| Priority \# | County | city | Need | Route | Location | Include bridge(s)? | Description | What problem are you trying to solve? | Status | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Webster | Rural, west of Seymour | Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management, Freight, Economic Development, Bike/Pedestrian | Hwy 60 | US Hwy 60, approx. 4 miles, b/n State Hwy O (Diggins) and W. Clinton Ave. (Seymour). |  | Development of an outer road system, possibly including a new interchange and/or overpass (no ramps), along a stretch of US Hwy 60 that runs parallel to a rail line, to enable its eventual upgrade to freeway status | Hwy 60 has seen a significant increase in traffic. Project would eliminate a number of intersections and possibly rail crossings to separate local (and slow moving agricultural) traffic from higher speed vehicles. Would also increase access to rapidly growing area just west of the City of Seymour. |  | Project recommended as part of US Hwy 60 Corridor Study. |
| 2 | Webster | Rural Fordland | Corridor improvements, possibly to include new interchange(s), to increase Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management, Economic Development | Hwy 60 | US Hwy 60, b/n State Hwy U and State Hwy Z (approximately 3.5 mile stretch) |  | Development of an outer road system, shortterm addition of acceleration/deceleration lanes, including new interchanges or similar improvements, to enable its eventual upgrade to freeway status. | Hwy 60 has seen a significant increase in traffic. Project would eliminate a number of intersections and possibly rail crossings to separate local traffic from higher speed vehicles. |  | Project recommended as part of US Hwy 60 Corridor Study. |
| 3 | Webster | Marshfield | Road Realignment/ Intersection Improve | on Rte. DD | Elm Street |  | Realignment or added traffic control at intersection. | School traffic gridlock due to poor intersection design. Elm Street will see higher traffic due to new interchange. Currently has a concrete median; TEAP project (city/county split) performed, with new conceptual design. |  | TEAP Study project completed in 2021. |
| 4 | Webster | Seymour | Safety, Congestion/Traffic <br> Management, Economic Development | Hwy 60 | Intersection of Hwys C / K; east edge of Seymour city limits |  | New interchange over 60/RR, tied to proposed outer road/rail overpass; Corridor study (section 4) | Hwy 60 has seen an increase in traffic and has several locations where vehicles are traveling at high speeds, but vehicles may be turning onto/off of 60 onto/off of a lettered route. Deceleration/acceleration lanes could assist with the safety concerns. Improve safety and congestion concerns with freight traffic. |  | Project recommended as part of US Hwy 60 Corridor Study. |
| 5 | Webster | Fordland | Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management | State Hwy PP | "S" curves, near high school complex |  | Realign a section of State Hwy PP to increase visibility, lengthen turn lanes, and improve safety of school buses, young drivers and other motorists. Would also benefit bike/ped uses. | Rural highway enters city limits near a pair of "S" curves. High speed traffic mixes with school buses and young drivers who are in a bottleneck waiting to turn into school complex. |  | TEAP Study project completed in May, 2023. |
| 6 | Webster | Fordland | New interchange (Safety, Economic Development) | Hwy 60 | Burks St. intersection with US Hwy 60, connects State Hwy PP to Washboard Road |  | New interchange, tied to proposed outer road system; as recommended in Corridor Study (Section 2). | Hwy 60 has seen an increase in traffic and has several locations where vehicles are traveling at high speeds, but vehicles may be turning onto/off of 60 . Deceleration/acceleration lanes and a new interchange could assist with the safety concerns. Improve safety concerns. |  | Project recommended as part of US Hwy 60 Corridor Study. |
| 7 | Webster | Fordland | Safety | Hwy 60 | Hwy 60 and Burks |  | Need acceleration lanes (included in \#2, above) | The traffic is going way too fast for anyone to pull onto Hwy 60 from Burks in Fordland. The excel lanes would at least let people get up to speed before pulling onto the highway. |  | City willing to participate in costs. |
