same-town delivery.

Advertiser Closed

The Barry County Advertiser will be closed Monday, July 5, in observance of Independence Day.

Our deadline is 5 p.m., Friday, July 1, for display and classified ads for the June 7 newspaper.

Motorcycle crash injures driver

Ezra DeVore

According to a Missouri State Highway Patrol report, Justin Farris, 33, of Cassville, was seriously injured in a Highway 76 wreck June 24 at 5:35 p.m. Approximately seven miles east of Cassville, Farris was driving a westbound 2008 Suzuki


EZR 1800 motorcycle when he failed to negotiate a curve and impacted a barbed-wire fence. Farris was wearing a safety device, and was then transported by South Barry County Ambulance to Mercy Hospital in Rogers, Arkansas. The motorcycle sustained heavy damage.

THE BARRY COUNTY ADVERTISER
reaches over 13,000 homes each week - more than ALL other area publications combined!

EPPERLY ELECTRIC MOTOR
SALES & SERVICE

- * Rewinding
- * Repairing
- * Single Phase
- * 3 Phase

Starters & Alternators
417-652-7842
3834 State Hwy HH, Purdy, MO



EST. 1960
Litho PRINTERS
PRINT & DESIGN CO.

DESIGN. PRINT. DONE.
Books • Newsletters • Invitations • Copies • Business Cards • Menus • Signs & Banners • 2 & 3 part NCR • Mailers & Fliers • Labels & Stickers • Envelopes • Letterhead • Booklets • Brochures • Calendars • Self-Inking Stamps • Typewriter Ribbon • Register Tape • Perforation • Lamination and more!

www.PrintLitho.com
417.847.3155
lithoprinters@yahoo.com

THE BUTTERFIELD FIREFIGHTERS AUXILIARY

Summer Celebration!

FRIDAY, JULY 2
FROM 6PM-DARK
AT TWISTER ALLEY IN BUTTERFIELD

Fireworks Show!! AT DARK (9:30 PM)

Entertainment:
221 Band • Shockwave Karaoke

Bouncy House • Duck Pond
Photo Booth • Water Balloons • Vendors
If interested in vendor space, contact Butterfield Firefighters Auxiliary. \$25 per vendor.

Hot Dogs & Chips - \$2.00
Nachos - \$2.00
FREE Popcorn,
Watermelon Slices, & Water



The preliminary draft of the **BARRY COUNTY MULTIJURISDICTIONAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN** is now available for review and comment at www.smcog.org until Wednesday, July 21st, 2021. The public is encouraged to comment on the plan and ensure that the needs and values of residents are being addressed by Barry County in mitigating the potential damages to people and property in the event of natural hazards.


If you would like to view a copy of the plan or make comments, please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, Southwest Missouri Council of Governments, at TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

TREVOR THOMAS

"MR DRAMA"
www.trevorthomasdramaministries.com
APPEARING LIVE!!!

CALVARY BAPTIST CHURCH
6980 FR 2165 • Exeter, Missouri

Wednesday July 7, 2021
7:00 p.m.



Jurisdiction	Title	First Name	Last Name	Address	City	State	Zip
Barry County, Missouri	Commissioner	Gary	Youngblood	700 Main, Suite 2	Cassville	MO	65625
Barry County, Missouri	Commissioner	Wayne	Hendrix	700 Main, Suite 2	Cassville	MO	65625
Barry County, Missouri	Commissioner	Gary	Schad	700 Main, Suite 2	Cassville	MO	65625
Barry County, Missouri	Emergency Mgr	David	Compton	102 Maple Street	Monett	MO	65708
Barry County, Missouri	Deputy EMD	Russ	Nichols	102 Maple Street	Monett	MO	65708
Barry County, Missouri	County Clerk	Jill	Lecompte	700 Main, Suite 2	Cassville	MO	65625
Barry County, Missouri	Elections specialist	Kimi	Deal				
Barry County, Missouri	Assessor	Sherry	Smith	700 Main, Suite 2	Cassville	MO	65625
Village of Arrow Point	secretary	Christina	Hanson	25286 Farm Road 2262	Golden	MO	65658
Village of Butterfield	Mayor	Tony	Cope	P.O. Box 235	Cassville	MO	65625
Village of Butterfield	City Clerk	Tracy	Anders	P.O. Box 235	Cassville	MO	65625
City of Cassville	Mayor	Bill	Shively	300 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
City of Cassville	City Clerk	Jennifer	Evans	300 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
City of Cassville	Fire Chief	Millard	Andrews	P.O. Box 798	Cassville	MO	65625
City of Cassville	EMD	Dana	Kammerlohr	300 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Village of Chain-O-Lakes	Chairman	Brenda	Pierce	P.O. Box 262	Eagle Rock	MO	65641
Village of Emerald Beach	secretary	Cathie	Arnold	23956 Cardinal Dr.	Golden	MO	65658
City of Exeter	Mayor	Lance	Eaton	118 Front Street	Exeter	MO	65647
City of Exeter	City Clerk	Myrna	Eisenbraun	118 Front Street	Exeter	MO	65647
City of Exeter	Emergency Mgr	Jim	Matthews	118 Front Street	Exeter	MO	65647
City of Exeter	Chief of Police	Willie	Stephens	118 Front Street	Exeter	MO	65647
City of Monett	Mayor	Mike	Brownsberger	217 5th Street	Monett	MO	65708
City of Monett	City Administrator	Dennis	Pyle	217 5th Street	Monett	MO	65708
City of Monett	City Clerk	Lisa	Crawford	217 5th Street	Monett	MO	65708
City of Monett	Building Inspector	Wade	Ennes	217 5th Street	Monett	MO	65708
City of Monett	911/EMD	Bonnie	Witt-Schulte	1901 E Cleveland Ave	Monett	MO	65708
City of Monett	Fire Chief	Tommy	Jones	217 5th Street	Monett	MO	65708
City of Purdy	Emergency Manager	Nick	Mercer	102 Washington Ave	Purdy	MO	65734
City of Purdy	Mayor	Brian	Bowers	401 e state highway c	Purdy	MO	65734
City of Purdy	City Manager	Debbie	Redshaw	401 e state highway c	Purdy	MO	65734
City of Purdy	Police Chief	Jackie Dale	Low	401 e state highway c	Purdy	MO	65734
City of Seligman	Deputy Clerk	Sarah	Kissinger	29144 Main Street	Seligman	MO	65745
City of Seligman	Mayor	Michael	Avers	29144 Main Street	Seligman	MO	65745

