

Appendix B: Planning Process

Appendix B includes the following planning process documents:

1. Notices for public comment period
2. Invitee list
3. Meeting letters and emails
4. Meeting agendas/presentations
5. Internet postings of meeting dates and notices
6. Meeting sign in sheets
7. STAPLEE worksheets

Taney County HMP Draft

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Fri 5/20/2022 4:13 PM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>;Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>;Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>;Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>;Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>;Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>;Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>;Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>;Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forsythmo.gov>;Nathan Bower <contact@forsythfirerescue.org>;Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>;Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>;Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>;mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>;Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>;Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>;James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>;Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>;Joel Carey <jcarey@bradleyviller1.com>;Rhonda Cook <rcook@bradleyviller1.com>

 1 attachments (13 MB)

-2022 Taney County HMP Rough Draft.pdf;

Good afternoon everyone

The first rough draft of the Taney County HMP is now available. I've attached a copy to this email. It can also be found on our website at [Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft \(smcog.org\)](https://www.smcog.org/Taney-County-Hazard-Mitigation-Plan-Draft). Please review the draft and send me any comments, questions, or concerns. This is just the first draft, so expect some changes to be made.

It would also be helpful if you can share this on your social media pages so residents can provide feedback. You can upload a copy of the draft or simply link to our website. If you do share it on your website, please let me know.

As a quick reminder, please remember to get your STAPLEE and Action sheets turned in to me by **Friday, June 3rd**. Contact me if you have any questions. Thank you and have a great weekend!

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

110 Park Central Square | Springfield, MO 65806

Office: 417-836-6900 | Direct: 417-836-5281

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Draft

The initial draft of the 2022 Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan is now available for public review and comment. Please submit comments to Thomas Cunningham at TCunningham@missouristate.edu by the end of the day on Friday, June 10, 2022.

Please note: this is a draft version of the document. Expect multiple changes and updates to be made



2022 Taney County HMP Roug... .pdf

Download PDF • 13.74MB



Tags:

Taney County



Hazard Mitigation • SMCOG Spotlight



Jurisdiction	Title	First Name	Last name	PO Box	Street Address	City	Zip	Phone	Alt Phone	Email
Taney County	Emergency Management Director	Chris	Berndt		221 Jefferson Road	Branson	65616	417-334-3440	417-335-0586	chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com
Taney County	Assistant, Taney County OEM	Melissa	Duckworth					417-546-7233		melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov
Taney County	Floodplain Administrator	Scott	Starrett	PO Box 383	207 David Street	Forsyth	65653	417-546-7225		p&z@taneycountymo.gov
City of Branson	City Administrator	Stan	Dobbins		110 W Maddox, Suite 210	Branson	65616	417-337-8548		sdobbins@bransonmo.gov
City of Branson	City Clerk	Lisa	Westfall		110 W Maddox, Suite 210	Branson	65616	417-337-8522		lwestfall@bransonmo.gov
City of Branson	Fire Chief	Ted	Martin		110 Crosby Street	Branson	65616	417-243-2790		tmartin@bransonmo.gov
City of Branson	Division Chief - Operations	Ben	Bonner					417-243-2780	417-527-8614	bbonner@bransonmo.gov
City of Branson	Planning and Development Director	Joel	Hornickel		110 W Maddux Street, Suite 215	Branson	65616	417-337-8549		jhornickel@bransonmo.gov
City of Branson	Director of Public Works/Engineering	Keith	Francis					417-337-8504		kfrancis@bransonmo.gov
City of Forsyth	City Clerk	Cheyenne	Beasley	PO Box 545	15405 US Highway 160	Forsyth	65653	417-546-4763		cbeasley@forsythmo.gov
City of Forsyth	Emergency Manager, Fire Chief	Nathan	Bower	PO Box 545	15405 US Highway 160	Forsyth	65653	417-546-3074		nbower@forsythmo.gov
City of Hollister	City Clerk	Bridget	Epps	PO Box 638	312 Eslanade Street	Hollister	65672	417-334-3262		cityclerk@cityofhollister.com
City of Hollister	City Administrator	Rick	Ziegenfuss					417-335-5327		cityadministrator@cityofhollister.com
City of Hollister	Building Official	Kathy	Knight					417-334-3239		bdgofficial@cityofhollister.com
City of Hollister	Director of Public Works	Kelly	Burgess					417-334-3262		publicworks@cityofhollister.com
City of Merriam Woods	City Clerk	Karen	Greening		4417 State Highway 176	Merriam Woods	65740	417-561-4341		mwcitclerk@gmail.com
City of Merriam Woods	City Clerk	Nicole	Rodman							clerk@mw-mo.org
City of Merriam Woods	Mayor	Rusty	Ault							mayor@mw-mo.org
City of Merriam Woods	Chief of Police/Emergency Coordinator	Nathan	Atchison	PO Box 238		Merriam Woods	65740			Natchison@mwmpod.org
City of Merriam Woods	Floodplain Administrator	Karen	Greening	PO Box 238	4417 State Highway 176	Merriam Woods	65740	417-561-4341		
City of Merriam Woods	Alderman	Terri	Conner							taconner1082@gmail.com
City of Rockaway Beach	City Clerk	Jann	Clark	PO Box 315	2536 State Highway 176	Rockaway Beach	65740	417-561-4424		rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com
City of Rockaway Beach	Admin Assistant	Jennifer	Jackson							jenniferjackson.rbpd@outlook.com
City of Rockaway Beach	Mayor/Floodplain Administrator	Jim	Harriger	PO Box 315	2536 State Highway 176	Rockaway Beach	65740	417-880-9749		mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org
Village of Bull Creek	Emergency Coordinator/Floodplain Administrator/Dir	James	Felton		1886 State Highway F	Branson	65616	417-561-1111		Bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com
Village of Bull Creek	Village Clerk	Aaron	Durso		1886 State Highway F	Branson	65616	417-561-1111		Bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com
Village of Kirbyville	Village Clerk	Amy	Chamberlain		148 Rustic Acres Road	Kirbyville	65679	417-337-5378		Kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com
Village of Taneyville	Village Clerk	Sharmin	Plamere	PO Box 185		Taneyville	65759	417-546-5594	417-693-4811	villageoftaneyville@gmail.com
Bradleyville R-I School District	Superintendent	Joel	Carey	PO Box 20	16474 North State Highway 125	Bradleyville	65614	417-796-2288		jcarey@bradleyviller1.com
Bradleyville R-I School District	Administrative Assistant to the Superintendent	Rhonda	Cook		16474 North State Highway 125	Bradleyville	65614	417-796-2288		rcook@bradleyviller1.com
Branson R-IV School District	Superintendent	Brad	Swofford		1756 Bee Creek Road	Branson	65616	417-334-6541		swofford@branson.k12.mo.us
Branson R-IV School District	Executive Director of Operations	Chip	Arnette		1756 Bee Creek Road	Branson	65616	417-334-6541		arnettec@branson.k12.mo.us
Forsyth R-III School District	Assistant Superintendent	Grant	Boyer	PO Box 187	178 Panter Road	Forsyth	65653	417-546-6384		gboyer@forsyth3.k12.mo.us
Forsyth R-III School District	Director of Maintenance	Dennis	Carter	PO Box 187	178 Panter Road	Forsyth	65654	417-546-6384		dcarter@forsyth3.k12.mo.us
Hollister R-V School District	Superintendent	Brian	Wilson		1914 State Highway 88	Hollister	65672	417-243-4000		Bwilson@hollisterschools.com
Hollister R-V School District	Assistant Superintendent of District Operations/Safet	Sean	Woods					417-243-4000		swoods@hollisterschools.com
Kirbyville R-VI School District	Superintendent	Carless	Osborn		6225 State Highway E	Kirbyville	65679	417-337-8913		carless.osborn@kirbyville.k12.mo.us
Mark Twain R-VIII School District	Superintendent	Joe	Donley		37707 US Highway 160	Reuter	65744	417-785-4323		donlevj@marktwain.k12.mo.us
Taneyville R-II School District	Superintendent	Tara	Roberts		302 Myrtle Street	Taneyville	65759	417-546-5803		tara.roberts@taneyville.k12.mo.us
Ozarks Technical Community College - Table Rock C: President of OTC Table Rock Campus		Robert	Griffith		10698 MO-165	Hollister	65672	417-447-8922		
Ozarks Technical Community College - Table Rock C: Administrative Assistant to the President		Devon	Ellis							ellisd@otc.edu
Ozarks Technical Community College - Table Rock C: Safety and Security Supervisor		Jerome	Ransom					417-447-8943		ransomj@otc.edu
Central Taney County Fire Protection District	Chief	Doug	Bower	PO Box 868		Kirbyville	65679			chief1@ctcfpd.org
Central Taney County Fire Protection District	Lieutenant 1	Jeremy	Chaney							cboy2us@gmail.com
Western Taney County Fire Protection District	Chief	Chris	Berndt		221 Jefferson Road	Branson	65616	417-334-3440	417-335-0586	chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com
Taney County Regional Sewer District	Administrator	Bradley	Allbritton		6733 E State Hwy 76	Kirbyville	65679	417-544-0655	417-544-0654	Ballbritton@trcsd.org
Taney County Regional Sewer District	Administrative Assistant	Lyn	Wieneke		6733 E State Hwy 76	Kirbyville	65679	417-544-0655	417-544-0654	lwieneke@trcsd.org
Protrem Fire Protection District								417-785-4500		
Point Lookout Fire Protection District										
Bradleyville Rural Fire Department	Chief	Tom	Todd		25876 State Highway 76	Bradleyville	65614	417-796-2400		bradleyvillefire1995@gmail.com
Taney County Ambulance District	Chief	Darryl	Coontz		106 Industrial Park Drive	Hollister	65672	417-334-6586		



December 2, 2022

RE: 2022 Taney County Multi-Jurisdictional Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update

Dear Stakeholder,

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Taney County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Taney County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting on the update of the Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan on Tuesday, January 18, 2022, at 1:00 PM.

Included is a questionnaire that must be filled out by a representative from your jurisdiction. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more.

An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

Once completed, please be sure to return your questionnaire by the specified date. It can be emailed to TCunningham@MissouriState.edu or turned in to Thomas Cunningham in-person at the kickoff meeting.

Please see the back of this letter for additional information.



Kickoff Meeting:
Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
Taney County Courthouse, Commission Hearing Room
132 David Street
Forsyth, MO
Tuesday, January 18, 2022
1 PM

Topics to be discussed at the kickoff meeting will include greater detail on the update process, necessary participants and their responsibilities, elements of the natural hazard mitigation plan and update items, and a timeline for completion, approval, and adoption of the plan update.

Additional plan update meetings will be held at 1 PM at the Taney County Courthouse on the following dates:

February 22, 2022
March 15, 2022
April 19, 2022
May 24, 2022

We look forward to the participation of your jurisdiction in the plan update process and encourage your questions, comments, and input at all stages of this process.

If you have any questions about the meetings or the plan update process, or are unable to attend the kickoff meeting, please contact me by phone at 417-836-5281 or at TCunningham@MissouriState.edu.

Sincerely,

Thomas Cuningham
Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments

2022 Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-off Meeting

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Mon 12/13/2021 12:03 PM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>; Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>; Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>; Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>; Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>; Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>; Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>; Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>; Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forsythmo.gov>; Nathan Bower <contact@forsythfirerescue.org>; Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>; Rick Ziegenfuss <cityadministrator@cityofhollister.com>; Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>; Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>; mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>; Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>; James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>; Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>; Ebony Cook <ebonyc658@gmail.com>

The State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA) has entered into an agreement with the Southwest Missouri Council of Governments (SMCOG) to assist with the required update to the Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan. Federal law requires communities and other local public jurisdictions to have an approved, adopted hazard mitigation plan on file with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) to be eligible to apply for and receive Hazard Mitigation Grant Program and other Federal mitigation program assistance.

The hazard mitigation plan must be updated every five years to maintain eligibility for funding. The updated plan developed for Taney County and its jurisdictions will meet the minimum planning requirements for all FEMA mitigation programs.