| 8 | Webster | Rural | Safety, Capacity, \& Intersection improvements | On Rte. A (or A, B, C) | from Rte. 60 to Marshfield | S0198- Fair (5) A1630- Fair (6) S0197- Fair (6) | establish connectivity between US 60 \& 1-44; add hard shoulders; bridge replacement; improve dangerous intersections (A\&KK, A\&F); agriculture route; freight route | A is the main north/south route through Webster County. There are numerous large trucks and agriculture vehicles that travel this route, connecting Hwy 60 and I-44. Rte. A was used as a detour for flooding relief in April/May 2017 and the increase traffic exposed safety concerns. Concerns with farm equipment, slow moving vehicles and potential for accidents. Also provides a connection to the Marshfield industrial park. Pull outs on A are not serving their purpose. | Freight and agricultural routes and detours for l-44 <br> On Route C, needs widened and reduce hill near southern end for freight and ag traffic |  |
| 9 | Webster | Marshfield | New interchange (Marshfield \#3) | on 1-44 | at TBD; to include frontage road connecting State routes (Hwys 38; J; Cologna Rd) |  | Addition of a new interchange on l-44 for Marshfield | Congestion (improve traffic flow); Emergency Response; Econ Dev.; partial funding found; truck depot |  |  |
| 10 | Webster | rural Fordland | Safety Concern | on Rte. 7 | over Finley River | X0737-Good (7) | Replace low-water bridge with taller structure | Replace low bridge; frequent flooding on route from rural Christian/Douglas counties to Hwy 60 |  |  |
| 11 | Webster | rural Diggins | New Interchanges | Hwy 60 | intersection Hwys A \& 60, west of Diggins |  | Intersection of Hwys A \& 60, west of Diggins. Interchange over 60 and rail line, connecting State Hwy A to State Hwy NN.; Corridor Study (Section 3) |  |  |  |


| Priority \# | county | city | Need | Route | Location | Include bridge(s)? | Description | What problem are you trying to solve? | Status | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | Webster | rural Strafford | New interchange (Strafford \#2) | on 1-44 | at TBD |  | New interchange near Greene County line; trucking / industry |  |  | Need to discuss with consultant to determine location |
| 13 | Webster | Rural Diggins | Bike/Ped | State Hwy A | 2.5 miles stretch, north of US Hwy 60 |  | add hard shoulders for non-motorized agricultural lanes | To separate horse buggy, tractor and trailers |  |  |
| 14 | Webster | Marshfield | Capacity and Interchange improvements | on 1-44 | Marshfield interchange (exit 100) |  | Lengthen on-ramp to westbound 1-44 | High freight and passenger volumes westbound at exit 100 |  |  |
| 15 | Webster | rura//Marshfield | Overpass (no ramps) | over 1-44 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { at State Hwy W (mile } \\ \text { marker 102) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Rte. W turns into N. Buffalo St.; traffic flow \& emergency response |  |  |  |
| 16 | Webster | Rogersville | New interchange (Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management, Freight, Economic Development | Hwy 60 | Hwy 60, east edge of Rogersville city limits, at intersection with White Oak Road / Peck Hollow Road. |  | New interchange, tied to proposed outer road system; Recommended in Corridor Study (section 1). | Hwy 60 has seen an increase in traffic and has several locations where vehicles are traveling at high speeds, but vehicles may be turning onto/off of 60 . Deceleration/acceleration lanes and a new interchange could assist with the safety concerns. Improve safety and congestion concerns with freight traffic. |  | Project recommended in US Hwy 60 Corridor Plan. |