City of Seligman	Police Clerk	Kari	Short	29144 Main Street	Seligman	MO	65745
City of Seligman	Chief of Police	Matt	Philips	29144 Main Street	Seligman	MO	65745
City of Washburn	Mayor	John	Tiedeman	321 Main Street	Washburn	MO	65772
City of Washburn	City Clerk	Emily	Arnett	321 Main Street	Washburn	MO	65772
City of Washburn	Chief of Police	Terry	Meek	321 Main Street	Washburn	MO	65772
City of Wheaton	Mayor	David	Shockley	219 E. Main	Wheaton	MO	64874
City of Wheaton	City Clerk	Marianne	Witt	219 E. Main	Wheaton	MO	64874
City of Wheaton	Police Chief	Clint	Danforth	219 E. Main	Wheaton	MO	64874
Cassville R-IV School District	Superintendent	Richard	Asbill	1501 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Exeter R-VI School District	Superintendent	Earnest	Raney	101 Locust Street	Exeter	MO	65647
Monett R-I School District	Superintendent	Russ	Moreland	900 E Scott St	Monett	MO	65708
Purdy R-II School District	Superintendent	Mindi	Gates	201 Gabby Gibbons Dr	Purdy	MO	65734
Shell Knob 78 School District	Superintendent	Shelly	Fransen	24400 State Hwy 39	Shell Knob	MO	65747
Southwest R-V School District	Superintendent	Tosha	Tilford	529 E. Pineville Road	Washburn	MO	65772
University of Missouri Extension	Program Director	Reagan	Bluel	700 Main, Suite 4	Cassville	MO	65625
Wheaton R-III School District	Superintendent	Trish	Wilson	116 McCall Ave	Wheaton	MO	64874
Crowder College-Cassville	Director	Angela	Seymour	4020 N. Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Butterfield Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Donald	Privett	10600 East 1st Street	Butterfield	MO	65625
Purdy Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Nick	Mercer	102 Washington Ave	Purdy	MO	65734
Seligman Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Bobby	Beaver	P.O. Box 111	Seligman	MO	65745
Washburn Fire Protection Dist.	Fire Chief	Jeff	Barber	Rt. 2 State Highway 37	Washburn	MO	65772
Wheaton Fire Protection Dist.	Fire Chief	Bob	Lombard	407 E Hurlburt Street	Wheaton	MO	64874
Barry-Lawrence Ambulance Dist.	Operations Manager	Valerie	Wilson	P.O. Box 384	Monett	MO	65708
S. Barry Co. Ambulance Dist.	Chairman	Ken	Cieslinski	712 West 10th Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Central Crossing Fire Protection Dist.	Fire Chief	Rusty	Rickard	23463 State Highway 39	Shell Knob	MO	65747
Jenkins Fire Protection District	Fire Chief	Brian	Sisco	25180 State Hwy 248	Aurora	MO	65605
Monett Rural Fire Protection Dist.	Fire Chief	Jeff	Owens	P.O. Box 651	Monett	MO	65708
Shell Knob Special Road District	Commissioner	Merlyn	Haubein	PO Box 844	Golden	MO	65658
Shell Knob Special Road District	Commissioner	Robert	Baird	PO Box 844	Golden	MO	65658
Shell Knob Special Road District	Commissioner	Mark	Welch	PO Box 844	Golden	MO	65658
Eagle Rock, Golden, Mano FPD	Fire Chief	Mark	Pierson	30625 State Hwy 86	Eagle Rock	MO	65641
Barry Electric Cooperative	General Manager	Jennifer	McBroom	4015 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Barry County Health Department	Administrator	Roger	Brock	65 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
OACAC Barry County	Supervisor	Gail	Reed	10826 Farm Road 2172	Cassville	MO	65625

Cassville Area Chamber of Commerce	Director	Rachael	Freeman	504 Main Street	Cassville	MO	65625
Shell Knob Chamber of Commerce	Director	Twilia	Harrison	25364 State Hwy 39	Shell Knob	MO	65747
Monett Chamber of Commerce	Executive Director	Jeff	Meredith	200 East Broadway	Monett	MO	65708
Stone County EMA	Emergency Mgr	Tom	Martin	2 James River Rd.	Kimberling City	MO	65686
McDonald County EMA	Emergency Mgr	Gregg	Sweeten	702 Main	Pineville	MO	64856
American Red Cross - Southern MO	Executive Director	Stacy	Burks	1545 N. West Bypass	Springfield	MO	65803
Newton County EMA	Emergency Mgr	Charla	Geller	202 West Brook Street	Neosho	MO	64850



FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
January 8, 2021

Thomas Cunningham
Associate Planner

Barry County Communities to Update Hazard Mitigation Plan

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Barry County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. A plan update kick-off meeting will be held virtually on January 21st, 2021, from 11 AM to 1 PM.

Effective November 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating City and School District must pass a resolution adopting the Plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Thomas Cunningham at (417) 836-5801 or by email TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

↩ Reply all ∨ 🗑 Delete 🚫 Junk Block ⋮


Re: Press release

KT

Kyle Troutman <editor@cassville-democrat.com>

Mon 1/11/2021 3:13 PM

To: Cunningham, Thomas E



CAUTION: External Sender

Got it. We'll run it this week. Thanks!

Best Regards,
Kyle Troutman
Editor, Cassville Democrat
600 Main St., Cassville, Mo. 65625

Office: 417-847-2610

From: "Cunningham, Thomas E" <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>
To: "editor@cassville-democrat.com" <editor@cassville-democrat.com>
Sent: 1/11/2021 12:23 PM
Subject: Press release

Hi Kyle

I have a press release I would like to submit regarding a project we are about to begin working on in Barry County. I've attached the details.

I see that you are also the editor of the Monett times. I'd like to submit it to that, as well.

Please let me know if there is someone else on your staff that I should send this to. Thanks!

Thomas Cunningham
Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments
110 Park Central Square | Springfield, MO 65806
Office: 417-836-6900 | Direct: 417-836-5281

This message originated outside Missouri State University. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or replying.

Reply | Forward

Print

Email link

Contact editor

Report error

Post comment

Share: [f](#) [t](#) [p](#)

Barry County communities to update hazard mitigation plan

Wednesday, January 13, 2021

Meeting to be held virtually on Jan. 21

The Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) has contracted with SEMA and the Barry County Commission to prepare a five-year update to the Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan.

A plan update kick-off meeting will be held virtually on Jan. 21, from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m.

Effective Nov. 1, 2003, any county in Missouri that is declared a federal disaster area must have an approved Hazard Mitigation Plan in place to be eligible for HMGP funding. Hazard mitigation, as defined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), is any action taken to eliminate or reduce the loss of life or property as the result of a disaster event. HMGP funds may be used to fund projects that will reduce or eliminate the losses from future disasters as well as provide a long-term solution to a problem. Many types of projects can be funded through the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program including improving bridges at low water crossings, floodplain buyouts, and constructing community saferooms.

As required by 44 CFR §201.6(d)(3), a local jurisdiction must review and revise its plan to reflect changes in development, progress in local mitigation efforts and changes in priorities and resubmit it for approval every five (5) years in order to continue to be eligible for mitigation project grant funding. The Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan is a revision of the previous five-year update completed in 2016.

The public is invited to learn how local governments are planning to mitigate the impact of natural hazard events. All interested persons are encouraged to provide input on the plan which must be approved by the State Emergency Management Agency and FEMA. The County Commissioners, the governing body of each participating city and school district must pass a resolution adopting the plan once it is approved by FEMA.

All comments and questions should be directed to Thomas Cunningham at 417-836-5801, or by email TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Print

Email link

Contact editor

Report error

Share: [f](#) [t](#) [p](#)



December 7, 2020

RE: Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Barry County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Barry County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Thursday, January 21st, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. As a safety precaution, all meetings will be held virtually until further notice. A Zoom link is provided on the next page, and one will be emailed to you as well.

Included is a questionnaire that must be filled out by a representative from your jurisdiction. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more. Because of the wide scope of information required in the questionnaire, it is recommended that multiple members of your organization's staff work together to complete it.

An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

Once completed, please return your questionnaire to Thomas Cunningham by **February 10th, 2021**. It can be emailed to TCunningham@MissouriState.edu or faxed to (417) 836-4146.



Kickoff Meeting:

Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting

Thursday, January 21st, 2021 11:00 a.m.

<https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/95112002241>

Dial in number: +1 312 626 6799

Meeting ID: 951 1200 2241

Topics to be discussed at the kickoff meeting will include greater detail on the update process, necessary participants and their responsibilities, elements of the natural hazard mitigation plan and update items, and a timeline for completion, approval, and adoption of the plan update.

Additional plan update meetings will be held virtually at 11:00 a.m. on the following dates:

February 25, 2021

March 25, 2021

April 22, 2021

May 20, 2021

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process.