Community involvement in the development of the updated hazard mitigation plan for Taney County is critical to this effort and is a required element of the planning process. Any and all jurisdictions that may consider hazard mitigation projects in the next five years **must** participate in the plan update process to be eligible to apply for FEMA hazard mitigation project assistance grants.

The intent of the mitigation plan is to identify and evaluate the participating jurisdictions' vulnerability to natural disasters and to develop strategies and actions that can eliminate the negative effects of future disasters. Some examples of mitigation actions include the construction of safe rooms, improvements to low water crossings, and flood hazard area buyouts.

A representative from your jurisdiction or organization is invited to attend the kickoff information meeting at the following time/location:

**Taney County Courthouse, Commission Hearing Room
132 David Street
Forsyth, MO 65653
Tuesday, January 18, 2022
1:00 PM**

You should have already received a packet in the mail that includes a questionnaire. The questionnaire will ask a series of questions about the structure of your jurisdiction, previous occurrences of hazard events, what facilities could potentially be affected by hazard events, what plans your jurisdiction has in place to help mitigate the effects of these potential hazards, and more.

Please try to complete the questionnaire and return to me by Wednesday, January 12th. If you need more time or have any questions about it, don't hesitate to reach out to me. An overview of the questionnaire will be provided at the kickoff meeting, so it is encouraged that representatives bring their questionnaire with them in case they have any questions or would like feedback on their answers.

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Meeting 2

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Tue 2/8/2022 11:49 AM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>; Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>; Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>; Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>; Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>; Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>; Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>; Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>; Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forsythmo.gov>; Nathan Bower <contact@forsythfirerescue.org>; Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>; Rick Ziegenfuss <cityadministrator@cityhollister.com>; Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>; Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>; mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>; Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>; James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>; Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>; Ebony Cook <ebonyc658@gmail.com>

Good afternoon everyone

Our next meeting for the Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will be Tuesday, February 15, at 1:00 PM. The meeting will be held at the Taney County Courthouse in Forsyth. We'll start the meeting with a quick recap of the first meeting highlighting what a hazard mitigation plan is, why it's important, participation requirements, etc., then we'll move on to the risk assessment portion of the plan. I hope to see everyone there!

Also, if you haven't already done so, please complete your questionnaire and send it to me as soon as possible. If you are having trouble or need help completing it, please don't hesitate to reach out to me. I would be more than happy to work through it with you.

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

[Learn More](#) | [Meeting options](#)

Taney County HMP Meeting 3

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Tue 3/8/2022 3:37 PM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>; Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>; Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>; Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>; Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>; Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>; Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>; Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>; Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forythmo.gov>; Nathan Bower <contact@forythfirerescue.org>; Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>; Rick Ziegenfuss <cityadministrator@cityhollister.com>; Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>; Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>; mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>; Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>; Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>; James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>; Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>; Ebony Cook <ebonyc658@gmail.com>

Good afternoon everyone

Our next meeting for the Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update will be Tuesday, March 22, at 1:00 PM. The meeting was originally scheduled for March 15, but we had to push it back a week due to a scheduling conflict.

The meeting will still be held at the Taney County Courthouse in Forsyth at the same time. I'll first go through a quick recap of everything we've covered so far, then we'll move on to the mitigation actions section of the plan. I hope to see everyone there.

Also, if you haven't already done so, please send me your completed questionnaire and timesheets. You can also bring a physical copy with you to the meeting if you'd like.

As always, if you have any questions please don't hesitate to reach out to me. Thanks!

Taney County HMP Update - Meeting 4

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Mon 4/4/2022 11:20 AM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>;Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>;Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>;Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>;Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>;Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>;Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>;Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>;Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forsythmo.gov>;Nathan Bower <contact@forsythfirerescue.org>;Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>;Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>;Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>;mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>;Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>;Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>;James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>;Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>;Joel Carey <jcarey@bradleyviller1.com>;Rhonda Cook <rcook@bradleyviller1.com>

Our next Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting will be held Tuesday, April 19th, at 1 pm. I hope to see everyone there!

Taney County HMP Update - Meeting 5

Cunningham, Thomas E <TCunningham@MissouriState.edu>

Wed 5/4/2022 10:28 AM

To: Chris Berndt <chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com>;Melissa Duckworth <melissa.duckworth@taneycountymo.gov>;Scott Starrett <p&z@taneycountymo.gov>;Stan Dobbins <sdobbins@bransonmo.gov>;Lisa Westfall <lwestfall@bransonmo.gov>;Ted Martin <tmartin@bransonmo.gov>;Ben Bonner <bbonner@bransonmo.gov>;Keith Francis <kfrancis@bransonmo.gov>;Cheyenne Beasley <cbeasley@forsythmo.gov>;Nathan Bower <contact@forsythfirerescue.org>;Bridget Epps <cityclerk@cityofhollister.com>;Kathy Knight <bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com>;Kelly Burgess <publicworks@cityofhollister.com>;mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com <mwcityclerkkg@gmail.com>;Jann Clark <rockawaycity@suddenlinkmail.com>;Jim Harriger <mayor@rockawaybeach-mo.org>;James Felton <bullcreek@suddenlinkmail.com>;Amy Chamberlain <kirbyvilleclerk@gmail.com>;Joel Carey <jcarey@bradleyviller1.com>;Rhonda Cook <rcook@bradleyviller1.com>

Good morning everyone

Our fifth and final Taney County HMP meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, May 17 at 1 PM at the Taney County Courthouse in Forsyth. I hope to see everyone there. Thanks!

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kick-Off Meeting

January 18, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Introduction to Hazard Mitigation
- The Planning Process
- Participation Requirements
- Future Meeting Dates



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Mitigation – taking action NOW to reduce or eliminate long-term risk to human life and property from hazard events.
 - Examples – FEMA tornado shelters, low water crossing improvements, policies limiting construction in flood plain areas, river bank improvements
- Mitigation Planning – process for communities to...
 - Identify hazards to which they are at risk
 - Assess the potential impact
 - Develop goals, objectives, and actions to reduce impacts; and
 - Prioritize and implement mitigation actions

Low Water Crossing Replacement

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



Flood Buyouts

Before Mitigation



After Mitigation



What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Costs rising from natural disasters
 - Increased development – more exposure
 - Increased cost to the federal government
 - Increased frequency of natural disasters:
 - 1980s – 286
 - 1990s – 737
 - 2000s – 1,265
 - 2010-Present – 1,140

What is Hazard Mitigation and why is it important?

- Reduction in loss of lives
- Reduction in property damage
- Reduction in lost essential services
- Reduction in loss of critical facilities and infrastructure
- Reduction in economic disruption
- Planning for an emergency saves lives, time, and money!

The Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000

- Required by law for local governments to develop a hazard mitigation plan in order to receive funding for mitigation projects.
 - Funds available – 75/25
 - **Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)** - Assists in implementing long-term hazard mitigation planning and projects following a presidential major disaster declaration.
 - **Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)** – provides funds annually for hazard mitigation planning and projects.
 - **Building Resilient Infrastructure & Communities (BRIC)** – Support for states, local communities, tribes, and territories as they undertake hazard mitigation projects, reducing the risks they face from disasters and natural hazards.
 - **Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)** – provides funds for planning and projects to reduce or eliminate risk of flood damage to buildings that are insured annually under the National Flood Insurance Program.
- Mitigation plans must be updated every five years to be eligible for mitigation funding

The Planning Process



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → **Completed**
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → **YOU ARE THE TEAM!**
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → **Today**
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → **Questionnaire**
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → **Meeting #2**
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → **Meetings #3 and #4**
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → **Meeting #5 and on your own**
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → **That's YOU!**
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → **That's YOU!**



Planning Committee

- As part of the Mitigation Planning Committee, you will:
 - Help develop the plan
 - Identify mitigation goals
 - Identify mitigation actions
 - Review the draft plan



Outreach Strategy

- Public Meetings
- Survey
 - Where do you live?
 - What school district?
 - Have you been impacted by a disaster?
 - Opinion on the likelihood of a disaster
 - Concern of disaster impact
 - Types of mitigation projects they support

Risk Assessment

Natural Hazards

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Flooding
- Land Subsidence (Sinkholes)
- Severe Thunderstorms
- Severe Winter Weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire

Hazard Profile

- Hazard Description
- Geographic Location
- Severity/Magnitude/Extent
- Previous Occurrences
- Probability of Future Occurrences

Vulnerability Assessment

- Vulnerability Overview
- Potential Losses to Existing Development
- Impact of Previous and Future Development
- Hazard Summary by Jurisdiction

Problem Statement



Develop Mitigation Strategies

- Reference completed questionnaires
- Risk Assessment
- Current needs of community
- Identify strengths and weaknesses



Review and Prioritize Mitigation Actions

- STAPLEE score
 - Social
 - Technical
 - Administrative
 - Political
 - Legal
 - Economic Environmental
- Prioritizes and determines feasibility



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
	Definitely YES = 3 2 Probably NO = 1 NO = 0	Maybe YES = Definitely
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
High Priority (30+ points)	Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Adoption of Hazard Mitigation Plan

- Each participating jurisdiction is required to adopt the hazard plan
 - Signed by a certified official
- Mail, email, or fax will be acceptable



Barry County, Missouri
Resolution # 91516

ADOPTING THE BARRY COUNTY MULTI-JURISDICTIONAL NATURAL HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN (UPDATED 2016)

Whereas, The Barry County Commission recognizes the threat that natural hazards pose to people and property within our community; and

Whereas, undertaking hazard mitigation actions will reduce the potential for harm to people and property from future hazard occurrences; and

Whereas, the U.S. Congress passed the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000 ("Disaster Mitigation Act") emphasizing the need for pre-disaster mitigation of potential hazards;

Whereas, the Disaster Mitigation Act made available hazard mitigation grants to state and local governments; and

Whereas, an adopted Local Hazard Mitigation Plan is required as a condition of future funding for mitigation projects under multiple FEMA pre- and post-disaster mitigation grant programs; and

Whereas, Barry County fully participated in the hazard mitigation planning process to prepare this Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency and the Federal Emergency Management Agency Region VII officials will review the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan," and approved it as to form and content; and

Whereas, the Barry County Commission desires to comply with the requirements of the Disaster Mitigation Act and to augment its emergency planning efforts by formally adopting the Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption by the governing body of Barry County demonstrates the jurisdictions' commitment to fulfilling the mitigation goals outlined in this Multi- Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

Whereas, adoption of this legitimizes the plan and authorizes responsible agencies to carry out responsibilities under the plan;

Now, therefore, be it resolved, that the Barry County Commission has adopted the "Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Local Hazard Mitigation Plan" as an official plan.

Date: 9-15-16

Certifying Official: Cheryl Warner

Keep the Plan Current & Create a Safe Community

- FEMA requires a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the mitigation plan remains an active and relevant document
- Your jurisdiction and County Emergency Management Officials
- Review plan and strategies annually

Participation Requirements



2017 Participation

- Taney County
- Branson
- Forsyth
- Hollister
- Merriam Woods
- Rockaway Beach
- Bull Creek
- Bradley R-I Schools
- Taneyville R-II Schools
- Forsyth R-III Schools
- Branson R-IV Schools
- Hollister R-V Schools
- Kirbyville R-VI Schools
- Central Taney County Fire District
- Western Taney County Fire District
- Taney County Regional Sewer District
- Ozarks Technical Community College –
Table Rock Campus



Participation Requirements

- In order to officially “participate” a jurisdiction must:
 - Complete all required documents (Capabilities Assessment Questionnaire, STAPLEE Sheets, and Action Sheets)
 - A representative must be in attendance at a minimum of two meetings
 - Record in-kind time and complete timesheets
 - Adopt the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Participation of your jurisdictions gives you access to grant funds provided by FEMA



Mitigation Plan Funding

- SEMA covers 75% of the cost
- Grants require a 25% non-federal match
 - Local cash match
 - In-kind time and effort



Time Sheets

- Fill out accurately
- Keep track of hours and mileage
 - Round up
- Elected officials **MAY NOT** count their time



IN-KIND DOCUMENTATION
Barry County Multi-Jurisdictional Hazard Mitigation Plan 2016

Reporting Month:

LABOR

Date	Service Performed	Hours	Mileage (Roundtrip)
Total		0	0

Organization:
 Employee Name:
 Employee Title:
 Hourly Rate:
Total Labor Charge:
Total Mileage Charge:

Comments: _____

Employee Signature

Supervisor Signature

Future Meetings

Third Tuesday of the month, January – May, 1:00 PM, Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth

- Meeting 2: February 15
- Meeting 3: March 15
- Meeting 4: April 19
- Meeting 5: May 17



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #2

February 15, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Risk assessment
- Mitigation strategies preview
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Complete Capabilities Survey Questionnaire
- Complete Mitigation Actions and STAPLEE Sheets
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Community Survey



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Risk Assessment

- Measures potential loss of life, personal injury, economic injury, and property damage resulting from natural hazard events by assessing the vulnerability of people, buildings, and infrastructure to natural hazards
- Evaluates the degree to which injuries and damages may occur
- Provides the foundation for the rest of the mitigation planning process



Vulnerability

- Which hazards is your jurisdiction most at risk?
- What facilities and/or areas are most at risk to those hazards?
- What existing mechanism are in place to help mitigate negative consequences?