| 17 | Webster | Rural, east of Seymour | New interchange (Safety, Freight, Economic Development) | Hwy 60 | Hwy 60, midway b/n Seymour and Wright County line, at intersection with PeeWee rail crossing |  | New Interchange over 60/RR, tied to proposed outer road system, as recommended in 60 Corridor Plan (Section 4). | Hwy 60 has seen an increase in traffic and has several locations where vehicles are traveling at high speeds, but vehicles may be turning onto/off of 60 . Deceleration/acceleration lanes and a new interchange could assist with the safety concerns. Improve safety and congestion concerns with freight traffic. |  | Project recommended by US Hwy 60 Corridor Plan. |
| 18 | Webster | Marshfield | Road Realignment/ Intersection Improvements | on Rte. A | at 38 |  | Realignment of road and intersection (relocate "4-way stop", improve rail crossing (consolidate 2 rail crossings to 1 improved) | Realign intersection to accommodate trucks/freight traffic turning south from A. Turn is currently too sharp, northbound traffic forced to yield as far back as a block south of the intersection. Improve traffic flow |  | Included in a rail <br> coordination/consolidation plan with BNSF. |
| 19 | Webster | rural | Safety Improvements | Countywide | Countywide |  | Hwys 38; A, C, NN; ZZ \& MM; Y \& WW shoulder install and/or paving | Make improvements for heavy truck and freight traffic; shoulders, truck pull-offs, parking, etc. |  | Freight need |
| 20 | Webster | Fordland | New interchange (Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management, Freight, Economic Development | Hwy 60 | Hwy 60, west edge of City of Fordland, at intersection with State Hwy U / Red Oak Road |  | New interchange over Hwy 60 \& rail line, tied to proposed outer road system, as proposed in Corridor Study (Section 2) | Hwy 60 has seen an increase in traffic and has several locations where vehicles are traveling at high speeds, but vehicles may be turning onto/off of 60. Deceleration/acceleration lanes and a new interchange could assist with the safety concerns. Improve safety and congestion concerns with freight traffic. |  | Project recommended by US Hwy 60 Corridor Plan. |
| 21 | Webster | rural Rogersville | Bridge Improvement | on Rte. B | Where Route B crosses Panther Creek; low-water bridge N. of Rogersville; South of Compton Hollow Rd | X0933- Fair (5) | Water tops road frequently in heavy rains | Many people use Route B to get to I-44. The roadway becomes completely submerged with even small rain events. Although MoDOT is as timely as possible with signage, the roadway is often covered before warning signs can be put out to divert people through the Compton Hollow conservation area if coming from the north (not sure where they divert if driving from the south). I am concerned that someone may drive directly into the water especially at night. |  | Crossing is not visible until cresting the hill when approaching from the north. Increasing issues with trucks being forced to reverse up the hill to seek alternate routes. |
| 22 | Webster/Gr <br> eene | Rural Rogersville | Safety, Congestion/Traffic Management | off Hwy 60 | Southern edge of City of Rogersville, parallel to Hwy 60; Peck Hollow Road |  | New road section to connect Peck Hollow Rd to FmRd 186 (Greene Cty); create local alternate/relief route from Hwy 125 to east edge of City of Rogersville; recommended by Corridor Study (Section 1) | Improve safety and congestion concerns. |  | Recommended as part of US Hwy 60 Corridor Plan |
| 23 | Webster | Seymour | Safety | Hwy 60 | Intersection of U.S. Hwy 60 Skyline Road |  | MoDOT completed safety improvements at this location in August of 2020. However, those improvements have not worked well, and may have had the opposite effect. | Since that time, the Seymour Police Dept. has recorded 11 accidents at that location. Residents have requested: better signage; improved lighting; and a general review of the intersection's design. |  |  |



| Priority \# | County | city | Need | Route | Location | Include bridge(s)? | Description | What problem are you trying to solve? | Status | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Webster | Marshfield | Bike/Ped | Courthouse Square | Downtown Marshfield |  | pedestrian improvements; utility \& stormwater improvements; ADA updates; Downtown to Senior Center (no longer on state system) |  |  | Phase 2 implementation of community sidewalk plan; pedestrian, utility and stormwater improvemetns |