If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-5281 or at TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cunningham
Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

Cunningham, Thomas E

Subject: Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update - 1st Meeting
Location: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/95112002241>

Start: Thu 1/21/2021 11:00 AM
End: Thu 1/21/2021 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Cunningham, Thomas E
Required Attendees: bccommission@centurytel.net; lepc@mo-net.com; Compton,David L; jhlecompte@barrycountyclerk.com; kdeal@barrycountyclerk.com; butterfield@totalhighspeed.com; bshiveley@cityofcassville.com; jevans@cityofcassville.com; cassvillefire@gmail.com; dkammerlohr@cityofcassville.com; cityofexeter@outlook.com; lisa.crawford@cityofmonett.com; wade.ennes@cityofmonett.com; Bonnie Witt-Schulte; chiefjones@cityofmonett.com; Nicholas Mercer; cityofpurdy@mediacombb.net; purdypd@windstream.net; deputyclerk@seligmanmo.com; mayor@seligmanmo.com; kari.short@seligmanmo.com; chief@seligmanmo.com; Emily Arnett; cityofwheatonmo@gmail.com; rasbill@cassville.k12.mo.us; eraney@exeter.k12.mo.us; cubpride@monett.k12.mo.us; sfunkhouser@purdyk12.com; sfransen@shellknob78.com; ttiford@swr5.net; Bluel, Reagan J; Trish Wilson; angelaseymour@crowder.edu; butterfieldfire@gmail.com; seligmanfpd@gmail.com; wheatonfire@gmail.com; Valerie Wilson; info@kencollisioncenter.com; ccf40@yahoo.com; jowens@cityofmonett.com; eaglerockfire@centurytel.net; jmc broom@barryelectric.com; roger.brock@lpha.mo.gov; barry@oac.ac; chamber@cassville.com; info@shellknob.com; jeff@monett-mo.com; Tom Martin; Gregg Sweeten; Stacy Burks; Charla Geller

zmMeetingNum: 95112002241

Hello everyone!

Here is the invitation for the first meeting of the Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update. You are receiving this invitation because you are either a city/county jurisdiction, a school district, a special district, or a stakeholder within Barry County.

You should have already received an email from me explaining the project and why your involvement in the process is important. If you have not received that email, or if you have any questions relating to this project, please call me at 417-836-5281 or email me and I would be more than happy to assist. All jurisdictions should have also received a questionnaire packet by now, either by mail, email, or both. An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at this meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

It is very important that at least one representative from you jurisdiction attend this meeting. If you are unable to attend please let me know ahead of time. Thank you all, and please do not hesitate to reach out to me with any questions or concerns.

Cunningham, Thomas E

Subject: Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan 2nd Meeting
Location: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/92225897387>

Start: Thu 2/25/2021 11:00 AM
End: Thu 2/25/2021 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Meeting Status: Not yet responded

Organizer: Cunningham, Thomas E
Required Attendees: 911EMAMONETTLAWCO@cityofmonett.com; angelaseymour@crowder.edu; brian.nichols@seligmanmo.com; ccf40@yahoo.com; chamber@cassville.com; chief@seligmanmo.com; chiefjones@cityofmonett.com; choppes@cityofcassville.com; Chuck Miner; cityofpurdy@mediacombb.net; cityofwashburn39@gmail.com; cityofwheatonmo@gmail.com; Compton,David L; cubpride@monett.k12.mo.us; d.pyle@cityofmonett.com; David Brock; deputyclerk@seligmanmo.com; dkammerlohr@cityofcassville.com; eaglerockfire@centurytel.net; eraney@exeter.k12.mo.us; ewhite@cassville.k12.mo.us; exeter@totalhighspeed.com; Gregg Sweeten; info@kencollisioncenter.com; info@shellknob.com; jeff@monett-mo.com; jenkinsfdj9@yahoo.com; jevans@cityofcassville.com; jmc broom@barryelectric.com; johntiedeman@centurytel.net; kari.short@seligmanmo.com; kdeal@barrycountyclerk.com; lepc@mo-net.com; lisa.crawford@cityofmonett.com; mayor@seligmanmo.com; mopurdy@windstream.net; mrfdchief1251@gmail.com; Nicholas Mercer; purdypd@windstream.net; rasbill@cassville.k12.mo.us; Roger.Brock@lpha.mo.gov; seligmanfpd@gmail.com; sfransen@shellknob78.com; sfunkhouser@purdyk12.com; stacy.burks@redcross.org; swalensky@cityofcassville.com; Tom Martin; Trish Wilson; ttilford@swr5.net; Valerie Wilson; Vaughan, William Shane; wade.ennes@cityofmonett.com; wheatonfire@gmail.com; wheatonmo@gmail.com

Optional Attendees: Ray, Jason

zmMeetingNum: 92225897387

Hello everyone

The next meeting for the Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update is scheduled for February 25th at 11 am. Topics to be discussed include a risk assessment of hazards throughout the county, as well as a recap of the planning process. I will also be answering any questions you might have relating to the questionnaire or any other participation requirements. I hope to see you all there!

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/92225897387>

Meeting ID: 922 2589 7387

One tap mobile

+13126266799,,92225897387# US (Chicago)

+16468769923,,92225897387# US (New York)

Cunningham, Thomas E

Subject: Barry County HMP Update Meeting #3
Location: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/96147514001>

Start: Thu 3/25/2021 11:00 AM
End: Thu 3/25/2021 12:30 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Organizer: Cunningham, Thomas E
Required Attendees: Barry County HMP Contact List

In this meeting we will review the results from the community survey, as well as begin the process of creating mitigation actions and strategies for each jurisdiction.

Topic: Barry County HMP Meet #3
Time: Mar 25, 2021 11:00 AM Central Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting
<https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/96147514001>

Meeting ID: 961 4751 4001
One tap mobile
+13126266799,,96147514001# US (Chicago)
+16468769923,,96147514001# US (New York)

Dial by your location
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

Meeting ID: 961 4751 4001
Find your local number: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/u/abijZyqa9O>

[Join online meeting](#)

Cunningham, Thomas E

Subject: Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Meeting #5
Location: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/91922148290>

Start: Thu 5/20/2021 11:00 AM
End: Thu 5/20/2021 1:00 PM
Show Time As: Tentative

Recurrence: (none)

Organizer: Cunningham, Thomas E
Required Attendees: Barry County HMP Contact List

Good afternoon everyone

Our fifth and final meeting for the Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan update is scheduled for **May 20th at 11 am**. During this meeting we will work as a group to score each mitigation action you will be including in the plan. I've already spoken with quite a few of you regarding these actions. If we haven't talked yet, please reach out to me ASAP and I will help get you started. It's very important for you to have these actions completed and sent to me before the meeting so I can have them ready for scoring.

If you have any questions between now and the meeting, please don't hesitate to ask. Thanks!

Topic: Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5
Time: May 20, 2021 11:00 AM Central Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting
<https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/91922148290>

Meeting ID: 919 2214 8290
One tap mobile
+13126266799,,91922148290# US (Chicago)
+16468769923,,91922148290# US (New York)

Dial by your location
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
+1 646 876 9923 US (New York)

Find your local number: <https://missouristate.zoom.us/u/adEqiSsfVu>

Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-Off Meeting

January 21, 2021

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
- The Planning Process
- Participation Requirements
- Future Meeting Dates



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Mitigation – taking action NOW to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to human life and property from hazard events.
 - Examples – FEMA tornado shelters, low water crossing improvements, policies limiting construction in flood plain areas, river bank improvements
- Mitigation Planning – process for communities to...
 - Identify hazards to which they are at risk
 - Assess the potential impact
 - Develop goals, objectives, and actions to reduce impacts; and
 - Prioritize and implement mitigation actions

Low Water Crossing Replacement

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



Flood Buyouts

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Costs rising from natural disasters
 - Increased development – more exposure
 - Increased cost to the federal government
 - Increased frequency of natural disasters:
 - 1980s – 286
 - 1990s – 737
 - 2000s – 1,265
 - 2010-Present – 1,140

What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Reduction in loss of lives
- Reduction in property damage
- Reduction in lost essential services
- Reduction in loss of critical facilities and infrastructure
- Reduction in economic disruption
- Planning for an emergency saves lives, time, and money!