Hazard Identification and Vulnerability

- Code of Federal Regulations Title 44 Emergency Management and Assistance Part §201.6
 - (c) Plan content. The plan shall include the following:
 - (i) A description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect the jurisdiction
 - The plan shall include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events
 - (ii) A description of the jurisdiction's vulnerability to the hazards described in paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section; This description shall include an overall summary of each hazard and its impact on the community

Taney County Disaster Declarations 2000-Present (21)





Disaster #	Description	Declaration Date	Incident Period	IA or PA
4552	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	7/9/2020	5/3/2020 – 5/4/2020	PA
4490	COVID-19 pandemic	3/26/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	IA and PA
3482	Missouri COVID-19	3/13/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	PA
4451	Severe storms, tornadoes, flooding	6/9/2019	4/29/2019 – 6/6/2019	IA and PA
4317	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	6/2/2017	4/28/2017 – 5/11/2017	IA and PA
4250	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	1/21/2016	12/23/2015 – 1/9/2016	IA and PA
3374	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	1/2/2016	12/22/2015 – 1/9/2016	N/A
4238	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	8/7/2015	5/15/2015 – 6/27/2015	PA
4144	Severe storms, straight-line winds, and flooding	9/6/2013	8/2/2013 – 8/14/2013	PA
3317	Severe winter storm	2/3/2011	1/31/2011 – 2/5/2011	PA
1980	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	5/9/2011	4/19/2011 – 5/6/2011	PA
3303	Severe winter storm	1/30/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1822	Severe winter storm	2/17/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1809	Severe storms, flooding, and a tornado	11/13/2008	9/11/2008 – 9/24/2008	IA and PA
1773	Severe storms and flooding	6/25/2008	6/1/2008 – 8/13/2008	PA
1749	Severe storms and flooding	3/19/2008	3/17/2008 – 5/9/2008	PA
3281	Severe winter storms	12/12/2007	12/8/2007 – 12/15/2007	PA
1631	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	3/16/2006	3/8/2006 – 3/13/2006	IA and PA
3232	Hurricane Katrina evacuation	9/10/2005	8/29/2008 – 10/1/2005	PA
1463	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2003	5/4/2003 – 5/30/2003	IA
1412	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2002	4/24/2002 – 6/10/2002	IA and PA

Hazards Identified

- Flooding
- Dam Failure
- Earthquake
- Land Subsidence/Sinkholes
- Drought
- Extreme Temperature
- Severe Thunderstorm/High Winds/Lightning/Hail
- Severe Winter Weather
- Tornado
- Wildfire



Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood



- Critical Facilities
- Fire Station
 - Police Station
 - Hospital
- Major Roads
- Floodplain
 - Taney County



Prepared 11/18/2021 by

Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Flood**

- 32 occurrences from 2001-2020
 - 4 damaging events totaling \$3,460,000 in losses
 - 20% chance of damaging event occurring in any given year

- **Flash Flood**

- 86 occurrences of flash flood from 2001-2020
 - 21 damaging events totaling \$29.165 million in losses
 - 8 years with damaging event, 40% chance occurring in any given year

Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Riverine
Flood events
(2001-2020)**

Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damages	Crop Damages
2001	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2002	4	0	0	\$400,000	\$0
2003	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2004	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2005	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2006	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2007	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2008	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2009	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2010	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2011	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2012	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2013	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2014	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	3	0	0	\$3,050,000	\$0
2016	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2017	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2018	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2019	3	0	0	\$0	\$0
2020	7	1	0	\$10,000	\$0
Total	32	1	0	\$3,460,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Flood and Flash Flood

- **Flash Flood events (2001-2020)**

Year	# of Events	# of Deaths	# of Injuries	Property Damages	Crop Damages
2001	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2002	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2003	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2004	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2005	2	0	0	\$5,000	\$0
2006	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2007	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
2008	9	0	0	\$5,640,000	\$0
2009	2	0	0	\$0	\$0
2010	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2011	7	0	0	\$10,000,000	\$0
2012	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2013	4	0	0	\$1,000,000	\$0
2014	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
2015	13	0	0	\$1,860,000	\$0
2016	4	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
2017	11	3	0	\$10,550,000	\$0
2018	1	0	0	\$0	\$0
2019	8	2	0	\$0	\$0
2020	8	0	0	\$10,000	\$0
Total	83	5	0	\$29,165,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Dam Failure

MDNR Dam Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Class I	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains ten (10) or more permanent dwellings or any public building. Inspection of these dams must occur every two years
Class II	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation contains one to nine permanent dwelling, or one (1) or more campgrounds with permanent water, sewer and electrical services or one (1) or more industrial buildings. Inspection of these dams must occur once every three years.
Class III	The area downstream from the dam that would be affected by inundation does not contain any of the structures identified for Class I or Class II dams. Inspection of these dams must occur once every five years


National Inventory of Dams Hazard Classification Definitions	
Hazard Class	Definition
Low Hazard	Failure results in only minimal property damage.
Significant Hazard	Failure could possibly result in the loss of life and appreciable property damage.
High Hazard	If the dam were to fail, lives would be lost and extensive property damage could result.



There is not a direct correlation between the State Hazard classification and the NID classifications. However, most dams that are in the State's Classes I and II are considered NID High Hazard Dams.

Identified Hazard: Dam Failure


- 9 NID dams in Taney County
 - 5 high hazard (Table Rock Dam, Ozark Beach Dam, Silver Creek Lake Dam, Fall Creek Dam, Rockwood Hills Lake Dam)
 - 4 low hazard
- Bateman Dam?
- According to the 2018 State HMP, there are 0 accounts of previous dam failure
- Potential impact of other dams, but advanced warning would result in low impact.
- Any local known risks of dam failure?



○ Dam □ Taney County




Identified Hazard: Earthquake




Identified Hazard: Earthquake

- Modified Mercalli Scale Based on a 7.6 Magnitude Earthquake along the New Madrid Fault
- Located in Zone VI
 - Felt by everyone, trouble walking, furniture can be overturned, pictures fall off walls and objects off shelves; damage slight
- No previous records of earthquakes in Taney County
- Any experience feeling earthquakes?



Identified Hazard: Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes

- 52 known sinkholes and 77 underground mines
 - 2018 State Plan
- Common in Missouri, rarely significant
- Sinkholes within city limits and developed areas pose the biggest risk
- Any other known sinkholes?




Identified Hazard: Drought

- **Meteorological Drought:** Regionally based; in the United States, indicated by less than 2.5 mm of rainfall in 48 hours, which is the first indication of drought
- **Agricultural Drought:** Soil moisture cannot meet the demands of a crop; after a meteorological drought but before a hydrological drought
- **Hydrological Drought:** Reduction in surface and subsurface water supplies; measured through stream flow and lake, reservoir, and ground water levels
- **Socioeconomic Drought:** Water shortages affect people, either in terms of water supply or economic impacts (i.e. loss of crops so price increases)

Drought: Previous Occurrences


- 16 events from 2001-2020 (NCEI data)
 - 2 events resulting in damages:
 - \$5.76 million losses in Crop Damages



Map released: Thurs. February 10, 2022

Data valid: February 8, 2022 at 7 a.m. EST

Intensity



Authors

United States and Puerto Rico Author(s):
Deborah Bathke, National Drought Mitigation Center

Pacific Islands and Virgin Islands Author(s):
Richard Tinker, NOAA/NWS/NCEP/CPC


Source: <https://droughtmonitor.unl.edu/>

Identified Hazard: Extreme Temperatures


- 5 events from 2001-2020
- Low income, elderly, and homeless population are most at risk



**Number of Heat Related Deaths
in Missouri by County** for 1980 - 2016^**



Number of Heat Related Deaths



** County of death may differ from county of residence

^ Data for 2016 is preliminary and subject to change


Total number of deaths from 1980 to 2016 was 1,272

Includes 18 non-Missouri residents who died in Missouri

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

High Winds


- Straight Line Wind, Microburst: Can exceed 100 mph



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Lightning


- Resulting in fires and power outages



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Hail

- Hail can reach the size of grapefruit




How do I measure hail?

- * Measure at its widest point
- * Estimate size to common objects

NO MARBLES!

Description	Hail Size (in.)
Pea	¼
Penny	¾
Quarter	1
Half-Dollar	1 ¼
Ping Pong Ball	1 ½
Golfball	1 ¾
Tennis Ball	2 ½
Baseball	2 ¾
Softball	4
Grapefruit	4 ½



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/High Winds/Lightning/Hail

- **Thunderstorm Wind and High Wind**
 - 176 occurrences from 2001-2020
 - 63 damaging events
 - Total property damage: \$1.464 million
 - Worst event in 2009. \$500,000 in property damages
 - 18 years with damaging events. Many years had multiple damaging events

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Lightning**

- 4 reported occurrences from 2001-2020
 - Reports are usually only made if the event was significant
 - Most significant incident occurred on May 17, 2015. A lightning strike at the Table Rock Dam damaged two of the four hydro-power generating units. These two units remained offline for about 30 days until repaired. Each turbine unit would normally generate 50,000 Mwh of electricity. Power generated at the hydro-dam was decreased by half of normal capacity. \$500,000 in estimated damages.

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

- **Hail**

- 147 occurrences from 2001-2020
- 7 damaging event in causing \$1.174 million in property damages
 - 100% chance of occurrence in a given year, 30% chance of damaging event
 - \$600,000 in damages occurred on May 3, 2020 when golf ball sized hail fell on the campus of College of the Ozarks and up to baseball sized hail occurred near Table Rock and Protem. Numerous vehicles, businesses and homes sustained damage from the large hail. \$500,000 in damages also occurred on March 12, 2006, when baseball sized hail caused damage to roofs and vehicles within a section of Branson

Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Thunderstorm Wind and High Wind					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Taney County	70	0	1	\$953,000	\$0
Branson	45	0	1	\$204,000	\$0
Forsyth	25	0	0	\$61,000	\$0
Hollister	16	0	1	\$148,000	\$0
Merriam Woods	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Rockaway Beach	10	0	0	\$55,000	\$0
Bull Creek	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Kirbyville	5	0	0	\$9,000	\$0
Taneyville	5	0	0	\$34,000	\$0
Total	176	0	3	\$1,464,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Lightning					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Taney County	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Branson	4	0	0	\$780,000	\$0
Forsyth	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Hollister	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Merriam Woods	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Rockaway Beach	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Bull Creek	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Kirbyville	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Taneyville	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	4	0	0	\$780,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail

Hail					
Location	# of Events	Deaths	Injuries	Property Damage	Crop Damage
Taney County	63	0	0	\$625,000	\$0
Branson	25	0	0	\$500,000	\$0
Forsyth	31	0	0	\$0	\$0
Hollister	8	0	0	\$4,000	\$0
Merriam Woods	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Rockaway Beach	5	0	0	\$0	\$0
Bull Creek	0	0	0	\$0	\$0
Kirbyville	6	0	0	\$45,000	\$0
Taneyville	9	0	0	\$0	\$0
Total	147	0	0	\$1,174,000	\$0



Identified Hazard: Severe Winter Weather

- A Winter Storm is a winter weather event containing a mixture of snow, cold, wind, sleet and freezing rain; It can cause driving to be dangerous and can cause power outages.
 - **Heavy Snow:** Large amount of just snowing falling over a period of time; Large amounts of snow can cause travel to become dangerous and the sheer weight of the snow can cause roofs and structures to collapse.
 - **Ice Storm-Freezing Rain:** Freezing rain falls onto a surface with a temperature below freezing; heavy accumulations of ice can bring down trees, electric power lines and poles, telephone lines and communications towers.
 - **Blizzard:** Winds of 35 miles per hour or more with snow and blowing snow reducing visibility to less than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile for at least three hours.
 - **Severe Cold:** A period of extremely low temperatures or wind chill temperatures reaching or exceeding locally/regionally defined warning criteria, on a widespread or localized basis.