| 11 | Webster | Marshfield | Trail \& Trailhead Amenities | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hidden Waters } \\ & \text { Park } \end{aligned}$ | Intersection of State Hwys CC \& 38 (no longer on state system) |  | Need historical/educational signage | Trail of Tears site, near intersection of two national bike trails; need trailhead facilities; historical/educational signage etc. |  |  |
| 12 | Webster | Marshfield | Trailhead Amenities | Route 66 <br> Roadside Park | East of Marshfield (no longer on state system) |  | New park, SHPO requires park site as part of new interchange project |  |  | Will overlook Trail of Tears site |
| 13 | Webster | rural Seymour | Bike/Ped | State Hwy C | 5.5 mile stretch, north of US Hwy 60 |  | add hard shoulders as non-motorized agricultural lanes |  |  |  |
| 14 | Webster | rural; Fordland | Bike/Ped | State Hwy PP | from City of Fordland to west, entire length |  | Heavy bike traffic due to connection to Greene County trail system |  |  |  |
| 15 | Webster |  | Bike/Ped | Countywide | Countywide |  | Sidewalk Replacement and Expansion |  |  |  |
| 16 | Webster | Rogersville | Bike/Ped | Citywide | Sidewalks throughout town to bring up to ADA standards and add at County Line Rd; Rt VV; Maple St; Maplewood St; Dogwood St; McDaniel St; Ashley St; Thema St; Timman St; Radar St.; and add at County Line Rd |  | Need to repair old, non ADA compliant sidewalks, and add sidewalks throughout community. | Lack of sidewalks throughout community endangers lives of citizens and restricts access to commercial areas for all. Is listed in City's Comprehensive Plan. Project costs vary, depending on area. City is willing to cost share, but cannot afford to do all at once. No preliminary engineering completed at this time. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Freight |  |  |  |
| 1 | Webster | Rural, west of Marshfield | Freight and Rail | State Hwy OO, Route J \& Route 38; Northview I44 to Marshfield | from Northview exit to State Hwys J / 38, west of City of Marshfield |  | Frontage road north of l-44, truck depot at Hwy J/ Need rail spur \& connecting roadways including a grade separation rail crossing for expansion of Industrial Park in Marshfield | Improve safety and congestion concerns with freight traffic; Economic development; improve industrial recruitment |  |  |
| 2 | Webster | Rural, west of Seymour | Freight and Rail | Hwy 60 | Hwy 60, from State Hwy O (Diggins) to W. Clinton Ave. (Seymour); overpass at current Short Road crossing |  | Rail overpass midway b/n Diggins \& Seymour, connected by Frontage road north of US Hwy 60. , as recommended in Corridor Study |  |  | Project recommended in US Hwy 60 Corridor Plan. |
| 3 | Webster | Marshfield | Freight and Rail | Rt. 38 | Marshfield truck route at 4way stop |  | Improvements to accommodate trucking |  |  |  |
| 4 | Webster | rural / Diggins | Freight and Rail | $\begin{aligned} & \text { on Rte. A, B, \& } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | from Rte. 60 to I-44 / Hwy 38 |  | establish connectivity between two major commercial routes |  |  |  |
| 5 | Webster | rural | Freight and Rail | On Rte.J | at Rte. 38 |  | Intersection improvements |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{6}$ | Webster | countywide | Freight and Rail | Countywide | Countywide |  | Intersection Improvements at various locations for truck turning radius, particularly at RR crossings | Vehicle/rail conflicts and increase rail traffic |  |  |
| 7 | Webster | countywide | Freight and Rail | Countywide | Countywide |  | Double track in rural areas to reduce conflict |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Transit |  |  |  |
| 1 | Webster | countywide | Transit | Countywide | Countywide |  | OATS (expanding service) |  |  |  |
| 2 | Webster | countywide | Transit | Countywide | Countywide |  | Regional bus service Start-up |  |  |  |
| 3 | Webster | countywide | Transit | Countywide | Countywide |  | Regional bus service operating costs |  |  |  |
| 4 | Webster | countywide | Transit | Countywide | Countywide |  | Inter-city bus service |  |  |  |