The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000

- Required by law for local governments to develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to receive funding for mitigation projects.
 - Funds available – 75/25
 - **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)** - Assists in implementing long-term hazard mitigation planning and projects following a presidential major disaster declaration.
 - **Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)** – provides funds annually for hazard mitigation planning and projects.
 - **Building Resilient Infrastructure & Communities (BRIC)** – Support for states, local communities, tribes, and territories as they undertake hazard mitigation projects, reducing the risks they face from disasters and natural hazards.
 - **Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)** – provides funds for planning and projects to reduce or eliminate risk of flood damage to buildings that are insured annually under the National Flood Insurance Program.
- Mitigation plans must be updated every five years to be eligible for mitigation funding

The Planning Process



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → **Completed**
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → **YOU ARE THE TEAM!**
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → **Today**
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → **Questionnaire**
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → **Meeting #2**
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → **Meetings #3 and #4**
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → **Meeting #5 and on your own**
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → **That's YOU!**
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → **That's YOU!**



Planning Committee

- As part of the Mitigation Planning Committee, you will:
 - Help develop the plan
 - Identify mitigation goals
 - Identify mitigation actions
 - Review the draft plan



Outreach Strategy

- Public Meetings
- Survey
 - Where do you live?
 - What school district?
 - Have you been impacted by a disaster?
 - Opinion on the likelihood of a disaster
 - Concern of disaster impact
 - Types of mitigation projects they support

Risk Assessment

Natural Hazards

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Flooding
- Land Subsidence (Sinkholes)
- Severe Thunderstorms
- Severe Winter Weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire

Hazard Profile

- Hazard Description
- Geographic Location
- Severity/Magnitude/Extent
- Previous Occurrences
- Probability of Future Occurrences

Vulnerability Assessment

- Vulnerability Overview
- Potential Losses to Existing Development
- Impact of Previous and Future Development
- Hazard Summary by Jurisdiction

Problem Statement



Develop Mitigation Strategies

- Reference completed questionnaires
- Risk Assessment
- Current needs of community
- Identify strengths and weaknesses



Review and Prioritize Mitigation Actions

- STAPLEE score
 - Social
 - Technical
 - Administrative
 - Political
 - Legal
 - Economic Environmental
- Prioritizes and determines feasibility



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
	Definitely YES = 3 Probably YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 NO = 0	Maybe YES = Definitely
S: Is it S ocially Acceptable		
T: Is it T echnically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the A dministrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it P olitically acceptable?		
L: Is there L egal authority to implement?		
E: Is it E conomically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural E nvironment?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Adoption of Hazard Mitigation Plan

- Each participating jurisdiction is required to adopt hazard plan
 - Signed by a certified official
- Mail, email, or fax will be acceptable



Barry County, Missouri
Resolution # 91516

ADOPTING THE BARRY COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN (UPDATED 2016)

Whereas, The Barry County Commission recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, the U.S. Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 ("Disaster Mitigation Act") emphasizing the need for pre-disaster mitigation of potential hazards;

Whereas, the Disaster Mitigation Act made available hazard mitigation grants to state and local governments; and

Whereas, an adopted Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, Barry County fully participated in the hazard mitigation planning process to prepare this Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency and the Federal Emergency Management Agency Region VII officials will review the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan," and approved it as to form and content; and

Whereas, the Barry County Commission desires to comply with the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act and to augment its emergency planning efforts by formally adopting the Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption by the governing body of Barry County demonstrates the jurisdictions' commitment to fulfilling the mitigation goals outlined in this Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption of this legitimizes the plan and authorizes responsible agencies to carry out responsibilities under the plan;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Barry County Commission has adopted the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.

Date: 9-15-16

Certifying Official: Cheryl Warner

Keep the Plan Current & Create a Safe Community

- FEMA requires a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the mitigation plan remains an active and relevant document
- Your jurisdiction and County Emergency Management Officials
- Review plan and strategies annually

Participation Requirements



2016 Participation

- Barry County
- Village of Arrow Point
- Village of Butterfield
- City of Cassville
- City of Exeter
- City of Monett
- City of Purdy
- City of Seligman
- City of Washburn
- City of Wheaton
- Cassville R-IV Schools
- Exeter R-VI Schools
- Monett R-I Schools
- Purdy R-II Schools
- Southwest R-V Schools
- Wheaton R-III Schools



Participation Requirements

- In order to officially “participate” a jurisdiction must:
 - Complete all required documents (Capabilities Assessment Questionnaire, STAPLEE Sheets, and Action Sheets)
 - A representative must be in attendance at a minimum of two meetings
 - Record in-kind time and complete timesheets
 - Adopt the Hazard Mitigation Plan

- Participation of your jurisdictions gives you access to grant funds provided by FEMA

Mitigation Plan Funding

- SEMA covers 75% of the cost
- Grants require a 25% non-federal match
 - Local cash match
 - In-kind time and effort



Time Sheets

- Fill out accurately
- Keep track of hours and mileage
 - Round up
- Elected officials **MAY NOT** count their time



IN-KIND DOCUMENTATION
Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan 2016

Reporting Month:

LABOR

Date	Service Performed	Hours	Mileage (Roundtrip)
Total		0	0

Organization:
 Employee Name:
 Employee Title:
 Hourly Rate:
Total Labor Charge:
Total Mileage Charge:

Comments: _____

Employee Signature

Supervisor Signature

Future Meetings

- Meeting 2: February 25, 11 AM
- Meeting 3: March 25, 11 AM
- Meeting 4: April 22, 11 AM
- Meeting 5: May 20, 11 AM



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

February 25, 2021

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Risk assessment
- Community Survey
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Required Documents
 - Capabilities questionnaire
 - STAPLEE/Action Sheets



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$24.65
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → **Completed**
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → **YOU ARE THE TEAM!**
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → **Today**
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → **Questionnaire**
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → **Today**
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → **Meetings #3 and #4**
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → **Meeting #5 and on your own**
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → **That's YOU!**
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → **That's YOU!**



Risk Assessment

- Measures potential loss of life, personal injury, economic injury, and property damage resulting from natural hazard events by assessing the vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure to natural hazards
- Evaluates the degree to which injuries and damages may occur
- Provides the foundation for the rest of the mitigation planning process



Hazard Identification and Vulnerability

- Code of Federal Regulations Title 44 Emergency Management and Assistance Part §201.6
 - (c) Plan content. The plan shall include the following:
 - (i) A description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction
 - The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events
 - (ii) A description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section; This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community

Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Identified Hazard: Dam Failure

MDNR Dam Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Class I	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains ten (10) or more permanent dwellings or any public building. Inspection of these dams must occur every two years
Class II	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains one to nine permanent dwelling, or one (1) or more campgrounds with permanent water, sewer and electrical services or one (1) or more industrial buildings. Inspection of these dams must occur once every three years.
Class III	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation does not contain any of the structures identified for Class I or Class II dams. Inspection of these dams must occur once every five years


National Inventory of Dams Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Low Hazard	Failure results in only minimal property damage.
Significant Hazard	Failure could possibly result in the loss of life and appreciable property damage.
High Hazard	If the dam were to fail, lives would be lost and extensive property damage could result.

There is not a direct correlation between the State Hazard classification and the NID classifications. However, most dams that are in the State's Classes I and II are considered NID High Hazard Dams.