Identified Hazard: Severe Winter Weather

Previous events 2001-2020

- Blizzard: No occurrences
- Extreme Cold/Wind Chill: 1 occurrence
- Heavy Snow: No occurrences
- Ice Storm: 3 occurrences
- Sleet: No occurrences
- Winter Storm: 16 occurrences
- Winter Weather: 3 occurrences

- 23 Total events; 14 years with events
 - 80% probability of a severe winter weather event in any given year

Identified Hazard: Tornado





Identified Hazard: Tornado

Fujita and Enhanced Fujita Tornado Damage Scale					
FUJITA SCALE			OPERATIONAL EF SCALE		Typical Damage
F Number	Fastest 1/4-mile (mph)	3 Second Gust (mph)	EF Number	3 Second Gust (mph)	
0	40-72	45-78	0	65-85	Light damage - Some damage to chimneys; branches broken off trees; shallow-rooted trees pushed over; sign boards damaged.
1	73-112	79-117	1	86-110	Moderate damage - Peels surface off roofs; mobile homes pushed off foundations or overturned; moving autos blown off roads.
2	113-157	118-161	2	111-135	Considerable damage - Roofs torn off frame houses; mobile homes demolished; boxcars overturned; large trees snapped or uprooted; light-object missiles generated; cars lifted off ground.
3	158-207	162-209	3	136-165	Severe damage - Roofs and some walls torn off well-constructed houses; trains overturned; most trees in forest uprooted; heavy cars lifted off the ground and thrown.
4	208-260	210-261	4	166-200	Devastating damage - Well-constructed houses leveled; structures with weak foundations blown away some distance; cars thrown and large missiles generated.
5	261-318	262-317	5	Over 200	Incredible damage - Strong frame houses leveled off foundations and swept away; automobile-sized missiles fly through the air in excess of 100 meters (109 yds.); trees debarked; incredible phenomena will occur.



Identified Hazard: Tornado



Earthstar Geo

- Magnitude
- EF0
 - EF1
 - EF2
- Major Roads
- Taney County
- City Boundaries



Prepared 11/18/2021 by



Identified Hazard: Tornado

- 12 occurrences in Taney County from 2001-2020
- 9 damaging events resulted in \$15,795,000 in property damage and 38 injuries
- 60% chance of a tornado in any given year
- Highest tornado ranked at F2/EF2

Scale	Occurrences/%	Damages	Injuries/Fatalities
F0/EF0	7/58%	\$245,000	1/0
F1/EF1	4/33%	\$550,000	0/0
F2/EF2	1/8%	\$15,000,000	37/0
F3/EF3	0/0	-	-
F4/EF4	0/0	-	-
F5/EF5	0/0	-	-
Total		\$15,795,000	38/0

Identified Hazard: Tornado




Tornadoes in Taney County 2001-2020

Date	Beginning Location	Ending Location	Length (Miles)	Width (Yards)	F/EF Rating	Death	Injury	Property Damage	Crop Damage
03/11/2006	Branson	Forsyth	8	20	F0	0	0	\$0	\$0
01/08/2008	Branson Mem. Arpt	Pt. Lookout Arpt	2.88	20	EF0	0	0	\$75,000	\$0
05/08/2009	Swan	Swan	2.76	150	EF1	0	0	\$500,000	\$0
04/24/2010	Branson Mem. Arpt	Branson Mem. Arpt	0.51	70	EF0	0	0	\$20,000	\$0
05/22/2011	Day	Day	4.75	200	EF1	0	0	\$50,000	\$0
02/29/2012	Branson Mem. Arpt	Kissee Mills	16.54	400	EF2	0	37	\$15,000,000	\$0
03/09/2017	Ike	Ike	0.1	75	EF0	0	0	\$20,000	\$0
03/09/2017	Forsyth	Forsyth	1.9	100	EF0	0	0	\$100,000	\$0
05/19/2017	Branson Mem. Arpt	Branson Mem. Arpt	0.25	75	EF0	0	1	\$10,000	\$0
05/19/2017	Rockaway Beach	Taneyville	8.7	200	EF1	0	0	\$0	\$0
04/30/2019	Protem	Protem	1.72	100	EF1	0	0	\$0	\$0
04/30/2019	Branson Mem. Arpt	Branson	1.02	75	EF0	0	0	\$20,000	\$0
Total									



Identified Hazard: Wildfire

- Areas that abut wildland vegetation and that intermingle with wildland are most at risk for wildfire






Identified Hazard: Wildfire

- NCEI reported 3 wildfires from 2001-2020
 - These incidents caused \$275,000 in damages
- MDC reports 1,169 wildfires from 2001-2020
 - Some events reported by multiple agencies
 - 423 buildings threatened, 41 damaged, and 16 destroyed
 - 12,749 total acres burned


Identified Hazard: Wildfire

Year	Number of Wildfires	Buildings Destroyed	Buildings Damaged	Buildings Threatened	Acres Burned
2001	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
2002	1	n/a	n/a	n/a	30
2003	5	n/a	n/a	n/a	17
2004	33	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,725
2005	16	n/a	n/a	n/a	432
2006	30	n/a	n/a	n/a	1,255
2007	16	0	1	9	17
2008	21	0	0	16	182
2009	155	1	6	40	1,105
2010	109	0	5	45	648
2011	125	0	8	48	1,179
2012	139	0	2	61	1,852
2013	88	7	3	36	394
2014	105	0	6	48	332
2015	73	1	3	29	174.2
2016	95	2	4	14	950
2017	88	0	0	32	354.29
2018	30	4	0	19	1,784.42
2019	12	1	3	25	22.77
2020	28	0	0	1	296.08
Totals	1,169	16	41	423	12,749.76

Critical and Essential Facilities



- Critical Facilities**
- Fire Station
 - Police Station
 - Hospital
 - Major Roads
 - Floodplain
 - Taney County



- Bridge Condition**
- Good
 - Fair
 - Poor
 - Taney County

Prepared 11/18/2021 by



Prepared 11/16/2021 by



Vulnerability

- Which hazards is your jurisdiction most at risk?
- What facilities and/or areas are most at risk to those hazards?
- What existing mechanism are in place to help mitigate negative consequences?

Mitigation Strategies

- Review old strategies from previous Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Determine current status and relevance
- Modify, keep, remove previous strategies
- Develop new strategies

Mitigation Action Sheet



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Future Meetings

Third Tuesday of the month, January – May, 1:00 PM, Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth

- Meeting 3: March 15
- Meeting 4: April 19
- Meeting 5: May 17



Draft Plan Due to SEMA: June 21, 2022

Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@MissouriState.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #3

March 22, 2022

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Mitigation goals and actions
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Participation (as of 3-21-22)

Taney County Jurisdiction Participation Information											
	2017 Pa	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
Jurisdiction		Mtg 1	Mtg 2	Mtg 3	Mtg 4	Mtg 5	Capabilities Questionnaire	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Taney County	y	x	x				x				
City of Branson	y	x	x				x				
City of Forsyth	y	x	x				x	II			
City of Hollister	y										
City of Merriam Woods	y										
City of Rockaway Beach	y	x	x				x	I			
Village of Bull Creek	y	x					x	II			
Village of Kirbyville	n										
Village of Taneyville	n										



Participation (as of 3-21-22)

Taney County Jurisdiction Participation Information											
	2017 Pa	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
School Districts											
Bradleyville R-I School District	y										
Branson R-IV School District	y	x	x				x	l			
Forsyth R-III School District	y	x	x					l	x		
Hollister R-V School District	y		x				x	l			
Kirbyville R-VI School District	y	x	x				x	l			
Mark Twain R-VIII School District	n		x				x	l			
Taneyville R-II School District	y	x	x				x				
Ozarks Technical Community College - Table Rock Campus	y						x				
Special Districts											
Central Taney County Fire Protection District	y		x								
Western Taney County Fire Protection District	y	x	x				x				
Taney County Regional Sewer District	y						x				
Protem Fire Protection District	n										
Point Lookout Fire Protection District	n										
Bradleyville Rural Fire Department	n										
Taney County Ambulance District	n										



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Today and Meeting #4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting #5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Presidential Disaster Declarations 2000-Present (21)

Disaster #	Description	Declaration Date	Incident Period	IA or PA
4552	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	7/9/2020	5/3/2020 – 5/4/2020	PA
4490	COVID-19 pandemic	3/26/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	IA and PA
3482	Missouri COVID-19	3/13/2020	1/20/2020 - continuing	PA
4451	Severe storms, tornadoes, flooding	6/9/2019	4/29/2019 – 6/6/2019	IA and PA
4317	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	6/2/2017	4/28/2017 – 5/11/2017	IA and PA
4250	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	1/21/2016	12/23/2015 – 1/9/2016	IA and PA
3374	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	1/2/2016	12/22/2015 – 1/9/2016	N/A
4238	Severe storms, tornadoes, straight-line winds, and flooding	8/7/2015	5/15/2015 – 6/27/2015	PA
4144	Severe storms, straight-line winds, and flooding	9/6/2013	8/2/2013 – 8/14/2013	PA
3317	Severe winter storm	2/3/2011	1/31/2011 – 2/5/2011	PA
1980	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	5/9/2011	4/19/2011 – 5/6/2011	PA
3303	Severe winter storm	1/30/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1822	Severe winter storm	2/17/2009	1/26/2009 – 1/28/2009	PA
1809	Severe storms, flooding, and a tornado	11/13/2008	9/11/2008 – 9/24/2008	IA and PA
1773	Severe storms and flooding	6/25/2008	6/1/2008 – 8/13/2008	PA
1749	Severe storms and flooding	3/19/2008	3/17/2008 – 5/9/2008	PA
3281	Severe winter storms	12/12/2007	12/8/2007 – 12/15/2007	PA
1631	Severe storms, tornadoes, and flooding	3/16/2006	3/8/2006 – 3/13/2006	IA and PA
3232	Hurricane Katrina evacuation	9/10/2005	8/29/2008 – 10/1/2005	PA
1463	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2003	5/4/2003 – 5/30/2003	IA
1412	Storms, tornadoes, and flooding	05/06/2002	4/24/2002 – 6/10/2002	IA and PA



Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Goals are general guidelines that explain what you want to achieve- long-term, broad, policy-type statements.
- Mitigation Actions are specific actions that help achieve goals.
 - Losses from hazards can be reduced if communities take action before the next disaster
 - Long term and cumulative benefits
 - Some may be low-cost, readily adopted
 - Some may be dependent on available funding or best implemented following a disaster

Mitigation: Goals

- Goal 1) Protect the lives and livelihoods of all citizens.
- Goal 2) Reduce the potential impact of natural disasters to property, infrastructure, and the local economy.
- Goal 3) Ensure continued operation of government, emergency functions, and critical infrastructure in a disaster.