Identified Hazard: Dam Failure

- 1 NID dams in Barry County – Vollenweider Lake Dam
 - Privately owned
 - Low Hazard
 - Failure results in only minimal property damage




Drought: Previous Occurrences

- 18 events from 2001-2020
 - 2 events resulting in damages:
 - \$2.2 million in property damage and \$17.58 million in crop damage
 - 20% chance of event occurring within a given year, with 10% chance that they will be damage-causing


Drought Year	Months	Property Damage	Crop Damage
2006	January - April	-	-
2011	July - November	\$100,000	\$10,000,000
2012	July - December	\$2,100,000	\$7,580,000
2013	January	-	-
2020	September - October	-	-
		\$2,200,000	\$17,580,000

Identified Hazard: Earthquake




Identified Hazard: Earthquake

- Modified Mercalli Scale Based on a 7.6 Magnitude Earthquake along the New Madrid Fault
- Located in Zone VI
 - Felt by everyone, trouble walking, furniture can be overturned, pictures fall off walls and objects off shelves; damage slight
- No previous records of earthquakes in Barry County



Identified Hazard: Extreme Temperatures

- **Extreme Heat** -Temperatures that hover 10 degrees or more above the average high temperature for the region and last for several weeks.
 - 5 events from 2001-2020
 - No fiscal damages
 - No deaths
 - 10% chance of excessive heat in any given year



Likelihood of Heat Disorders with Prolonged Exposure or Strenuous Activity


Caution
 Extreme Caution
 Danger
 Extreme Danger

Identified Hazard: Extreme Temperatures

- **Extreme Cold-** A period of extremely low temperatures or wind chill temperatures reaching or exceeding locally/regionally defined warning criteria, on a widespread or localized basis
 - 0 events from 2001-2020




Wind Chill Chart



Identified Hazard: Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes

- 89 known sinkholes
 - DNR/USGS
- North of Washburn, West of Monett, and near Emerald Beach
- Common in Missouri, rarely significant



Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Flood**

- 52 occurrences from 2001-2020
- \$310,000 in damages total
- 70% chance occurring in any given year

- **Flash Flood**

- 128 occurrences of flash flood from 2001-2020
- 15 damaging events totaling \$11.593 million in property damage
 - 4 events totaling more than \$1 million in damage
- 85% chance of occurring in any given year

Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

Flood

Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
2001	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2002	2	0	0	\$300,000	\$0
2004	1	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
2005	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2008	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2009	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
2010	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2013	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
2014	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	7	0	0	\$0	\$0
2017	6	0	0	\$0	\$0
2018	7	0	0	\$0	\$0
2019	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2020	6	0	0	\$0	\$0
	52	0	0	\$310,000	\$0

Flash Flood


Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
2001	3	0	0	\$200,000	\$0
2002	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2003	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2004	8	0	0	\$0	\$0
2005	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2006	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
2007	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
2008	7	0	0	\$1,650,000	\$0
2009	13	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
2010	4	0	0	\$0	\$0
2011	10	0	0	\$1,000,000	\$0
2013	7	0	0	\$650,000	\$0
2014	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	24	0	0	\$7,050,000	\$0
2017	15	0	0	\$1,010,000	\$0
2019	10	0	0	\$18,000	\$0
2020	9	0	0	\$0	\$0
	128	0	0	\$11,593,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail


- High Winds

- Straight Line Wind, Microburst: Can exceed 100 mph




Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Lightning**
 - Resulting in fires and power outages



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- Hail
 - Hail can reach the size of grapefruit




How do I measure hail?

- * Measure at its widest point
- * Estimate size to common objects

NO MARBLES!

Description	Hail Size (in.)
Pea	¼
Penny	¾
Quarter	1
Half-Dollar	1 ¼
Ping Pong Ball	1 ½
Golfball	1 ¾
Tennis Ball	2 ½
Baseball	2 ¾
Softball	4
Grapefruit	4 ½



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Thunderstorm Wind**

- 172 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 54 damaging events
 - Total property damage: \$5.088 million
 - Worst event in 2009; \$4 million in property damage as a result of 50-85 mph wind gusts
- 100% chance of occurrence in any given year

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **High Winds**

- 7 occurrences from 2001-2020
 - 4 damaging events totaling \$24,000 in property damages
- 30% chance of occurrence in any given year

- **Lightning**

- 2 occurrence from 2001-2020
 - 2 damaging events totaling \$10,000 in property damages
 - 1 death recorded in 2015

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Hail**

- 212 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 5 damaging events – 2010, 2012, 2014, 2015, 2017
 - \$1,010,000 in property damage
 - 95% chance of occurrence in a given year

Identified Hazard: Tornado & Fujita Scale

Fujita and Enhanced Fujita Tornado Damage Scale					
FUJITA SCALE			OPERATIONAL EF SCALE		Typical Damage
F Number	Fastest 1/4-mile (mph)	3 Second Gust (mph)	EF Number	3 Second Gust (mph)	
0	40-72	45-78	0	65-85	Light damage - Some damage to chimneys; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over; sign boards damaged.
1	73-112	79-117	1	86-110	Moderate damage - Peels surface off roofs; mobile homes pushed off foundations or overturned; moving autos blown off roads.
2	113-157	118-161	2	111-135	Considerable damage - Roofs torn off frame houses; mobile homes demolished; boxcars overturned; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.
3	158-207	162-209	3	136-165	Severe damage - Roofs and some walls torn off well-constructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in forest uprooted; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown.
4	208-260	210-261	4	166-200	Devastating damage - Well-constructed houses leveled; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance; cars thrown and large missiles generated.
5	261-318	262-317	5	Over 200	Incredible damage - Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters (109 yds.); trees debarked; incredible phenomena will occur.



Identified Hazard: Tornado & Fujita Scale

- 31 occurrences in Barry County from 2001-2020
- 21 events resulted in \$13.913 million in property damage, \$500,000 in crop damage, 7 injuries, 2 fatalities
- 70% chance of a tornado in any given year
- Highest tornado ranked at F2/EF2

Identified Hazard: Wildfire

- Areas that abut wildland vegetation and that intermingle with wildland are most at risk for wildfire
- MDC reports 687 wildfires from 2011-2020
 - 7 wildfires burned more than 200 acres
 - Largest fire in August 2012 near Monett, burned 545 acres

Identified Hazard: Winter Weather

- A Winter Storm is a winter weather event containing a mixture of snow, cold, wind, sleet and freezing rain; It can cause driving to be dangerous and can cause power outages.
 - **Heavy Snow:** Large amount of just snowing falling over a period of time; Large amounts of snow can cause travel to become dangerous and the sheer weight of the snow can cause roofs and structures to collapse.
 - **Ice Storm-Freezing Rain:** Freezing rain falls onto a surface with a temperature below freezing; heavy accumulations of ice can bring down trees, electric power lines and poles, telephone lines and communications towers.
 - **Blizzard:** Winds of 35 miles per hour or more with snow and blowing snow reducing visibility to less than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile for at least three hours.

Identified Hazard: Winter Weather

Previous events 2001-2020

- Winter Storm: 17 occurrences
 - \$0.00 in damages
- Heavy Snow: 2 occurrences
 - \$0.00 in damages
- Ice Storm: 4 occurrences
 - \$4,000,000 in property damage
- Frost/Freeze: 2 occurrences
 - \$0.00 in damages
- 34 Total events, 13 years with events
 - 65% chance of event in any given year

Vulnerability

- Which hazards is your jurisdiction most at risk?
- What facilities and/or areas are most at risk to those hazards?
- What existing mechanisms are in place to help mitigate negative consequences?

Mitigation Strategies

- Review old strategies from previous Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Determine current status and relevance
- Modify, keep, remove previous strategies
- Develop new strategies

Future Meetings

- Meeting 3: March 25 at 11 am
- Meeting 4: April 22 at 11 am
- Meeting 5: May 20 at 11 am



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@missouristate.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

March 25, 2021

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Survey results
- Mitigation goals and actions
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Required Documents
 - Capabilities Survey
 - Action/STAPLEE Sheets



Participation (as of 3-24-21)

Barry County Jurisdiction Participation Information										
Jurisdiction	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
	21-Jan	25-Feb	25-Mar	22-Apr	20-May	Capabilities Survey	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Barry County	x	x								
Arrow Point, Village of										
Butterfield, Village of	Not participating									
Cassville, City of	x	x				x				
Chain-O-Lakes, Village of										
Emerald Beach, Village of										
Exeter, City of		x								
Monett, City of	x	x				x				
Purdy, City of										
Seligman, City of										
Washburn, City of						x				
Wheaton, City of		x				x				
School Districts										
Cassville R-IV	x	x				x				
Crowder College - Cassville	x	x				x	I			
Exeter R-VI	x	x				x				
Monett R-I		x								
Purdy R-II	x	x				x	I			
Shell Knob 78	x	x				x				
Southwest R-V	x	x				x	I			
University of Missouri Extension - Barry County	x									
Wheaton R-III	x	x				x				



Participation (as of 3-24-21)

Barry County Jurisdiction Participation Information										
Jurisdiction	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
	21-Jan	25-Feb	25-Mar	22-Apr	20-May	Capabilities Survey	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Special Districts										
Barry-Lawrence Ambulance District	x					x	1			
Butterfield Fire Protection District										
Central Crossing Fire Protection District	x									
Eagle Rock, Golden, Mano Fire Protection District	x	x				x				
Jenkins Fire Protection District										
Monett Rural Fire Protection District	x					x				
Purdy Fire Protection District										
Seligman Fire Protection District										
Shell Knob Special Road District										
South Barry County Ambulance District	x									
Washburn Fire Protection District										
Wheaton Fire Protection District										
Stakeholders										
American Red Cross- Southern MO										
Barry County Health Department										
Barry Electric Cooperative	x									
Cassville Area Chamber of Commerce	x									
Monett Chamber of Commerce										
OACAC Barry County	x									
Shell Knob Chamber of Commerce										



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$24.65
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → **Completed**
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → **YOU ARE THE TEAM!**
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → **Meeting #1**
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → **Questionnaire**
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → **Meeting #2**
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → **Today and Meeting #4**
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → **Meeting #5 and on your own**
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → **That's YOU!**
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → **That's YOU!**




Survey Results

Where do you live?

1. Where do you live?

[More Details](#)

● Unincorporated Barry County	19
● Arrow Point	0
● Butterfield	3
● Cassville	42
● Chain-O-Lakes	0
● Emerald Beach	0
● Exeter	8
● Monett	7
● Purdy	8
● Seligman	30
● Washburn	25
● Wheaton	11
● Other	5












Survey Results

In what school district do you live?

2. In which school district do you live?

[More Details](#)

 Cassvile R-IV	52
 Exeter R-VI	4
 Monett R-I	7
 Purdy R-II	4
 Shell Knob R-V	1
 Southwest R-V	62
 Wheaton R-III	25
 Other	3




Survey Results

Do you reside within a special district

3. Do you reside within Special Protection District? If so which one?

[More Details](#)

Barry-Lawrence Ambulance Di...	20
Butterfield Fire Protection Dist...	4
Central Crossing Fire Protectio...	1
Eagle Rock, Golden, Mano Fire...	1
Jenkins Fire Protection District	4
Monett Rural Fire Protection ...	2
Purdy Fire Protection District	4
Seligman Fire Protection District	17
Shell Knob Special Road District	0
South Barry County Ambulanc...	12
Washburn Fire Protection Dist...	17
Wheaton Fire Protection District	22
I don't know	47
Other	7




Survey Results

Likelihood of each hazard impacting your community



Unlikely Occasionally Likely Highly Likely




Survey Results

Level of concern for each hazard



Not at all concerned Not so concerned Somewhat concerned Very concerned Extremely concerned

- Dam failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme temperatures
- Flooding (flash and riverine)
- Severe thunderstorms
- Severe winter weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Land subsidence/sinkholes




Survey Results

What magnitude of impact do you feel each hazard would have on your community




■ No impact ■ Limited impact ■ Critical impact ■ Catastrophic impact



Survey Results

Countywide project desires

Rank	Options
1	New tornado safe room const...
2	Structural retrofitting of existi...
3	Minor localized flood reductio...
4	Flood-prone property acquisit...
5	Flood-prone structure elevation
6	Low water crossing replacement
7	Dry-floodproofing of historica...
8	Retrofitting existing buildings ...
9	Soil erosion stabilization
10	Wildfire mitigation



Profile: Unincorporated Barry County (19 responses)

- Primary concerns:

1. Tornado
2. Flooding
3. Thunderstorm

- Perceived Magnitude:

1. Tornado
2. Flooding
3. Drought

- Desired Projects:

1. Minor localized flood reduction projects (storm water management or localized flood control projects)
2. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe rooms
3. Flood-prone property acquisition & structure demolition/relocation

- Comments:

- “The Wheaton community does not have any type of tornado facility”
- “Tornado shelter needed in Wheaton community:
- “Water inlets should have water storage ponds to catch water and release at a controlled rate”
- “Local road districts need to access the work they do when redirecting rain water runoff. Due to lack of planning, it creates floods elsewhere”

Profile: Cassville (42 responses)

- Primary concerns:

1. Flooding
2. Tornado
3. Thunderstorm

- Perceived Magnitude:

1. Tornado
2. Flooding
3. Thunderstorm

- Desired Projects:

1. Flood-prone property acquisition & structure demolition/relocation
2. Minor localized flood reduction projects (storm water management or localized flood control projects) Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe rooms
3. Flood-prone structure elevation

- Comments:

- “Buy out houses and property along Flat Creek. The same houses have been flooded over and over”
- “Flood protection is a priority”
- “A tornado FEMA grants would be good to install a tornado shelter”
- “Fix and clear out all incoming and outgoing waterways in Cassville”

Profile: Exeter (9 responses)

- Primary concerns:

1. Tornado
2. Thunderstorm
3. Extreme temperatures

- Perceived Magnitude:

1. Tornado
2. Flooding/extreme temperatures
3. Dam failure/earthquake/thunderstorm

- Desired Projects:

1. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe rooms
2. Minor localized flood reduction projects (storm water management or localized flood control projects) Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe rooms
3. Low-water crossing replacement

- Comments:

- “Controlling where storm water flows would be a great area to focus on without too much cost other than some man hours”

Profile: Monett (7 responses)

- Primary concerns:
 1. Tornado
 2. Thunderstorm/winter weather
 3. Flooding
- Perceived Magnitude:
 1. Winter weather
 2. Tornado
 3. Extreme temperatures/flooding/thunderstorms
- Desired Projects:
 1. New tornado safe room construction
 2. Flood-prone property acquisition and structure demolition/relocation
 3. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe room
- Comments:
 - “4th Street floods so bad. Almost ruined my car:
 - “Protecting drinking water”
 - “Crowder Cassville campus would not be safe during a tornado. It is at a higher elevation and there are no safe rooms (reinforced). A FEMA structure should be built there to protect students and teachers”

Profile: Seligman (33 responses)

- Primary concerns:

1. Tornado
2. Thunderstorm
3. Winter weather

- Perceived Magnitude:

1. Tornado
2. Thunderstorm
3. Wildfire/flooding

- Desired Projects:

1. New tornado safe room construction
2. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe room
3. Flood-prone property acquisition and structure demolition/relocation

- Comments:

- “We need more storm ready safe spots and better communication throughout Barry County, not just in the city limits”
- “Low water bridge on farm road 2285 for bus to able to pick up kids during soring”
- “Best thing to do is to add additional tornado sirens between the ones already in place so people further out can hear them when wind is loud and drowns out the original ones since I believe tornados are the biggest threat to the area”
- “I live in Seligman and it floods here just down in our mobile we call it the swamp it’s pretty bad”
- “Repair creek banks to aid in ground erosion from flash floods”