Mitigation: Actions

- Updating/Developing Mitigation Actions
 - Previous Actions- status updates are required for all actions from previous plan – FEMA WILL NOT APPROVE WITHOUT
- Current Status
- New Actions – add new actions as appropriate



Mitigation: Goals and Actions

- Actions must be SMART

SMART



Mitigation Action Examples



Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance

Hazard Mitigation Grant Program, Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program, and Flood Mitigation Assistance Program

February 27, 2015



Federal Emergency Management Agency
Department of Homeland Security
500 C Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20472



Mitigation Ideas

A Resource for Reducing Risk to Natural Hazards

January 2013



Mitigation Action Examples: *Hazard Mitigation Assistance Guidance, 2015 (page 33)*



Table 3: Eligible Activities by Program

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Flooding
 - **Remove existing structures from flood hazard areas** - Communities may remove structures from flood-prone areas to minimize future flood losses by acquiring and demolishing or relocating structures from voluntary property owners and preserving lands subject to repetitive flooding.
 - **Improve stormwater drainage system capacity** - Installing, re-routing, or increasing the capacity of a storm drainage system; increasing dimensions of drainage culverts in flood-prone areas; increasing capacity of stormwater detention and retention basins
 - **Protect critical facilities** - Requiring that all critical facilities including emergency operations centers (EOC), police stations, and fire department facilities be located outside of flood-prone areas; installing/upgrading stormwater pumping stations; installing back-up generators for pumping and lift stations in sanitary sewer systems along with other measures (e.g., alarms, meters, remote controls, and switchgear upgrades).
- Dam failure
 - N/A
- Earthquakes
 - **Protect critical facilities** - Conducting seismic retrofitting for critical public facilities most at risk to earthquakes; requiring bracing of generators, elevators, and other vital equipment in hospitals; reviewing construction plans for all bridges to determine their susceptibility to collapse and retrofitting problem bridges.

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Land subsidence/sinkholes
 - **Manage development in high-risk areas** – prohibiting and/or restricting developing in areas with soils that is considered poor or unsuitable for development
 - **Remove existing structures from subsidence hazard areas** – to prevent property loss, acquire and demolish or relocate buildings and infrastructure in high-risk areas
- Drought
 - **Retrofit water supply systems** – design water delivery systems to accommodate drought events; developing new or upgrading existing water delivery systems to eliminate breaks and leaks
 - **Purchase crop insurance** – preserve economic stability by encouraging agricultural interests to obtain crop insurance to cover potential losses due to drought
 - **Educate farmers on soil and water conservation practices** - Encourage farmers to implement soil and water conservation practices that foster soil health and improve soil quality to help increase resiliency and mitigate the impacts of droughts.
- Extreme temperatures
 - **Assist vulnerable populations** - Measures should be taken to ensure vulnerable populations are adequately protected from the impacts of extreme temperatures
 - **Educate property owners about freezing pipes** - Extreme cold may cause water pipes to freeze and burst, which can cause flooding inside a building.

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Severe thunderstorms
 - **Protect buildings from hail damage** - Structural bracing, shutters, laminated glass in window panes, and hail-resistant roof coverings or flashing in building design to minimize damage; installing hail resistant roofing and siding.
 - **Protect critical facilities and equipment from lightning damage** – install lightning protection devices such as lightning rods and grounding; install and maintain surge protection on critical electronic equipment
- Severe winter weather
 - **Protect power lines** – establish standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around lines; bury overhead powerlines; install redundancies and loopfeeds
 - **Reduce impacts to roadways** – plan for and maintain adequate road and debris clearing capabilities
 - **Conduct winter weather risk awareness activities** – inform the public about severe winter weather impacts; include safety strategies for severe weather in diver education classes and materials

Mitigation Action Examples: *Mitigation Ideas, 2013*

- Tornado
 - ***Saferoom construction*** – require construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes; encourage the construction of safe rooms in residential homes
 - ***Require wind resistant building techniques*** – require or encourage wind engineering measures and construction techniques that may include structural bracing, straps and clips, anchor bolts, interlocking roof shingles, etc
- Wildfire
 - ***Require or encourage fire-resistant construction techniques*** – encourage the use of non-combustible materials for new construction in wildfire hazard areas; use fire-resistant roofing and building materials in remodels, upgrades, or new construction
 - ***Retrofit at-risk structures with ignition-resistant materials*** – protect propane tanks or other external fuel sources

Approved FEMA HMA Grants in Taney County

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Sub-Grantee	Date Approved	Project Total
DR-1822-0006-R	Safe room	Hollister R-V School District	07/03/2014	\$1,736,102.00
DR-4317-0037-R	Acquisition of private real property	Bull Creek	12/01/2021	\$578,159.02
DR-1980-0002-R	Acquisition of private real property	Taney County	07/28/2015	\$2,560,003.00
DR-1980-0003-R	Acquisition of private real property	Branson	08/13/2015	\$602,430.00
DR-1412-0007-R	Acquisition of private real property	Bull Creek	07/30/2008	\$217,108
Total				\$5,693,802.02



Approved FEMA HMA Grants in Barry County (For Comparison)

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Sub-Grantee	Date Approved	Project Total
DR-1980-0035-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	Monett	2015-01-21	\$833,349.00
DR-1980-0040-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	MONETT SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	2014-12-09	\$2,549,772.00
DR-4250-0010-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	PURDY SCHOOL DISTRICT 2	2017-04-04	\$1,579,000.00
DR-1980-0064-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	CASSVILLE IV SCHOOL DISTRICT	2015-01-21	\$1,383,942.00
DR-1749-0004-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	MONETT SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	2013-02-11	\$914,578.00
DR-1847-0005-R	206.2: Safe Room (Tornado and Severe Wind Shelter) - Public Structures	CASSVILLE IV SCHOOL DISTRICT	2012-09-13	\$1,552,250.00
DR-4317-0042-R	200.1: Acquisition of Private Real Property (Structures and Land) - Riverine	Monett	2020-05-19	\$659,741.00
DR-1403-0010-F	600.1: Warning Systems (as a Component of a Planned, Adopted, and Exercised Risk Reduction Plan)	KAMO ELECTRIC	2007-02-27	\$57,576.00

FEMA Public Assistance Grants in Taney County

Disaster Declaration	Project Type	Project Size	Applicant	Project Total
1412	Flood Generated Emergency Protective Measures	Small	Taney County Road & Bridge	\$50,641.40
1749	Lift Station Pump Damage	Small	City of Rockaway Beach	\$4,638.48
1749	Beach / Sidewalk Erosion	Small	City of Rockaway Beach	\$9,075.30
1749	Campground Building Interior Damages	Small	City of Branson	\$2,571.50
1749	Road / Low Water Crossing Washout	Small	Taney County	\$8,076.41
1773	Sewer Line Damages	Small	City of Hollister	\$4,591.07
1773	Road & Culvert Washout	Large	Taney County	\$149,517.76
1980	SED-002-Boat Dock	Small	City of Rockaway Beach	\$33,925.00
1980	LAB-015 - Water Treatment Facility	Small	City of Hollister	\$8,097.58
1980	DLW-025 - Sewer Lift Station	Small	Taney County	\$28,723.16

STAPLEE Sheets



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the <u>Administrative</u> capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Action Sheets



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Future Meetings

Third Tuesday of the month, January – May, 1:00 PM, Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth

- Meeting 4: April 19
- Meeting 5: May 17



Draft Plan Due to SEMA: June 21, 2022

Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

Tcunningham@MissouriState.edu

For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org



Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #4

April 19, 2022

Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation overview
- Process recap
- Mitigation actions
- Future meeting dates



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Local Plan Participation (as of 4-14-22)

Jurisdiction	2017 Plan Participant	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents					
		Mtg 1	Mtg 2	Mtg 3	Mtg 4	Mtg 5	Capabilities Questionnaire	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	New Action Sheets	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Taney County	y	x	x	x			x	III		x		
City of Branson	y	x	x	x			x	IIII		x		
City of Forsyth	y	x	x	x			x	IIIIII		x		
City of Hollister	y			x								
City of Merriam Woods	y			x				I				
City of Rockaway Beach	y	x	x	x			x	I				
Village of Bull Creek	y	x		x			x	II		x		
Village of Kirbyville	n											
Village of Taneyville	n											



Local Plan Participation (as of 4-14-22)

Jurisdiction		Mtg 1	Mtg 2	Mtg 3	Mtg 4	Mtg 5	Capabilities Questionnaire	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	New Action Sheets	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
School Districts												
Bradleyville R-I School District	y											
Branson R-IV School District	y	x	x				x	I				
Forsyth R-III School District	y	x	x					I	x			
Hollister R-V School District	y	x	x				x	I				
Kirbyville R-VI School District	y	x	x				x	I				
Mark Twain R-VIII School District	n		x	x			x	I				
Taneyville R-II School District	y	x	x				x					
Ozarks Technical Community College - Table Rock Campus	y						x					
Special Districts												
Central Taney County Fire Protection District	y		x									
Western Taney County Fire Protection District	y	x	x	x			x	III		x		
Taney County Regional Sewer District	y			x			x	I				
Protem Fire Protection District	n											
Point Lookout Fire Protection District	n											
Bradleyville Rural Fire Department	n											
Taney County Ambulance District	n											



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Meeting 3 and 4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting #5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation Actions Prioritization

STAPLEE Worksheet:

Social

Technical

Administrative

Political

Legal

Economical

Environmental



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)		

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Problems being Mitigated: What is the issue?
 - Estimated Cost: Do your best based on your jurisdiction; a range of \$ is fine
 - Benefits: What do you anticipate the benefits of this action?
 - Responsible & Supporting Organization/Department: What position or department will be in charge of implementing the action? Police, Fire, Administration, etc.
 - Timeline for Completion: Can be a range of time and up to five years, ongoing



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Potential Fund Sources:
 - Local Funds
 - Special (streets, utility, etc.) funds
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM, FMA
 - MDC, DNR, USDA, EDA Grants
 - Private grants or donations
 - Loans or bonds



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources

- SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM (replaced with BRIC), FMA
- <https://sema.dps.mo.gov/docs/programs/LRMF/mitigation/HMA-guidance-508-2-27-2015.pdf>

Cost Share Requirements:

Programs	Mitigation Activity (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Recipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Subrecipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)
HMGP	75/25	100/0	—/— ⁽¹⁾
PDM	75/25	75/25	75/25
PDM - subrecipient is small and impoverished community	90/10	75/25	90/10
PDM - Tribal Recipient/subrecipient is small and impoverished	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - insured properties and planning grants	75/25	75/25	75/25
FMA - repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - severe repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	100/0	100/0	100/0



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Grants – <https://mdc.mo.gov/community-conservation/community-conservation-funding-opportunities>
 - *Community Conservation Grant* – promotes urban wildlife habitat improvement, encourages organizational partnerships for land stewardship, and supports the training of partner staff to manage natural landscapes
 - *Urban Conservation Cost Share* – promotes sustainable development practices and the establishment of natural resource conservation practices in municipal and developing areas.
 - *Tree Resource Improvement and Management (TRIM) Grant* - encourages communities to have a sustainable, balanced, and comprehensive community forestry program based on a current tree inventory and managed with the guidance of a community forestry professional
 - *Missouri Conversation Heritage Foundation, Stream Stewardship Trust Fund*- low water crossing replacements for qualifying streams

Mitigation Actions Implementation


- U.S. Department of Agriculture– www.usda.gov
 - *Rural Development*- community facilities, special evaluation assistance for rural communities (water and waste projects), water and waste disposal loan and grant program
 - *Emergency Watershed Protection Program*- remove debris from stream channels, culverts, bridges; correct damaged drainage facilities
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Missouri Department of Economic Development
 - *Public Works and Economic Development Facilities Assistance* – construction, non-construction, technical assistance, etc.
 - *Missouri Infrastructure Development Opportunities Commission Program* – infrastructure improvements, priority to water and sewer address public health
 - *Public Entity Loan Program* – tax-exempt bonds for public buildings, jails, infrastructure, acquisition of land, demolition of buildings
 - *Community Development Block Grant* – Disaster Recovery



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM, FMA
 - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
 - Tied to disaster declarations; Equation tied to amount of disaster assistance
 - Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Nationally Competitive
 - Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Must be NFIP
 - SRL or RL properties

HMGP Cost-Share Example



Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Local Planning Mechanisms:
 - Comprehensive Plan
 - Capital Improvement Plan
 - School Infrastructure Plan
 - Emergency Operations Plan
 - Budget process
 - Report of Progress:
 - If the action is continuing, what progress, if any, has been made



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

(1) Miscellaneous/Other indicates that any proposed action will be evaluated on its own merit against program requirements. Eligible projects will be approved provided funding is available.

Future Meetings

Meeting 5:
May 17, 1:00 PM

- Final Wrap-Up
- Plan Maintenance

Plan due to SEMA by June 21



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Contact Information

Thomas Cunningham

Associate Planner

417-836-5281

TCunningham@missouristate.edu



For more information, visit our website

www.smcog.org

Taney County Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting #5

May 17, 2022

Thomas Cunningham, Associate Planner
Southwest Missouri Council of Governments



Outline

- Participation Overview
- Process Recap
- Funding and Implementation Mechanisms
- Mitigation Action Tracking
- Plan Adoption and Maintenance



Local Plan Participation

- Attend a minimum of 2 Mitigation Planning Committee meetings
- Documentation of Time and Effort
- Adoption of the Hazard Mitigation Plan
- Complete Capabilities Survey
 - Current Plans
 - Additional Questions
 - Provide Insured Replacement Cost for Structures and Contents



Time & Effort Reporting

- Hourly rate for volunteer time in Missouri:
 - \$25.96
- Federal mileage rate:
 - 58¢ per mile



Local Plan Participation (as of 5-16-22)

Jurisdiction	Meeting Attendance					Required Documents				
	Mtg 1	Mtg 2	Mtg 3	Mtg 4	Mtg 5	Capabilities Questionnaire	Time Sheet(s)	Adoption Resolution	Action Sheets	STAPLEE sheets
Taney County	x	x	x	x		x				
City of Branson	x	x	x			x				
City of Forsyth	x	x	x	x		x				
City of Hollister			x	x		x				
City of Merriam Woods			x	x		x		x		x
City of Rockaway Beach	x	x	x			x	I			
Village of Bull Creek	x		x	x		x		x	x	x
Village of Kirbyville										
Village of Taneyville										



9 Tasks in the Planning Process

- Task 1: Determine the Planning Area and Resources → Completed
- Task 2: Build the Planning Team → YOU ARE THE TEAM!
- Task 3: Create an Outreach Strategy → Meeting 1
- Task 4: Review Community Capabilities → Questionnaire
- Task 5: Conduct a Risk Assessment → Meeting 2
- Task 6: Develop a Mitigation Strategy → Meeting 3 and 4
- Task 7: Review and Adopt the Plan → Meeting 5 and on your own
- Task 8: Keep the Plan Current → That's YOU!
- Task 9: Create a Safe and Resilient Community → That's YOU!



Hazards Identified

- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Extreme Temperatures
- Land Subsidence/ Sinkholes
- Riverine and Flash Flood
- Severe Thunderstorm/ High Winds/ Lightning/ Hail
- Tornado
- Wildfire
- Severe Winter Weather

Mitigation Action Prioritization

STAPLEE Worksheet:

Social

Technical

Administrative

Political

Legal

Economical

Environmental



STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:		
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)	
Name of Action or Project:		
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number)		

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Complete:
 - Problems being Mitigated: What is the issue?
 - Estimated Cost: Do your best based on your jurisdiction; a range of \$ is fine
 - Benefits: What do you anticipate the benefits of this action?
 - Responsible & Supporting Organization/Department: What position or department will be in charge of implementing the action? Police, Fire, Administration, etc.
 - Timeline for Completion: Can be a range of time and up to five years, ongoing



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Potential Fund Sources:
 - Local Funds
 - Special (streets, utility, etc.) funds
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM/BRIC, FMA
 - MDC, DNR, USDA, EDA Grants
 - Private grants or donations
 - Loans or bonds



Action Worksheet	
Name of Jurisdiction:	
Risk / Vulnerability	
Hazard(s) Addressed:	List the hazard or hazards that will be addressed by this action
Problem being Mitigated:	Provide a brief description of the problem that the action will address. Utilize the problem statement developed in the risk assessment.
Action or Project	
Applicable Goal Statement:	Choose the goal statement that applies to this action
Action/Project Number:	Insert a unique action number for this action for future tracking purposes. This can be a combination of the jurisdiction name, followed by the goal number and action number (i.e. Joplin1.1)
Name of Action or Project:	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention; Structure and Infrastructure Projects; Natural Systems Protection; Education and Outreach; Emergency Services
Action or Project Description:	Describe the action or project.
Estimated Cost:	Provide an estimate of the cost to implement this action. This can be accomplished with a range of estimated costs.
Benefits:	Provide a narrative describing the losses that will be avoided by implementing this action. If dollar amounts of avoided losses are known, include them as well.
Plan for Implementation	
Responsible Organization/Department:	Which organization will be responsible for tracking this action? Be specific to include the specific department or position within a department.
Supporting Organization/Department:	Which organization/department will assist in implementation of this action?
Action/Project Priority:	Include the STAPLEE score and Priority (H, M, L)
Timeline for Completion:	How many months/years to complete.
Potential Fund Sources:	List specific funding sources that may be used to pay for the implementation of the action.
Local Planning Mechanisms to be Used in Implementation, if any:	
Progress Report	
Action Status:	Indicate status as New, Continuing Not Started, or Continuing in Progress)
Report of Progress:	For Continuing actions only, indicate the report on progress. If the action is not started, indicate any barriers encountered to initiate the action. If the action is in progress, indicate the activity that has occurred to date.

Mitigation Actions Implementation

- Funding Sources

- SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM (replaced with BRIC), FMA
- <https://sema.dps.mo.gov/docs/programs/LRMF/mitigation/HMA-guidance-508-2-27-2015.pdf>

Cost Share Requirements:

Programs	Mitigation Activity (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Recipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)	Subrecipient Management Costs (Percent of Federal/Non-Federal Share)
HMGP	75/25	100/0	—/— ⁽¹⁾
PDM	75/25	75/25	75/25
PDM - subrecipient is small and impoverished community	90/10	75/25	90/10
PDM - Tribal Recipient/subrecipient is small and impoverished	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - insured properties and planning grants	75/25	75/25	75/25
FMA - repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	90/10	90/10	90/10
FMA - severe repetitive loss property ⁽²⁾	100/0	100/0	100/0



Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- Funding Sources
 - SEMA/FEMA Grants: HMGP, PDM/BRIC, FMA
 - Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP)
 - Tied to disaster declarations; Equation tied to amount of disaster assistance
 - Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Nationally Competitive
 - Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC)
 - Focus on infrastructure and community lifelines
 - Rollout late 2020
 - Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA)
 - Annual Appropriation
 - Must be NFIP
 - SRL or RL properties

HMGP Cost-Share Example



Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- U.S. Department of Agriculture– www.usda.gov
 - Rural Development- community facilities, special evaluation assistance for rural communities (water and waste projects), water and waste disposal loan and grant program
 - Emergency Watershed Protection Program- remove debris from stream channels, culverts, bridges; correct damaged drainage facilities
- U.S. Department of Commerce, Missouri Department of Economic Development
 - Public Works and Economic Development Facilities Assistance – construction, non-construction, technical assistance, etc.
 - Missouri Infrastructure Development Opportunities Commission Program – infrastructure improvements, priority to water and sewer address public health
 - Public Entity Load Program – tax-exempt bonds for public buildings, jails, infrastructure, acquisition of land, demolition of buildings
 - Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery

Mitigation Actions – Potential Fund Sources

- Missouri Department of Conservation (MDC) Grants – <https://mdc.mo.gov>
 - Community Conservation Funding – stream restoration, prairie reconstruction, forest management, wetland enhancement
 - Tree Resource Improvement and Maintenance (TRIM) Grant – tree inventory, hazardous tree removal or pruning, tree planting, training for community forest care
 - Cost-share for wildfire-fighting equipment
 - Missouri Conversation Heritage Foundation, Stream Stewardship Trust Fund- low water crossing replacements for qualifying streams

Mitigation Actions Implementation

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
1. Mitigation Projects	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Demolition	✓	✓	✓
Property Acquisition and Structure Relocation	✓	✓	✓
Structure Elevation	✓	✓	✓
Mitigation Reconstruction	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Historic Residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Dry Floodproofing of Non-residential Structures	✓	✓	✓
Generators	✓	✓	
Localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	✓
Non-localized Flood Risk Reduction Projects	✓	✓	
Structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	✓	✓	✓
Non-structural Retrofitting of Existing Buildings and Facilities	✓	✓	✓
Safe Room Construction	✓	✓	

Eligible Activities	HMGP	PDM	FMA
Wind Retrofit for One- and Two-Family Residences	✓	✓	
Infrastructure Retrofit	✓	✓	✓
Soil Stabilization	✓	✓	✓
Wildfire Mitigation	✓	✓	
Post-Disaster Code Enforcement	✓		
Advance Assistance	✓		
5 Percent Initiative Projects	✓		
Miscellaneous/Other ⁽¹⁾	✓	✓	✓
2. Hazard Mitigation Planning	✓	✓	✓
Planning Related Activities	✓		
3. Technical Assistance			✓
4. Management Cost	✓	✓	✓

(1) Miscellaneous/Other indicates that any proposed action will be evaluated on its own merit against program requirements. Eligible projects will be approved provided funding is available.



Plan Adoption and Maintenance

- Each participating jurisdiction must have adopted mitigation plan
- FEMA regulations require complete update every 5 years
- FEMA requires a formal plan maintenance process to ensure that the mitigation plan remains active and relevant



Plan Adoption and Maintenance

- Who, how, and when will the plan be monitored, evaluated, and updated?
 - Through Emergency Management Coordination
 - After hazard events
 - Committee members report on status of mitigation actions
 - Involve and notify public of maintenance process- press release, discussion at public meetings

Mitigation Actions- Tracking

- FEMA Mitigation Action Tracker- disabled
- Track on your own
 - Spreadsheet
 - Word document
 - Print and put in notebook
- Report annually



Draft Plan

- Need missing information ASAP for final draft
- Rough draft will be posted on website and sent to all committee members
 - Please review and submit feedback

Draft Plan due to SEMA: June 21, 2022



Thank you for your time!

Any questions?



Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 5

Tuesday, May 17

1:00 PM

Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 4

Tuesday, April 19

1:00 PM

Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3

Tuesday, March 15

1:00 PM

Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 2

Tuesday, Feb 15

1:00 PM

Taney County Courthouse, Forsyth



Upcoming Meeting • Hazard Mitigation



Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Kickoff Meeting
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Tuesday, January 18, 2022 - 1:00 PM
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Scott Starnett	Planning Admin	Taney County	scott.starnett@taneycountymo.gov
Sean Woods	Asst Supt	Taney	swoods@hollister-schools.com
Chip Annette	Dir of Operations	Brawson Schools	annette@brawson.k12.mo.us
Jennifer Jackson	Admin Asst	Kavanaugh School	jenniferjackson@peleat.net
Tara Roberts	Supt.	Taneyville School	tara.roberts@taneyville.k12.mo.us
Carless Osborn	Supt.	Kirbyville R-III School	carless.osborn@kirbyvillebraves.org
James Eschyl	Director of Public Works	Bull Creek	bullcreek@scanned.com
TED MARTIN	FIRE CHIEF / EMD	BRANSON FIRE DEPT	tmartin@bransonmo.gov
Chris Bernick	Fire Chief / Emergency Manager	Taney County Emergency Mgmt Western Taney County Fire	chris.bernick@westerntaneyfire.com
MESSA DEWITT	EMERGENCY MGMT Asst	TANEY COUNTY	melissa.dewitt@taneycountymo.gov
William Bomer	Fire Chief	City of Forsyth	Bomer@forsythmo.gov
Grant Boyer	Assist. Supt	Forsyth R-111	gboyer@forsythmo.gov