Profile: Washburn (33 responses)

- Primary concerns:
 1. Tornado
 2. Thunderstorm
 3. Winter weather
- Perceived Magnitude:
 1. Tornado
 2. Thunderstorm
 3. Flooding
- Desired Projects:
 1. New tornado safe room construction
 2. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe room
 3. Low-water crossing replacement
- Comments:
 - “Some if no all of the smaller communities need a type of safe house in case of tornado or life threatening conditions arise”
 - “Better tornado warning system, the local news never covers our area and where we live we can't hear the sirens”

Profile: Wheaton (11 responses)

- Primary concerns:
 1. Tornado
 2. Thunderstorm
 3. Flooding/extreme temperatures
- Perceived Magnitude:
 1. Tornado
 2. Flooding
 3. Winter weather
- Desired Projects:
 1. New tornado safe room construction
 2. Structural retrofitting of existing buildings to add tornado safe room
 3. Flood-prone structure elevation
- Comments:
 - “The tornado two years ago came within two block of our school buildings. We were in session and don't have a 'safe room'. I feel this is vital for the school”
 - “Update warning systems and shelters”
 - “A Tornado Safe room is needed especially for our school for student, faculty and staff. As well as community members. We have went through having a tornado touch down a few blocks away from the school in the past. Students were hunkered down in bathrooms for safety It was very scary for all those at the school during that time.”

Additional Comments:

- “Better tornado warning system, the local news never covers our area and where we live we can't hear the sirens”
- “FEMA building at Crowder for residents on that end of town”
- “Flood protection is a priority”
- “I am completely against being a member of the FEMA Flood Insurance Program”
- “I do not want the federal government especially fema involved in our community period!! They lie, are not trust worthy we don't need them im tired of the federal government interfering with local decisions”
- “More community awareness”
- “More FEMA buildings in poorer areas would be beneficial”
- “The Wheaton community needs to finally get the chance to build the tornado safe room approved by voters years ago”
- “Tornado sirens or alert system that all of Barry County can be alerted”
- We are still waiting for the replacement of the low-water bridge near the ball fields. It's been missing for a few years now. If they can't fix that, why are we even looking at more work?”

Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Goals are general guidelines that explain what you want to achieve- long-term, broad, policy-type statements.
- Mitigation Actions are specific actions that help achieve goals.
 - Losses from hazards can be reduced if communities take action before the next disaster
 - Long term and cumulative benefits
 - Some may be low-cost, readily adopted
 - Some may be dependent on available funding or best implemented following a disaster

Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- 2016 Plan Goals:

- 1) Protect the lives and livelihoods of all citizens.
- 2) Preserve and protect property and infrastructure from the effects of natural disasters
 - Change to “Reduce the potential impact of natural disasters to property, infrastructure, and the local economy”
- 3) Ensure continued operation of government, emergency functions and critical infrastructure in a disaster

- 2016 Participating Jurisdictions:

Barry County – Village of Arrow Point – Village of Butterfield – City of Cassville – City of Exeter – City of Monett – City of Purdy – City of Seligman – City of Washburn – City of Wheaton – Cassville R-IV – Exeter R-VI – Monett R-I – Purdy R-II – Southwest R-V – Wheaton R-III



Mitigation: Actions

- Updating/Developing Mitigation Actions
 - Previous Actions- status updates are required for all actions from previous plan – FEMA WILL NOT APPROVE WITHOUT
- Current Status
- New Actions – add new actions as appropriate



Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Actions must be SMART



Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Actions to keep?
- Actions to add?

STAPLEE Worksheet:

- Social
- Technical
- Administrative
- Political
- Legal
- Economical
- Environmental



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
	Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number) _____

Mitigation Strategies

- Review old strategies from previous Barry County Hazard Mitigation Plan (if you were a participant in the previous plan)
- Determine current status and relevance
- Modify, keep, remove previous strategies
- Develop new strategies

Future Meetings

- Meeting 4
 - April 22 at 11 AM
- Meeting 5
 - May 20 at 11 AM



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

Tcunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #1

Thursday, January 21, 11:00 a.m.

Meeting will be held via zoom. Please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, for more information TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Tags:

NHMP Barry County SMCOG



Update • Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

Thursday, February 25, 11:00 a.m.

Meeting will be held via zoom. Please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, for more information TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Tags:

SMCOG Barry County NHMP



Update • Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

Thursday, March 25, 11:00 a.m.

Meeting will be held via zoom. Please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, for more information TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Tags:

SMCOG Barry County NHMP



Update • Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #4

Thursday, April 22, 11:00 a.m.

Meeting will be held via zoom. Please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, for more information TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Tags:

SMCOG Barry County NHMP



Update • Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Barry County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5

Thursday, May 20, 11:00 a.m.

Meeting will be held via zoom. Please contact Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner, for more information TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Tags:

SMCOG Barry County NHMP



Update • Hazard Mitigation • Upcoming Meeting



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood insurance awareness – promote education, research outreach, and development of programs to improve knowledge and awareness among citizens and industry about hazard mitigation	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Sinkhole Awareness program - Educate homeowners and businesses about the Missouri FAIR plan sinkhole loss policies for dwellings in hazard prone areas.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen preparedness - Increase, promote, establish, and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as; Citizen Corps, CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Communication regarding Beaver Dam - Maintain communications with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding dam safety status and water levels for Beaver Dam in Arkansas.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry County 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

David Compton

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Cassville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Cassville 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a saferoom on the south end of town	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dana Kammerlohr, Chief of Police

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Cassville	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Cassville 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation – work towards re-entry into the NFIP	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dana Kammerlohr, Chief of Police

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
-------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Rhonda Scott, Maya Baten
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exter 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood insurance awareness – promote education, research outreach, and development of programs to improve knowledge and awareness among citizens and industry about hazard mitigation	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach;	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Rhonda Scott, Mayor Pro Tem
835-2823

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness – increase, promote, establish, and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities such as Citizen Corps, CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Phonda Scott, Mayor/ptn
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – integrate safe room construction in new community buildings, schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public in areas of population concentration where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Rhonda Scott, Mayor Pro Tem
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 1.5
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan – create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 – Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Rhonda Scott, Mayor Pro Tem
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard area property protection – acquire, elevate, or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		9
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		19

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Rhonda Scott, Mayor Pro Tem

835 2823

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Rhonda Scott, Mayor Protem
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Exeter	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Exeter 2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation – enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs), floodplain identification, and mapping (including local requests for maps)	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Phonda Scott, Mayor Pro Tem
835-2823

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 1.1
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie Witt-Schulte EMD 417.2354241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 1.2
Name of Action or Project:	Flood insurance awareness – promote education, research outreach, and development of programs to improve knowledge and awareness among citizens and industry about hazard mitigation
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Barrie Witt-Schulte EMD 417.235.4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 1.3
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen preparedness - Increase, promote, establish, and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as; Citizen Corps, CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	23

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie Witt-Schulte EMD 417-235-4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 1.4
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score	
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1		
Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE SCORE	18	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie Witt-Schulte EMD 417-235-4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 1.5
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction - Provide information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses and cost estimates for construction by making FEMA publication 320 easily available.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	20

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie With-Schulte EMO 417-235-4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 2.1
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard area property protection – acquire, elevate, or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Bonnie W. H. Schutte - EMO 417 235 4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 2.2
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Participation – floodplain management requirements, including regulation all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHA), floodplain identification and mapping, including local requests for map updates
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach; Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	25