7:51
 1:42
 9:19 PM

Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 2
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Tuesday, February 15, 2022, 2022 – 1:00 PM
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
NATHAN BOWER	fire chief / EMD	Forsyth Fire Dept City of Forsyth	n.bower@forsythmo.gov
MELISSA DOCKWORTH	ASST. EMD	TANEY COUNTY Emergency Mgmt.	melissa.dockworth@taneycountymo.gov
Carless Osbourn	Supt.	Kirbyville R-VI	carless.osbourn@Kirbyvillebraves.org
Presley Wozart	PAZ tech permit tech	PAZ Dept. TL	presley.wozart@taneycounty.gov
JOE DONLEY	Supt.	Mark Twain R-VIII	donleyj@marktwain.k12.mo.us
CHIP ARNETTE	DIR. OF OPERATIONS	BRANSON R-IV Schools	arnette@branson.k12.mo.us
Chris Berndt	Fire Chief / EMA	Western Taney County Fire District / Taney County EM	Chris.berndt@westerntaneyfire.com
Sean Woods	Asst Supt	Hollister R-V	swoods@hollisterschools.com
Tara Roberts	Supt.	Taneyville R-II	tara.roberst@taneyville.k12.mo.us
TED MARTIN	BRANSON FD	BRANSON FD	TMARTIN@BRANSON-MO.GOV
Jeremy Chaney	Lieutenant 1 Central Taney	Central Taney FPD	cboy2usa@gmail.com
Jennifer Jackson	Admin Asst.	Kirkway Beach Pol	jenniferjackson.supt@outlook.com

Taney County Natural Hazard Mitigation Plan Update Meeting 3
Mitigation Planning Committee Meeting
Tuesday, March 22, 2022, 2022 – 2:00 PM
Sign-in (Please Print)

Name	Title	Jurisdiction/Organization	Email
Chris Bembel	Fire Chief / Emergency Manager	Western Taney County Fire District	Chris.Bembel@westerntaney fire.com
Melissa Duckworth	Em Mgmt Asst.	Taney County DEM	melissa.duckworth@ taneycountymo.gov
Joe Donley	Superintendent	Mark Twain R-8 School	donleyj@marktwain.k12.mo.us
Jennifer Jackson	Admin	Rockaway Beach Pd	jenniferjackson.rhpd@outlook.com
Nicola Roche	City Clerk ^{Merion Linn}	Taney County	clerk@mer-mo.org
Lyn Wienke	Admin Assistant	Taney Co Regional Sewer	B.lwienke@tcrsd.org
Presley Cozart	Permit Tech	Taney Co Planning & Zoning	presley.cozart@taneycountymo.gov
Kathy Knight	Bldg Official	City of Hollister	bldgofficial@cityofhollister.com
Rick Ziegenfuss	City Administrator	City of Hollister	cityadministrator@cityofhollister.com
Nathan Bomer	Fire Chief / EM	City of Forsyth	NBomer@forsythmo.gov
James Felton	Director of Public Works	Ball Creek	BallCreek@Sussexvilleamll.com
BEN BOUNER	DIVISION CHIEF/EMPA	CITY OF BRANSON	BBOUNER@BRANSONMO.GOV

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness – Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio purchase – purchase and install NOAA weather radios, in schools, government buildings, parks, etc	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, emergency services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen NOAA Radios - Promote the use of NOAA weather radios by all residents and businesses.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, nursing homes, and appropriate government buildings	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness – Provide guidance on the creation or updates of tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County
------------------------------	--------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.8
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
--	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
---	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
---	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
--	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
---	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
---	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
---	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	3
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	22
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
--	---	---

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
--	--	---

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	13
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	35
---	----

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Heating and Cooling Centers - Coordinate outreach to at-risk populations, including establishing and promoting accessible heating and cooling centers in the community.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Utility Relocation - Encourage utilities to relocate and/or place underground infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Update Codes - Adopt updated international codes and land use development policies, where applicable.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Runoff Ordinance Enforcement – enforce measures to control runoff from developing areas outside the floodplain where ordinances have not been enacted	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		
Could it be implemented quickly?		
STAPLEE SCORE		
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Requirement Enforcement - Communities in Taney County that participate in NFIP will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Waterway Maintenance – work with regulatory agencies to obtain appropriate permits to maintain waterways in order to reduce the impact of flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		46

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris Disposal - Identify debris disposal and burning locations in community to facilitate recovery from large scale hazard events	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation in Plans - Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive plans and storm water management policies.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Infrastructure Coordination - Continue coordination to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce damage from flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		19
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County
------------------------------	--------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	3.7
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	
Evaluation Rating	Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	26

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, EMD/ Melissa Duckworth, Asst.
417-546-7233

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room at the new fire station	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Charlie Huston, Assistant Fire Chief (417-243-2790)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Fire-Resistant Construction - Encourage the use of non-combustible materials for new construction, and fire-resistant landscaping techniques and planting materials in wildfire hazard areas.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Siren Maintenance - Maintain outdoor warning sirens in public areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen NOAA Radios - Promote the use of NOAA weather radios by all residents and businesses.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, nursing homes, and appropriate government buildings	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Heating and Cooling Centers - coordinate outreach to at-risk populations, including establishing and promoting accessible heating and cooling centers in the community.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		22

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Utility Relocation - Encourage utilities to relocate and/or place underground infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment Resilience - Ensure that wastewater treatment systems will function during flood and drought events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Update Codes - Adopt updated international codes and land use development policies, where applicable.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Requirement Enforcement - Communities in Taney County that participate in NFIP will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Water Rescue - Enhance water rescue capabilities.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris Disposal - Identify debris disposal and burning locations in community to facilitate recovery from large scale hazard events	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation in Plans - Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive plans and storm water management policies.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Infrastructure Coordination - Continue coordination to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce damage from flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Branson	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.8	
Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program – Implement the use of Nixle, and City software upgrades	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Mark Brown Fire Chief - EMD
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Fire-Resistant Construction – update building codes and fire codes to 2021	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bann - Fire Chief/EMO
4/17 - 546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Danner Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.4
Name of Action or Project:	Siren Maintenance - Maintain outdoor warning sirens in public areas
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief/EMD
917-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc. Review and recommend – Weather Ready Community Program	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Power Fire Chief / END
917-546-9024

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen NOAA Radios - Promote the use of NOAA weather radios by all residents and businesses. Continue finding grant funding for place new weather radios and replacements	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

KAYHAN BOWEN Fire Chief / EMD
412-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices. Implement the use of nixle and city software upgrades	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Brown Fire Chief / EMO
417-546-3174

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3274

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Binner Fire Chief/EMD
617-346-3124

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Brown Fire Chief/EMD
417 586-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.11	
Name of Action or Project:	Heating and Cooling Centers - Organize outreach to at-risk populations, including establishing and promoting accessible heating and cooling centers in the community.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bauer Fire Chief EMD
407-546-3174

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	1.12
Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction - Construct a FEMA approved safe room at a new fire station or City Hall
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3		
Probably NO = 1		
Maybe YES = 2		
Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number) Mashon Bower Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.13	
Name of Action or Project:	Generator installation - Install Back-Up Generators at Forsyth City Hall, Forsyth Pd and Fire Station's 1 & 2 and or Applicable City Buildings.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Finckel/FEMA
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.14	
Name of Action or Project:	Water rescue response - enhance water rescue capabilities by purchasing PPE-response equipment such as a boat	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bauer Fire Chief (EMD)
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.15	
Name of Action or Project:	Smoke alarm – provide education and seek funding for smoke alarm programs throughout city limits	
Mitigation Category:	Education and outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Waylon Bonner Fire Chief/EMD
917.546.3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
417-346-3274

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Utility Relocation - Encourage utilities to relocate and/or place underground infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
477-546-3274

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Update Codes -- review and update building, electrical, and fire codes to 2021 codes	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Asaph Bower Fire Chief/EMD
417-546-3024

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Runoff Ordinance Enforcement - Enforce measures to control runoff from developing areas outside the floodplain where ordinances have not been enacted.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nashon Bower Fire Chief / EMD
417-592-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Waterway Maintenance - Work with regulatory agencies to obtain appropriate permits to maintain waterways in order to reduce the impact of flooding.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
477-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.7
Name of Action or Project:	Wildfire Risk Assessment – implement the Community Wildfire Risk Assessment Program (Mo Dept of Conservation)
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		46

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Boner Fire Chief / EMD
 417-546-3774

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Wildfire response – enhance wildfire response by replacing response vehicles (brush trucks)	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bauer Fire Chief/EMD

407-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Firefighter Personnel – increase firefighter personnel for response to wildland fires and general fire department response.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

*Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMD
462-546-3074*

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating	
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	22

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / Emd
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		46

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Boner Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3974

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Water Inventory - Inventory alternative firefighting water sources.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Bower Fire Chief / EMS
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Debris Disposal - Identify debris disposal and burning locations in community to facilitate recovery from large scale hazard events	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Brown Fire Chief / EMD

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.5
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation in Plans - Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive plans and storm water management policies.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number) *Waymon Brown Fire Chief / EMD*

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.6
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Boney Fire Chief / EMD
412-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Infrastructure Coordination - Continue coordination to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce damage from flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Wadham Power Fire Chief / EMD
417-546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Forsyth	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.8	
Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nathan Baner Fire Chief / EMD
(417) 546-3074

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.2
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Fire-Resistant Construction - Encourage the use of non-combustible materials for new construction, and fire-resistant landscaping techniques and planting materials in wildfire hazard areas.
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach
-----------------------------	------------------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	0
STAPLEE SCORE	13

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen NOAA Radios - Promote the use of NOAA weather radios by all residents and businesses.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Utility Relocation - Encourage utilities to relocate and/or place underground infrastructure.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		11
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment Resilience - Ensure that wastewater treatment systems will function during flood and drought events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		14
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		28

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Update Codes - Adopt updated international codes and land use development policies, where applicable.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister
------------------------------	-------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	2.5
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Requirement Enforcement - Communities in Taney County that participate in NFIP will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Prevention
-----------------------------	------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
--	---

T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
---	---

A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
---	---

P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
--	---

L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
---	---

E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
---	---

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
---	---

Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
---	---

Could it be implemented quickly?	1
----------------------------------	---

STAPLEE SCORE	15
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		12
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation in Plans - Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive plans and storm water management policies.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Hollister	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Infrastructure Coordination - Continue coordination to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce damage from flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Kathy Knight, Building Official 417-337-9756

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Merriam Woods
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.7
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Probably NO = 1	Maybe YES = 2 Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D Bodman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 2.2

Name of Action or Project: **Utility Relocation** - Encourage utilities to relocate and/or place underground infrastructure.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

3

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

2

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

3

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

3

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

0

Could it be implemented quickly?

0

STAPLEE SCORE

20

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

5

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

10

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

15

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

35

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D. Prodan City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Merriam Woods
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.4
Name of Action or Project:	Water Rescue - Enhance water rescue capabilities.
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D Bodman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 2.7

Name of Action or Project: Waterway maintenance – work with regulatory agencies to obtain appropriate permits to maintain waterways in order to reduce the impact of flooding

Mitigation Category: Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

2

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

2

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

2

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

2

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

2

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

0

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

0

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

0

Could it be implemented quickly?

0

STAPLEE SCORE

10

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

5

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

8

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

13

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

~~10~~ 23

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Micole D Podman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.5

Name of Action or Project: **New Safe Rooms** - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, nursing homes, and appropriate government buildings

Mitigation Category: Structure and Infrastructure Projects

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating
 Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

3

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

3

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

3

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

3

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

2

Could it be implemented quickly?

1

STAPLEE SCORE

24

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

10

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

10

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

20

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

44

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D Bodman City Clerk 917-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.7

Name of Action or Project: **Safe Room Education** - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

3

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

3

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

3

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

3

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

1

Could it be implemented quickly?

1

STAPLEE SCORE

23

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

10

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

15

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

38

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Leticia D Rodman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 3.5

Name of Action or Project: Debris Disposal - Identify debris disposal and burning locations in community to facilitate recovery from large scale hazard events

Mitigation Category: Emergency Services

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

2

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

2

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

1

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

3

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

3

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

0

Could it be implemented quickly?