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Sonnie Witt-Schulte EMO 417 235 4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 2.2
Name of Action or Project:	Building codes - Adopt and/or update appropriate building codes.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Bonnie Witt-Schulte EMO 417-235-4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 2.3
Name of Action or Project:	Open space plan – develop an open space acquisition, reuse, and preservation plan targeting hazard prone areas
Mitigation Category:	Natural Systems Protection

STAPLEE Criteria	Score	
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE SCORE	18	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie Witt-Schulte EMO 417.235.4241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 3.1
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie W.H. Schulte EMO 417.235.4041

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 3.2
Name of Action or Project:	Burn bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	
Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Bonnie Witt-Schutte EMO 417.2354241

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Monett
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Monett 3.3
Name of Action or Project:	Water Conservation – Develop an ordinance to restrict the use of public water resources for non-essential uses such as landscaping, washing cars, filling swimming pools, etc during drought conditions
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Natural Systems Protection

STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating	Score	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE SCORE	23	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Bonnie W. H. Schulte EMS 417.235.4241

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood insurance awareness – promote education, research outreach, and development of programs to improve knowledge and awareness among citizens and industry about hazard mitigation	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen preparedness - Increase, promote, establish, and maintain participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as; Citizen Corps, CERT, COAD, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.4
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – integrate safe room construction in new community buildings, schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public in areas of population concentration where feasible
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria	Score	
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2	
Could it be implemented quickly?	2	
STAPLEE SCORE	24	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.5
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score	
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0	
Could it be implemented quickly?	3	
STAPLEE SCORE	23	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30.00

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction - Provide information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses and cost estimates for construction by making FEMA publication 320 easily available.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Building Codes – adopt and/or update appropriate building codes	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman
------------------------------	------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 3.1
-------------------------------	----------------------

Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
-------------------------	--------------

Evaluation Rating
 Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	21

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Seligman	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Seligman 3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Burn bans - Implement burn restrictions during time of weather conditions conducive to the spread of wildfire.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sarah Kissinger-Deputy Clerk-417-662-3600

Remove

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Wheaton	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	City of Wheaton 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
-----------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Wheaton
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Wheaton 1.2
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Jogina Park - City Clerk - 417-652-3314

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Wheaton
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Wheaton 1.3
Name of Action or Project:	Extreme temperature risk and safety – create a handout to mail to residents with information regarding extreme temperature risk and safety
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Joanna Park - City Clerk - 417-652-3314

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Wheaton
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	City of Wheaton 3.1
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Jofina Park - City Clerk - 417-652-3314

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Cassville R-IV
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	Cassville R-IV 1.1
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score	
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3	
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3	
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2	
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3	
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3	
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1	
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2	
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1	
Could it be implemented quickly?	1	
STAPLEE SCORE	19	
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dusty Reid, Operations Director, 417-847-5525

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Cassville R-IV	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Cassville R-IV 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dusty Reid, Operations Director, 417-847-5525

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Cassville R-IV	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Cassville R-IV 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Building Codes – adopt and/or update appropriate building codes	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		8
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		20

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dusty Reid, Operations Director, 417-847-5525

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Cassville R-IV	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Cassville R-IV 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dusty Reid, Operations Director, 417-847-5525

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Cassville R-IV	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Cassville R-IV 3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Water Conservation – Develop a policy to limit water use on athletic fields and turf maintenance during drought conditions	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Natural Systems Protection	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Dusty Reid, Operations Director, 417-847-5525

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Crowder College	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Crowder College 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Construction – purchase a FEMA approved safe room for the campus	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Crowder College	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Crowder College 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Campus drainage system – install a drainage system below the facility and sidewalks to reduce water buildup and improve drainage of rain and snow melt	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Angela Seymour, Campus Director, 417-847-1706

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Exeter R-VI	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Exeter R-VI 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Ernie Raney, Superintendent Exeter R-VI
School District, 835-2922 ext. 5

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Exeter R-VI	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Exeter R-VI 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Ernie Raney, Superintendent Exeter R-VI
School District, 835-2922 ext. 5

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Exeter R-VI	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Exeter R-VI 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – build a safe room on the school campus	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Ernie Raney, Superintendent Exeter R-VI
School District, 835-2922 ext. 5

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Exeter R-VI	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Exeter R-VI 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Ernie Raney, Superintendent Exeter R-VI
School District, (417) 835-2922 ext. 5

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Monett R-I	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Monett R-I 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a FEMA approved shelter at the middle school campus. Currently in the application process	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Steve Garner, Director of Operations, 417-354-2181

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Monett R-I	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Monett R-I 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Building insulation – add building insulation to prevent frozen pipes and the possibility of pipes bursting during severe temperatures	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Monett R-I	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Monett R-I 2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Vegetation Maintenance – prune trees around buildings in order to prevent damage from extreme weather. Purchasing a new boom lift may be necessary	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Monett R-I
------------------------------	------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	Monett R-I 3.1
-------------------------------	----------------

Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services
-----------------------------	--------------------------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	21

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	1
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		9
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Steve Garner, Director of Operations, 417-354-2181

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Purdy R-II	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Purdy R-II 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Mindi Gates, Superintendent, 417-442-3215

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Purdy R-II

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: Purdy R-II 1.1

Name of Action or Project: Awareness Program – create a countywide natural hazard education and awareness program

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	14

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Mindi Gates, Superintendent, 417-442-3215

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Shell Knob 78	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Shell Knob 78 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator – purchase a generator to prevent disruptions of services due to severe weather	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Shell Knob 78	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Shell Knob 78 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Tornado alert system – purchase and install a building-wide tornado alert system with strobe lights in the gym and music room	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Shell Knob 78	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Shell Knob 78 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a FEMA approved safe room on the school campus	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) John Rakestraw

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Southwest R-V	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Southwest R-V 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?		10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?		0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tosha Tilford, Superintendent, 417-826-5410

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Southwest R-V	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Southwest R-V 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tosha Tilford, Superintendent, 417-826-5410

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Southwest R-V	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Southwest R-V 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room on the Southwest school campus	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tosha Tilford, Superintendent, 417-826-5410

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Southwest R-V	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Southwest R-V 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Campus flooding – address flooding on the athletic fields, high school parking lot, and around the Lower Elementary School. Consider elevating surfaces, improving drainage, and other flood control measures	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tosha Tilford, Superintendent, 417-826-5410

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Southwest R-V	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Southwest R-V 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS training - All elected officials, public administrators, community stakeholders and responders will participate in National Incident Management System (NIMS) training and compliance programs.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		0
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	0
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	0
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		0
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		0

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tosha Tilford, Superintendent, 417-826-5410

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Wheaton R-III	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Wheaton R-III 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe refuge area plan - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify refuge areas that comply with FEMA publication 431 Selecting Refuge Areas in Buildings, in schools, large facilities and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		45

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Patricia Wilson, Superintendent, 417-652-3914

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Wheaton R-III	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Wheaton R-III 1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Storm proof doors and windows - Replace current doors and windows to all vulnerable facilities with storm proof alternatives	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Patricia Wilson, Superintendent, 417-652-3914

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Wheaton R-III	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Wheaton R-III 1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct new safe room on school campus. Already applied for FEMA BRIC grant. Waiting to hear from FEMA is selected.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Patricia Wilson, Superintendent, 417-652-3914

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Wheaton R-III	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Wheaton R-III 2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator – purchase a generator to prevent disruptions of services due to severe weather	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Patricia Wilson, Superintendent, 417-652-3914

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Wheaton R-III	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Wheaton R-III 2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Campus flood prevention – improve drainage on school campus near building entrances	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Patricia Wilson, Superintendent, 417-652-3914

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry Lawrence Ambulance District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry Lawrence Ambulance District 3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator – purchase a generator to support functions of the station in the event electricity is lost	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Barry Lawrence Ambulance District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Barry Lawrence Ambulance District 1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a FEMA approved safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)