1

STAPLEE SCORE

18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

5

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

10

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

15

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

33

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D Bodman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.3

Name of Action or Project: **Citizen Preparedness** - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	0
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	12

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		24

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D. Palmer City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

2

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

2

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

0

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

0

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

0

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

0

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

0

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

0

Could it be implemented quickly?

0

STAPLEE SCORE

4

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

5

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

10

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

14

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D. Bodman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: City of Merriam Woods

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 3.1

Name of Action or Project: NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria

Evaluation Rating
 Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2
 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0

Score

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable

3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful?

2

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action?

1

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable?

2

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement?

3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial?

2

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**?

0

Will historic structures be saved or protected?

1

Could it be implemented quickly?

0

STAPLEE SCORE

16

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria

Evaluation Rating

Score

Will the implemented action result in lives saved?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.

5

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?

Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.

5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE

10

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)

26

High Priority
(30+ points)

Medium Priority
(25 - 29 points)

Low Priority
(<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nickolas Redman City Clerk 417-561-4341

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Merriam Woods
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	2.5
Name of Action or Project:	Runoff Ordinance Enforcement - enforce measures to control runoff from developing areas outside the floodplain where ordinances have not been enacted
Mitigation Category:	Prevention

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		0
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		0
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		10

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D. Rodman City Clerk 417-561-4344

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Merriam Woods
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.9
Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		25

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		45

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Nicole D. Hodman City Clerk 917-561-4844

`STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Fire-Resistant Construction - Encourage the use of non-combustible materials for new construction, and fire-resistant landscaping techniques and planting materials in wildfire hazard areas.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Siren Maintenance - Maintain outdoor warning sirens in public areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		18
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		47

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		46

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Update Codes - Adopt updated international codes and land use development policies, where applicable.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach
------------------------------	------------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	2.3
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Requirement Enforcement - Communities in Taney County that participate in NFIP will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Prevention
-----------------------------	------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	3
Could it be implemented quickly?	1
STAPLEE SCORE	23

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	City of Rockaway Beach	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		44

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		11
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen Preparedness - Promote participation in citizen preparedness activities, such as: Citizen Corps, CERT, Neighborhood Watch, Fire Corps, Amateur Radio, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk, 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms - Promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		0
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		7
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		21
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.6	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Room Education - Promote and distribute FEMA publication 320 which provides information on construction plans and cost estimates for building safe rooms in homes or small businesses, and cost estimates for construction	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Heating and Cooling Centers - Organize outreach to at-risk populations, including establishing and promoting accessible heating and cooling centers in the community.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.9	
Name of Action or Project:	Water conservation – replace existing water meters in the village with new ones that will read more accurately and cause the residents to conserve water in order to maintain an affordable water/sewer bill	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.10	
Name of Action or Project:	Building codes – Update building codes to make sure we are current	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		1
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		26

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Flood Mitigation - Acquire, elevate or flood-proof properties and critical infrastructure within flood hazard areas	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		0
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		27

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	---

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number) _____

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NFIP Requirement Enforcement - Communities in Taney County that participate in NFIP will enforce floodplain management requirements, including regulating all new and substantially improved construction in the Special Flood Hazard Areas (SFHAs).	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Waterway maintenance – work with regulatory agencies to obtain appropriate permits to maintain waterways in order to reduce the impact of flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		33

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Vegetation maintenance – enhance strategies and coordinate with utility providers to manage encroachment of vegetation in easements and rights of way	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29
<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Streambank restoration – enhance and repair streambanks and riparian buffers to help decrease streambank erosion and flood control	
Mitigation Category:	Natural Systems Protection	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		13
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		23

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	911 Addressing - Educate the public on the importance of and enforce visible 911 addressing.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Hazard Mitigation in Plans - Integrate hazard mitigation into comprehensive plans and storm water management policies.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Infrastructure Coordination - Continue coordination to promote infrastructure development practices that reduce damage from flooding	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.6	
Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.7	
Name of Action or Project:	Village hall generator – purchase and install a generator for the village hall which serves as an emergency operations center during emergencies	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk 417-561-1111

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Village of Bull Creek	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.8	
Name of Action or Project:	Water tower generator – purchase and install a generator for the water tower. The current generator is an old army field generator and is out of date.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Aaron Durso, Village Clerk

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Branson R-IV School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chip Arnette, Executive Director of
Operations, 417-336-1891

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Branson R-IV School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation Education – promote natural hazard educational programs in school communication and curriculum, where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		15
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		25

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chip Arnette, Executive Director of
Operations, 417-336-1891

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Branson R-IV School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms – add new safe rooms to school facilities as needed	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chip Arnette, Executive Director of
Operations, 417-336-1891

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Branson R-IV School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		1
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		1
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		1
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		1
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		10
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		20

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chip Arnette, Executive Director of
Operations, 417-336-1891

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Branson R-IV School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chip Arnette, Executive Director of
Operations, 417-336-1891

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation Education – promote natural hazard educational programs in school communication and curriculum, where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms – promote construction of safe rooms in new schools, daycares, and nursing homes	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Project	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness – create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership – partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercise	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		30

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Forsyth R-III School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37


<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)


 Susan Woods, Assistant Supt, 417 243
 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation Education – promote natural hazard educational programs in school communication and curriculum, where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		28
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt. 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		28
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	10
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		20
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		48

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt, 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt, 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	New Safe Rooms – add new safe rooms to school facilities as needed	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		0
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		0
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		0
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		0
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		9
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		19

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Hollister R-V School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		22
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		13
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

Sean Woods, Asst Supt, 417 243 4005

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Kirbyville R-VI School District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.1

Name of Action or Project: Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	2
Could it be implemented quickly?	2
STAPLEE SCORE	18

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Carless Osbourn, Supt. 417-527-7942
417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation Education – promote natural hazard educational programs in school communication and curriculum, where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carleen Downer, Supt. 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		1
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carleen Slawson, Supt, 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile hazard alert – promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25-29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carless Osburn, Supt., 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		18
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carlen Olson, Supt. 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		17
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	9
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		31

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 -29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carloss DeBourn, Supt., 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville R-VI School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		21
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Carlen Blount, Supt., 417-337-8913

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Kirbyville School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	New safe room	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and infrastructure projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2		
Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		0
STAPLEE SCORE		16
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		32

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		2
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		19
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		29

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	--	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Mitigation Education – promote natural hazard educational programs in school communication and curriculum, where feasible	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
---	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District
------------------------------	---------------------------------

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.4
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	Safe room construction – construct a safe room on school grounds and for the community. As a community with a large population of trailer homes, this is a very prevalent need. We would work with the Village or local fire department to give them access for the community to utilize
-----------------------------------	---

Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects
-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

STAPLEE Criteria	Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	1
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	1

STAPLEE SCORE	18
----------------------	----

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE	15
---------------------------------------	----

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)	33
---	----

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		2
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		2
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		20
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taneyville R-II School District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		1
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Tara Roberts, Superintendent, 417-546-5803

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Awareness Program - Use local and regional traditional media, and social media platforms, to raise awareness of mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		30
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.2	
Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		36

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.3	
Name of Action or Project:	Citizen NOAA Radios - Promote the use of NOAA weather radios by all residents and businesses.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		2
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		24
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		34

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.4	
Name of Action or Project:	Mobile Hazard Alert - Promote local severe weather alert applications for mobile communications devices.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		Score
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		2
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	6
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		37

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	1.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Safe Place Awareness - Create and update tornado/severe storm plans and identify strong, safe places in schools, large facilities, and other establishments serving the public.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		12
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Wastewater Treatment Resilience - Ensure that wastewater treatment systems will function during flood and drought events.	
Mitigation Category:	Structure and Infrastructure Projects	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		45

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.1	
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		42

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.2	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		25
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	5
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	5
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		10
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		35

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Taney County Regional Sewer District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.3	
Name of Action or Project:	GIS Development - Continue development of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to further identify, analyze, and map hazard prone areas to enhance decision making and facilities management.	
Mitigation Category:	Prevention, Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		3
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		27
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		43

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Western Taney County Fire Protection District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 1.2

Name of Action or Project: Fire-Resistant Construction – update building codes and fire codes to 2021

Mitigation Category: Prevention, Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		2
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		1
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	9
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		17
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Western Taney County Fire Protection District
------------------------------	---

Action or Project

Action/Project Number:	1.3
-------------------------------	-----

Name of Action or Project:	NOAA Radio Purchase - Purchase and install NOAA weather radios in schools, government buildings, parks, etc. Review and recommend – Weather Ready Community Program
-----------------------------------	--

Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach, Emergency Services
-----------------------------	--

STAPLEE Criteria	
Evaluation Rating	Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it Socially Acceptable	3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?	3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?	3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?	3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?	3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?	2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?	3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?	1
Could it be implemented quickly?	3
STAPLEE SCORE	24

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	8
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		38

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Western Taney County Fire Protection District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	2.1	
Name of Action or Project:	Dam Emergency Partnership - Partner with and participate in dam emergency planning and exercises.	
Mitigation Category:	Emergency Services	
STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	8
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		15
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Western Taney County Fire Protection District
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.1
Name of Action or Project:	NIMS Training - Promote and provide NIMS training and/or information for all elected officials, public administrators, school administrators, and community stakeholders.
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction: Western Taney County Fire Protection District

Action or Project

Action/Project Number: 3.2

Name of Action or Project: **Water Inventory** - Inventory alternative firefighting water sources.

Mitigation Category: Education and Outreach

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3	Maybe YES = 2	
Probably NO = 1	Definitely NO = 0	

S: Is it **Socially** Acceptable 3

T: Is it **Technically** feasible and potentially successful? 3

A: Does the jurisdiction have the **Administrative** capacity to execute this action? 3

P: Is it **Politically** acceptable? 3

L: Is there **Legal** authority to implement? 3

E: Is it **Economically** beneficial? 2

E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural **Environment**? 2

Will historic structures be saved or protected? 3

Could it be implemented quickly? 3

STAPLEE SCORE 25

Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
--	--------------------------	--------------

Will the implemented action result in lives saved? Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved. 7

Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages? Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages. 9

MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE 16

TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness) 41

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by (Name, Title, Phone Number) Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet

Name of Jurisdiction:	Western Taney County Fire Protection District
Action or Project	
Action/Project Number:	3.3
Name of Action or Project:	Water Rescue – Enhance water rescue capabilities
Mitigation Category:	Emergency services

STAPLEE Criteria		Score
Evaluation Rating		
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		2
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		0
Could it be implemented quickly?		3
STAPLEE SCORE		23
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	10
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	6
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		16
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		39

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by _____
 (Name, Title, Phone Number) Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440

STAPLEE Worksheet		
Name of Jurisdiction:	Western Taney County Fire Protection District	
Action or Project		
Action/Project Number:	3.5	
Name of Action or Project:	Funding Identification - Continue to monitor and identify funding from state and federal programs for hazard mitigation activities	
Mitigation Category:	Education and Outreach	
STAPLEE Criteria		
Evaluation Rating		Score
Definitely YES = 3 Maybe YES = 2 Probably NO = 1 Definitely NO = 0		
S: Is it Socially Acceptable		3
T: Is it Technically feasible and potentially successful?		3
A: Does the jurisdiction have the Administrative capacity to execute this action?		3
P: Is it Politically acceptable?		3
L: Is there Legal authority to implement?		3
E: Is it Economically beneficial?		3
E: Will the project have either a neutral or positive impact on the natural Environment ?		3
Will historic structures be saved or protected?		2
Could it be implemented quickly?		2
STAPLEE SCORE		26
Mitigation Effectiveness Criteria	Evaluation Rating	Score
Will the implemented action result in lives saved?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the likelihood that lives will be saved.	7
Will the implemented action result in a reduction of disaster damages?	Assign from 5-10 points based on the relative reduction of disaster damages.	7
MITIGATION EFFECTIVENESS SCORE		14
TOTAL SCORE (STAPLEE + Mitigation Effectiveness)		40

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Priority (30+ points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Medium Priority (25 - 29 points)	<input type="checkbox"/> Low Priority (<25 points)
--	---	--

Completed by
(Name, Title, Phone Number)

Chris Berndt, Fire Chief, 417-334-3440